

Ditch No. 13.

EXHIBIT I.

VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

Filed March 30th 1891
J. M. Putnam
Geo. Auditor

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 13-Branch

(1)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>0</u>	<u>0.0</u>				
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>7</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.1</u>	<u>13</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.1</u>	<u>11</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>9</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>5</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>5</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>2</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>2</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>9</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>9</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>10</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>18</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>11</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>9</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>12</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.1</u>	<u>6</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>13</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.2</u>	<u>12</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>14</u>	<u>1.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.3</u>	<u>13</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>15</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>16</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>16</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>22</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>17</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>20</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>18</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>8.0</u>	<u>39</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>19</u>	<u>3.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>8.6</u>	<u>39</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
				<u>279</u>	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 13 - Branch -

(2)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 19				279	
and Stake No. 20	3.4	2.0	8.8	66 ✓	\$.08
21	3.5	2.0	9.0	69 ✓	\$.08
22	3.1	2.0	8.2	65 ✓	\$.08
23	4.0	2.0	10.0	73 ✓	\$.08
24	4.5	2.0	11.0	78 ✓	\$.08
25	3.7	2.0	9.4	80 ✓	\$.08
26	3.2	2.0	8.2	48 ✓	\$.08
27	3.0	2.0	8.0	41 ✓	\$.08
28	3.1	2.0	8.1	40 ✓	\$.08
29	3.2	2.0	8.2	42 ✓	\$.08
30	3.3	2.0	8.3	44 ✓	\$.08
31	3.5	2.0	8.5	48 ✓	\$.08
32	3.4	2.0	8.4	48 ✓	\$.08
33	3.4	2.0	8.4	47 ✓	\$.08
34	3.5	2.0	8.5	49 ✓	\$.08
35	3.5	2.0	8.5	50 ✓	\$.08
36	3.7	2.0	8.7	51 ✓	\$.08
37	3.8	2.0	8.8	54 ✓	\$.08
38	4.1	2.0	6.1	58 ✓	\$.08
				1350	
<i>Totals</i>					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 13-1 Branch

(41)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>57</u>				2137		
and Stake No. <u>58</u>	0.0					In Me's Lap
59	0.0					" " "
60	0.0					" " "
61	0.0					" " "
62	0.0					" " "
63	0.0					" " "
64	0.0					" " "
65	0.0					" " "
66	0.0					" " "
67	0.0					" " "
68	0.0					" " "
69	0.0					" " "
70	0.0					" " "
71	0.0					" " "
72	0.0					" " "
73	0.0					" " "
74	0.0					" " "
75	0.0					" " "
76	0.0					" " "
77	0.0					" " "
78	0.0					" " "
79	0.0					" " "
80	0.0					" " "
81	0.0					" " "
82	0.0					" " "
83	0.0					" " "
84	0.0					" " "
				2137		
						Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 13-0 Braule

(5)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>85</u>				<u>2137</u>		
and Stake No. <u>86</u>	0.0			✓		In Meds Lake
87	0.0			✓		" " "
88	0.0			✓		" " "
89	0.0			✓		" " "
90	0.0			✓		" " "
91	0.0			✓		" " "
92	0.0			✓		" " "
93	0.0			✓		" " "
94	0.0			✓		" " "
95	0.0			✓		" " "
96	0.0			0		" " "
97	0.5	2.0	2.5	9 ✓	\$.08	
98	0.9	2.0	2.9	8 ✓	\$.08	
99	4.7	2.0	6.7	33 ✓	\$.08	
100	4.4	2.0	6.4	71 ✓	\$.08	
101	4.6	2.0	6.6	70 ✓	\$.08	
102	4.3	2.0	6.3	69 ✓	\$.08	
103	4.3	2.0	6.3	66 ✓	\$.08	
104	4.2	2.0	6.2	65 ✓	\$.08	
105	4.1	2.0	6.1	63 ✓	\$.08	
106	4.1	2.0	6.1	61 ✓	\$.08	
109	4.0	2.0	6.0	60 ✓	\$.08	
				<u>2706</u>		
						Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 13 Branch

(6)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>107</u>				<u>2706</u>		
and Stake No. <u>108</u>						
<u>109</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>59</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>	
<u>110</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>6.1</u>	<u>60</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>	
<u>111</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>57</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>	
<u>112</u>	<u>0.9</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>42</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>	
<u>113</u>	<u>0.0</u>			<u>5</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>	In Mud Lake
<u>114</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>115</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>116</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>117</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>118</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>119</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>120</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>121</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>122</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>123</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>124</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>125</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
<u>126</u>	<u>0.0</u>					" " "
				<u>2929</u>		
						Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 13 - Branch -

