### **ANOKA COUNTY**

## COUNTY DITCH INSPECTION REPORT

**DITCH #17** 

DATE: 7/7/94

BY: PK Ruud

COMMUNITIES: Blaine, Coon Rapids, Fridley

#### **REMARKS:**

Hugo St	Ditch well defined and flowing.
Ironton St	Ditch well defined and flowing.
85th Ave	Ditch well defined and flowing.
Ever green	Ditch well defined and flowing.
TH # 47	Ditch well defined and flowing.
CSAH # 3	Ditch well defined and flowing.
TH#10	Ditch well defined and flowing.
89th Ave NE	Ditch well defined and flowing.
Westwood School	Ditch well defined and flowing.
Van Buren St	Ditch well defined and flowing.
Tyler St	Ditch well defined and flowing.
Polk St	Ditch well defined and flowing.
Cloverleaf Pkwy	Ditch well defined and flowing.

#### **ACTIVITIES:**

The ditch from CSAH # 1( EAST River Road ) to the Mississippi River runs thru back yards and pipe. The system apparently works , although I could not see much of it to verify it's condition.

7/1/94 Hugo St. - Well defined & flowing Iron fon St. 11 Evergreen Indus. 74 47 (NE) TH 10 (EB) (WB) West wood School DK, Van Buren Tyler Cloverleaf

# PUBLIC DRAINAGE DITCH INVENTORY FORM (Laws of 1990, Chapter 601, Section 27)

1. Drainage authority name: Anoka County (Blaine/Coon Rapids/Fridley)
2. Drainage ditch name and number: #17
3. Ditch location and drainage area boundary: (shown on attached quadrangle map)
4. Drainage area in acres: 1170; Benefitted area in acres: 320
5. Approximate length in miles: Open Ditch 3.67 Buried Tile 0
6. Year constructed: 1893; Original cost: \$ 427.50
7. Are original plans on file? Yes _x No; Location: _Anoka County Courthous
8. Date of original plans: 1892
9. Are "as built" plans on file? Yes No _x ; Location:
10. Year(s) improved: None
11. Are improvement plans on file? Yes No N/A; Location:
12. Year(s) repaired: None
13. Are repair plans on file? Yes No N/A; Location:
14. If the ditch was transferred from a county or joint county ditch authority to a watershed district or WMO, is the transfer order on file? Yes No _x_ NA
15. Is the list of lands benefitted and damaged on file? Yes X No
16. Have the benefits and damages been redetermined? Yes No _x; If yes, year(s) of redetermination:
17. Has right-of-way been acquired for a 1-rod permanent grassed strip? Yes No _x; If yes, has it been maintained? Yes No _N/A
18. Is there a maintenance fund for the ditch? Yes No _x _; If yes, current balance in maintenance fund: \$
19. What was the last year maintenance work was performed by drainage authority:

A STATE OF THE STA
20. Have other local units or private parties performed maintenance work on the ditch?
Yes X No ; List parties: State & cities when Northtown Center was
built some parts relocated.
21. Have the alignment, grade, bottom width, or bridge or culvert crossings been materially
changed from the original or improved construction, without going through formal ditch
proceedings? Yes x No
(Considerable of 15 legits no model i grafinant consumed to be a delicated and a constitution of the const
22. Is the ditch inspected annually; every 2-5 years; every 5-10 years; only when
a problem arises X; or never ? Year of last inspection: Mid 70's
The state of the s
23. Approximate percentage of the ditch's contributing drainage area that is currently
urbanized: 100%; rural: 0 %
A transfer for the first terms of the first terms and the first terms are the first terms and the first terms are the first terms and the first terms are the first te
24. Have any substantial areas of wetlands originally totally drained by the ditch
reestablished themselves due to lack of ditch maintenance? Yes Nox
The same that th
25. What is the general condition of the ditch?
a. well-maintained X
b. fairly well-maintained X
c. poorly maintained
d. very poorly maintained
e. functionally abandoned
actional to all world and the second
26. Describe the existing condition of the ditch, including erosion/sedimentation problems:
Ditch fairly well maintained in heavily developed area. Ditch cleaned
during intense development in the late 50's. Heavy flow with
development tends to keep ditch cleaned.
Sedensense of the Audit
27. Does the ditch continue to serve a useful purpose to one or more properties? Yes
No ; Estimated acres of agricultural land currently benefitting:
(2) may so year of the second
28. What plans are there for future management of the ditch? A. Monitor the ditch for
problems that occur, such as deadfalls, unapproved culverts/crossings, or
filling, that block or impede the normal flow. B. Review, with
municipalities & the WMO's, the impact of new development on the drainage
system. C. Monitor & repair/replace drainage structures at county highway
municipalities & the WMO's, the impact of new development on the drainage system. C. Monitor & repair/replace drainage structures at county highway crossed by the ditch.  29. Additional comments or recommendations for statutory changes:
A. Need legislation that allows for maintenance of the county ditch system
A. Need legislation that allows for maintenance of the county ditch system B. Need changes in county ditch legislation that provides for a method (s)
A. Need legislation that allows for maintenance of the county ditch system  B. Need changes in county ditch legislation that provides for a method (s) of assessing property within a drainage area to pay for approved
A. Need legislation that allows for maintenance of the county ditch system B. Need changes in county ditch legislation that provides for a method (s)
A. Need legislation that allows for maintenance of the county ditch system  B. Need changes in county ditch legislation that provides for a method (s) of assessing property within a drainage area to pay for approved





