ANOKA COUNTY

COUNTY DITCH INSPECTION REPORT

DITCH #16

DATE: 7/13/94

BY: PK Ruud

COMMUNITIES: East Bethel

REMARKS:

CR #15/74

The ditch at this crossing (Durant St) is well defined and flowing slowly. There is some use of the peatland in this area and the

ditches have been maintained.

ACTIVITIES:



CO DIT #16

#16 7/13/94 PKR

CR #15/74 (Durant St.) Ditab well defined

A flowing slowly.

Some use of peatland m

area - ditabes privately

repaired.

PUBLIC DRAINAGE DITCH INVENTORY FORM (Laws of 1990, Chapter 601, Section 27)

1. Drainage authority name: Anoka County (East Bethel)
2. Drainage ditch name and number: #16
3. Ditch location and drainage area boundary: (shown on attached quadrangle map)
4. Drainage area in acres: 820; Benefitted area in acres: 800
5. Approximate length in miles: Open Ditch 2.39 Buried Tile 0
6. Year constructed: 1892; Original cost: \$ 622.23
7. Are original plans on file? Yes X No ; Location: Anoka County Courthous
8. Date of original plans: 1892
9. Are "as built" plans on file? Yes No ; Location:
10. Year(s) improved: None
11. Are improvement plans on file? Yes No _ x ; Location:
12. Year(s) repaired: 1903
13. Are repair plans on file? Yes No _ x ; Location:
14. If the ditch was transferred from a county or joint county ditch authority to a watershed district or WMO, is the transfer order on file? Yes Nox NA
15. Is the list of lands benefitted and damaged on file? Yes X No
16. Have the benefits and damages been redetermined? Yes No; If yes, year(s) of redetermination: 1903
17. Has right-of-way been acquired for a 1-rod permanent grassed strip? Yes No _x _ ; If yes, has it been maintained? Yes No _N/A
18. Is there a maintenance fund for the ditch? Yes NoX; If yes, current balance in maintenance fund: \$
19. What was the last year maintenance work was performed by drainage authority:

20. Have other local units or private parties performed maintenance work on the ditch?
Yes x No ; List parties: Landowner granted permission to clean
parts of ditch.
21. Have the alignment, grade, bottom width, or bridge or culvert crossings been materially
changed from the original or improved construction, without going through formal ditch
proceedings? Yes No x
avery 5 10 years; only when
22. Is the ditch inspected annually; every 2-5 years; every 5-10 years; only when
a problem arises X; or never ? Year of last inspection: 1990
23. Approximate percentage of the ditch's contributing drainage area that is currently
urbanized:%; rural: 100%
24. Have any substantial areas of wetlands originally totally drained by the ditch
reestablished themselves due to lack of ditch maintenance? Yes No _x
25. What is the general condition of the ditch?
a. well-maintained
b. fairly well-maintained X
c. poorly maintained d. very poorly maintained
d. very poorly maintained
e. functionally abandoned
26. Describe the existing condition of the ditch, including erosion/sedimentation problems:
Ditch drains quite well. Many branches added to the system over
the years.
Tradical Land Table 1
METSCHEIN, BON AN ANDERS GAME BUICE COMMUNICATION CONTINUES OF THE CONTINU
27. Does the ditch continue to serve a useful purpose to one or more properties? Yesx
No; Estimated acres of agricultural land currently benefitting:800
28. What plans are there for future management of the ditch? A. Monitor the ditch for
problems that occur, such as deadfalls, unapproved culverts/crossings, o
filling, that block or impede the normal flow. B. Review, with
municipalities & the WMO's, the impact of new development on the drainag
system. C. Monitor & repair/replace drainage structures at county highways crossed by the ditch. 29. Additional comments or recommendations for statutory changes:
A. Need legislation that allows for maintenance of the county ditch syst
B. Need changes in county ditch legislation that provides for a method (of assessing property within a drainage area to pay for approved
repairs or improvements.
repairs of improvements.