(7)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. 126				2929		
and Stake No. 127	0.0					In Mud Lake
128	0.0			0		" " "
129	0.0			0		" " "
130	0.0			0		" " "
131	0.0			0		" " "
132	0.4	2.0	2.4	2	\$.08	
133	3.5	2.0	5.5	20	\$.08	
134	3.5	2.0	5.5	49	\$.08	
135	3.5	2.0	5.5	49	\$.08	
136	3.7	2.0	5.7	51	\$.08	
137	3.4	2.0	5.4	50	\$.08	
138	3.3	2.0	5.3	46	\$.08	
139	3.7	2.0	5.7	49	\$.08	
140	3.0	2.0	5.0	46	\$.08	
141	3.2	2.0	5.2	41	\$.08	
142	3.0	2.0	8.0	50	\$.08	
143	3.7	2.0	9.11	66	\$.08	
144	3.4	2.0	8.8	73	\$.08	
145	3.0	2.0	5.0	52	\$.08	
				3573		
						Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 13. Prairie

(8)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>145</u>				<u>3573</u>	
and Stake No. <u>146</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>147</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>148</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>5.9</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>149</u>	<u>3.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>6.7</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>150</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>151</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>152</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>153</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>154</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>155</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>156</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>157</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>158</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>159</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>160</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>161</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>162</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>163</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>164</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$.08</u>
				<u>4175</u>	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 13 Branch

(9)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 164				4175	
and Stake No. 165	2.3	2.0	4.3	28 ✓	\$.08
166	3.1	2.0	5.1	33 ✓	\$.08
169	2.3	2.0	4.3	33 ✓	\$.08
168	2.3	2.0	4.3	27 ✓	\$.08
169	2.5	2.0	4.5	28 ✓	\$.08
170	2.4	2.0	4.4	29 ✓	\$.08
171	2.2	2.0	4.2	27 ✓	\$.08
172	2.5	2.0	4.5	28 ✓	\$.08
173	2.5	2.0	4.5	30 ✓	\$.08
174	2.3	2.0	4.3	28 ✓	\$.08
175	2.3	2.0	4.3	27 ✓	\$.08
176	2.5	2.0	4.5	28 ✓	\$.08
177	2.7	2.0	4.7	31 ✓	\$.08
178	2.8	2.0	4.8	34 ✓	\$.08
179	2.7	2.0	4.7	34 ✓	\$.08
180	2.6	2.0	4.6	32 ✓	\$.08
181	2.6	2.0	4.6	31 ✓	\$.08
182	2.6	2.0	4.6	31 ✓	\$.08
183	2.8	2.0	4.8	33 ✓	\$.08
				4747	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 13 - Branch -

(10)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 183				4747	
and Stake No. 184	2.6	2.0	4.6	33 ✓	\$.08
185	2.7	2.0	4.7	32 ✓	\$.08
186	2.6	2.0	4.6	32 ✓	\$.08
187	2.7	2.0	4.7	32 ✓	\$.08
188	2.7	2.0	4.7	33 ✓	\$.08
189	2.8	2.0	4.8	34 ✓	\$.08
190	2.9	2.0	4.9	36 ✓	\$.08
191	2.8	2.0	4.8	36 ✓	\$.08
192	2.8	2.0	4.8	35 ✓	\$.08
193	2.8	2.0	4.8	35 ✓	\$.08
194	2.9	2.0	4.9	36 ✓	\$.08
195	2.6	2.0	4.6	34 ✓	\$.08
196	2.6	2.0	4.6	33 ✓	\$.08
197	2.5	2.0	4.5	31 ✓	\$.08
198	2.4	2.0	4.4	29 ✓	\$.08
199	2.3	2.0	4.3	28 ✓	\$.08
200	2.7	2.0	4.7	30 ✓	\$.08
201	2.7	2.0	4.7	33 ✓	\$.08
202	2.4	2.0	4.4	31 ✓	\$.08
				5370	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT I OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. ^B Brauel₁

(11)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>202</u>				<u>5370</u>	
and Stake No. <u>203</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>27</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>204</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>25</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>205</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>27</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>206</u>	<u>0.9</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.9</u>	<u>18</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
<u>206+83</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>10</u> ✓	<u>\$.08</u>
				<u>5477</u>	
				Total (Brauel) <u>5477 cu yds @ \$.08</u>	<u>\$138.16</u>
				Main Line <u>6197 " " @ \$.08</u>	<u>\$495.76</u>
				Total for entire ditch	<u>\$933.92</u>
					<i>Totals</i>