

Ditch No. 12

EXHIBIT I.

VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

Filed October 17th 1890
J. M. Putnam
County Auditor

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 12—Main Line

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>Feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 0	2.9	2.0	4.9		
and Stake No. 1 ✓	3.0	2.0	5.0	38	\$.08
2 ✓	3.0	2.0	5.0	39	\$.08
3 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	38	\$.08
4 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	37	\$.08
5 ✓	3.1	2.0	5.1	39	\$.08
6 ✓	3.2	2.0	5.2	42	\$.08
7 ✓	3.1	2.0	5.1	42	\$.08
8 ✓	3.0	2.0	5.0	40	\$.08
9 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	38	\$.08
10 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	36	\$.08
11 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	36	\$.08
12 ✓	2.6	2.0	4.6	34	\$.08
13 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	33	\$.08
14 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	35	\$.08
15 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	35	\$.08
16 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	35	\$.08
17 ✓	2.6	2.0	4.6	33	\$.08
18 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	33	\$.08
19 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	36	\$.08
				697	
Totals					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 12—Main Line

(2)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>Feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>19</u>				<i>Forward 699</i>	
and Stake No. <u>20</u> ✓	<i>3.0</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>21</u> ✓	<i>2.9</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>22</u> ✓	<i>2.9</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>23</u> ✓	<i>2.8</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>24</u> ✓	<i>2.9</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>25</u> ✓	<i>2.8</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>26</u> ✓	<i>2.9</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>27</u> ✓	<i>3.1</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>5.1</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>28</u> ✓	<i>3.1</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>5.1</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>29</u> ✓	<i>3.1</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>5.1</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>30</u> ✓	<i>3.1</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>5.1</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>31</u> ✓	<i>3.2</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>32</u> ✓	<i>3.3</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>33</u> ✓	<i>3.3</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>9.6</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>34</u> ✓	<i>3.2</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>9.4</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>35</u> ✓	<i>3.3</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>9.6</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>36</u> ✓	<i>3.3</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>9.6</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>37</u> ✓	<i>3.3</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>9.6</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
<u>38</u> ✓	<i>3.3</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>9.6</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>\$.08</i>
				<i>1641</i>	
<i>Totals</i>					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 12 - Main Line —

(3)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>Feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 38				1641	
and Stake No. 39 ✓	3.2	3.0	9.4	74	\$.08
40 ✓	3.3	3.0	9.6	74	\$.08
41 ✓	3.4	3.0	9.8	78	\$.08
42 ✓	3.3	3.0	9.6	78	\$.08
43 ✓	3.3	3.0	9.6	76	\$.08
44 ✓	3.3	3.0	9.6	76	\$.08
45 ✓	3.4	3.0	9.8	78	\$.08
46 ✓	3.4	3.0	9.8	80	\$.08
47 ✓	3.4	3.0	9.8	80	\$.08
48 ✓	3.4	3.0	9.8	80	\$.08
49 ✓	3.4	3.0	9.8	80	\$.08
50 ✓	3.4	3.0	9.8	80	\$.08
51 ✓	3.4	3.0	9.8	80	\$.08
52 ✓	3.5	3.0	10.0	82	\$.08
53 ✓	3.4	3.0	9.8	82	\$.08
54 ✓	3.6	3.0	10.2	84	\$.08
55 ✓	3.6	3.0	10.2	88	\$.08
56 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	90	\$.08
57 ✓	3.6	3.0	10.2	90	\$.08
				3171	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and

Cost of same, in Ditch No. 12 - Main Line -

(4)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP Feet	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 57				3171	
and Stake No. 58 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	90	\$.08
59 ✓	3.6	3.0	10.2	90	\$.08
60 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	90	\$.08
61 ✓	3.5	3.0	10.0	88	\$.08
62 ✓	3.6	3.0	10.2	86	\$.08
63 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	90	\$.08
64 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	92	\$.08
65 ✓	3.9	3.0	10.8	96	\$.08
66 ✓	3.8	3.0	10.6	98	\$.08
67 ✓	3.6	3.0	10.2	92	\$.08
68 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	90	\$.08
69 ✓	3.6	3.0	10.2	90	\$.08
70 ✓	3.6	3.0	10.2	88	\$.08
71 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	90	\$.08
72 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	92	\$.08
73 ✓	3.8	3.0	10.6	94	\$.08
74 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	94	\$.08
75 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	92	\$.08
76 ✓	3.7	3.0	10.4	92	\$.08
				4905	
Totals					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 22 - Main Line —

(5)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>Feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>76</u> ✓				<u>4905</u>	
and Stake No. <u>77</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>78</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>79</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>80</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>81</u> ✓	<u>3.8</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.6</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>82</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>83</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>84</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>85</u> ✓	<u>3.6</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>86</u> ✓	<u>3.8</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.6</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>87</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>88</u> ✓	<u>3.8</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.6</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>89</u> ✓	<u>3.6</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>90</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>91</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>92</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>93</u> ✓	<u>3.8</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.6</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>94</u> ✓	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>95</u> ✓	<u>3.8</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10.6</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
				<u>6663</u>	
Totals					