

*Ditch No. 12 Branch*

EXHIBIT I.

---

# VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—  

---

## DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

---

*Ditch No 12  
Branch Line*

---

No. 686.— Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

*Filed October 17 1890  
J. M. Putnam  
County Auditor*

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 12 - Branch

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>ft.</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>Feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>0</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>		
and Stake No. <u>1</u> ✓	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>2</u> ✓	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>3</u> ✓	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>4</u> ✓	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>5</u> ✓	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>6</u> ✓	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>7</u> ✓	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>8</u> ✓	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>9</u> ✓	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>10</u> ✓	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>11</u> ✓	<u>2.9</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.9</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>12</u> ✓	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>13</u> ✓	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>14</u> ✓	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>15</u> ✓	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>16</u> ✓	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>17</u> ✓	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>18</u> ✓	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>19</u> ✓	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
				<u>587</u>	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 12 Branch

(2)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT Feet.	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP Feet	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>19</u>				<i>Found</i> 587	
and Stake No. <u>20</u>	2.7	2.0	4.7	33	\$ .08
<u>21</u> ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	32	\$ .08
<u>22</u> ✓	2.6	2.0	4.6	32	\$ .08
<u>23</u> ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	32	\$ .08
<u>24</u> ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	34	\$ .08
<u>25</u> ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	34	\$ .08
<u>26</u> ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	35	\$ .08
<u>27</u> ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	37	\$ .08
<u>28</u> ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	36	\$ .08
<u>29</u> ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	35	\$ .08
<u>30</u> ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	34	\$ .08
<u>31</u> ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	34	\$ .08
<u>32</u> ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	34	\$ .08
<u>33</u> ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	34	\$ .08
<u>34</u> ✓	2.6	2.0	4.6	33	\$ .08
<u>35</u> ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	33	\$ .08
<u>36</u> ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	32	\$ .08
<u>37</u> ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	33	\$ .08
<u>38</u> ✓	2.5	2.0	4.5	31	\$ .08
				1226	
					Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 12. — Branch —

(3)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>Feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 38				Forward 1226	
and Stake No. 39 ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	31	\$ .08
40 ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	33	\$ .08
41 ✓	2.6	2.0	4.6	32	\$ .08
42 ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	32	\$ .08
43 ✓	2.6	2.0	4.6	32	\$ .08
44 ✓	2.6	2.0	4.6	31	\$ .08
45 ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	32	\$ .08
46 ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	33	\$ .08
47 ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	33	\$ .08
48 ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	33	\$ .08
49 ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	33	\$ .08
50 ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	33	\$ .08
51 ✓	2.6	2.0	4.6	32	\$ .08
52 ✓	2.6	2.0	4.6	31	\$ .08
53 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	33	\$ .08
54 ✓	2.6	2.0	4.6	33	\$ .08
55 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	33	\$ .08
56 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	35	\$ .08
57 ✓	2.7	2.0	4.7	34	\$ .08
				1845	
					Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 12 - Branch -

(41)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>Feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 57				Forward 1845	
and Stake No. 58 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	35	\$ .08
59 ✓	3.0	2.0	5.0	38	\$ .08
60 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	37	\$ .08
61 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	36	\$ .08
62 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	37	\$ .08
63 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	36	\$ .08
64 ✓	3.0	2.0	5.0	37	\$ .08
65 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	37	\$ .08
66 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	36	\$ .08
67 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	37	\$ .08
68 ✓	2.8	2.0	4.8	36	\$ .08
69 ✓	3.0	2.0	5.0	37	\$ .08
70 ✓	3.0	2.0	5.0	39	\$ .08
71 ✓	3.0	2.0	5.0	39	\$ .08
72 ✓	3.1	2.0	5.1	40	\$ .08
73 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	39	\$ .08
74 ✓	3.0	2.0	5.0	38	\$ .08
75 ✓	3.0	2.0	5.0	39	\$ .08
76 ✓	2.9	2.0	4.9	38	\$ .08
				2546	
				2556	
					Totals