

Ditch No. 20

DRAINAGE BLANK.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF

Peter Brant

AND OTHERS.

VIEWERS' REPORT.

Filed *February 4th* 18*83*

G. W. Putnam
County Auditor.

In the Matter of the Petition

Of Peter Brant and Others

for a Ditch in the County of Anoka
State of Minnesota.

To the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Anoka
State of Minnesota:

The undersigned viewers, appointed by your order bearing date the 26th
day of November 1892 to view the route proposed in the petition of

Peter Brant and Others
for a public Ditch

would respectfully report that we met at the time and place specified in your said order, preparatory to commencing our duties as such viewers, and, provided with a surveyor who was a civil engineer, made an accurate survey of the line of said proposed Ditch from its source to its outlet, and caused stakes to be set along said line, numbered progressively down stream at each 100 feet, and have caused a plat of said survey of said proposed ditch to be made, which plat is made a part of this report and attached hereto, marked "Map of Brant Ditch No 20"

And we did make a computation of the number of cubic yards of earth to be excavated and removed from said ditch _____ between each of the 100 foot stakes, and the estimated cost per cubic yard for the removal thereof, and did sum up the total number of cubic yards to be excavated and removed from the entire length of said ditch _____ and an estimate of the total cost of laying out, establishing and construction of the whole work. And we did cause the same to be prepared in tabular form, also giving the depth of cut, width at the bottom and width at the top, at the source, outlet and each one hundred foot stake of said Ditch which tabulated statement is made a part of this report and hereto attached, marked Exhibit No 1

And we did, as by law required, specify the time and manner in which said work shall be done, and (in case of underground work) did specify the size and kind of tile to be used, or other material necessary in such underground work, and did estimate the cost of the same as a part of the total cost of said work, which statement and specifications and estimates are made a part of this report and hereto attached, marked Exhibit No 2

And we did cause to be made a statement of the names of the laborers, and the time each was employed by us, and every other item of expense by us incurred in and about said work, which

statement is made a part of this report and hereto attached, marked Exhibit No 3

And we did cause to be prepared, in tabular form, a statement showing the names of the owners of each tract of land to be benefited or damaged, the description of each tract benefited or damaged (said names of owners and descriptions being the same as appear on the County tax duplicates of said Anoka County), and the total number of acres in each of said tracts, the estimated number of acres in each of said tracts of land to be benefited or damaged (as the case may be), and the amount that each tract of land will be benefited or damaged by the construction of said work, which said statement is hereby made a part of this report and attached hereto, marked Exhibit No 4

And (in case said ditch.....drains, either in whole or in part, any public or corporate road or railroad, or benefits any of said roads) we did estimate the benefits arising therefrom to.....

X X

and did report said benefits, names of roads, and amounts of benefits to each, as a part of our tabulated statement last above set forth;

X X

And we do hereby report the total estimated benefits of said Ditch to be \$ 932 and the total estimated cost and expense of construction of the same including the damages awarded therefor, to be \$ 389 22 and that the utility of said proposed Ditch is greater than the estimated expense of the construction of such Ditch including the damages awarded therefor.

and we do hereby report that the said proposed Ditch will be of public utility. Benefit and Conducive to the public health
Commissioner and Miller
Respectfully submitted L. M. Beach

J. D. Preston

Dated February 4th 1893

Viewers.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of February
A. D. 1893
J. M. Postman
County Auditor
Anoka County
Minn.