

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 21

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>1111</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>2 feet</u>	<u>amb bank full</u> <u>3.8</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
and Stake No. <u>1111</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>1112</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>1113</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>104</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>1115</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>1116</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>1117</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>1118</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>1119</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>1120</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>111</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>112</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>113</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>114</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>115</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>116</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>117</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>118</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>119</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
		<u>amb carried full</u>		<u>2884</u>		
<i>Totals</i>						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 21

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. 120	2.2	2 feet	4.2	2884	\$ 8	✓
and Stake No. 121	2.2	" "	4.2	27	8	✓
122	2.3	" "	4.3	25	8	✓
123	2.3	" "	4.3	27	8	✓
124	2.1	" "	4.1	25	8	✓
125	2.2	" "	4.2	24	8	✓
126	2.3	" "	4.3	27	8	✓
127	2.4	" "	4.4	29	8	✓
128	2.4	" "	4.4	28	8	✓
129	2.3	" "	4.3	27	8	✓
130	2.4	" "	4.4	27	8	✓
131	2.4	" "	4.4	28	8	✓
132	2.2	" "	4.2	27	8	✓
133	2.4	" "	4.4	27	8	✓
134	2.2	" "	4.2	27	8	✓
135	2.1	" "	4.1	25	8	✓
136	1.9	" "	3.9	22	8	✓
Bridge 137	1.9	" "	3.9	21	8	✓
138	2.1	" "	4.1	23	8	✓
cut off 139	2.1	" "	4.1	22	8	✓
				Account carried fwd 3399		
					Totals	

B. J.

Bridge

cut off

Bridge Hanson 6/22

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 21

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <i>140</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>2 fut</i>	<i>4.0</i>	<i>3399</i>	<i>\$</i>	<i>8</i> ✓
and Stake No. <i>141</i>	<i>2.3</i>	" "	<i>4.3</i>	<i>22</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>142</i>	<i>2.3</i>	" "	<i>4.3</i>	<i>27</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>143</i>	<i>2.5</i>	" "	<i>4.5</i>	<i>28</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>144</i>	<i>2.2</i>	" "	<i>4.2</i>	<i>28</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>145</i>	<i>2.2</i>	" "	<i>4.2</i>	<i>25</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>146</i>	<i>2.1</i>	" "	<i>4.1</i>	<i>26</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>147</i>	<i>1.9</i>	" "	<i>3.9</i>	<i>22</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>148</i>	<i>2.2</i>	" "	<i>4.2</i>	<i>23</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>149</i>	<i>2.1</i>	" "	<i>4.1</i>	<i>24</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>150</i>	<i>2.1</i>	" "	<i>4.1</i>	<i>23</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>151</i>	<i>2.0</i>	" "	<i>4.1</i>	<i>22</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>152</i>	<i>2.4</i>	" "	<i>4.4</i>	<i>25</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>153</i>	<i>2.5</i>	" "	<i>4.5</i>	<i>29</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>154</i>	<i>2.5</i>	" "	<i>4.5</i>	<i>30</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>155</i>	<i>2.5</i>	" "	<i>4.5</i>	<i>30</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>156</i>	<i>2.6</i>	" "	<i>4.6</i>	<i>31</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>157</i>	<i>2.4</i>	" "	<i>4.4</i>	<i>30</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>158</i>	<i>2.5</i>	" "	<i>4.5</i>	<i>29</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
<i>159</i>	<i>2.3</i>	" "	<i>4.3</i>	<i>28</i>		<i>8</i> ✓
				<i>Gravel carried forward = 3925</i>		
						<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 21

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
				<i>total</i>	<i>\$ Cents</i>
<i>Between Stake No. 160</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>2 feet</i>	<i>3.9</i>	<i>3925</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>and Stake No. 161</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>4.1</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>162</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>3.9</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>163</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>3.9</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>164</i>	<i>1.7</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>3.7</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>165</i>	<i>1.8</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>166</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>4.1</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>167</i>	<i>1.0</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>168</i>	<i>1.2</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>4.2</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>169</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>170</i>	<i>1.6</i>	<i>3 feet</i>	<i>4.6</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>171</i>	<i>1.4</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>4.4</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>172</i>	<i>1.3</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>4.3</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>173</i>	<i>1.7</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>174</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>175</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>176</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>177</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>6.3</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>178</i>	<i>3.5</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>6.5</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>179</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>" "</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Out carried from =</i>				<i>4119</i>	
<i>Totals</i>					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 27

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>180</u>	2.2	3 feet	5.5	4119	8
and Stake No. <u>181</u>	2.6	" "	5.6	10	8
<u>182</u>	2.5	" "	5.5	16	8
<u>183</u>	2.9	" "	5.9	16	8
<u>184</u>	2.6	" "	5.6	14	8
<u>185</u>	2.9	" "	5.9	11	8
<u>186</u>	2.7	" "	5.7	16	8
<u>187</u>	2.4	" "	5.4	8	8
<u>188</u>	2.4	" "	5.4	5	8
<u>189</u>	2.4	" "	5.4	7	8
<u>190</u>	2.0	" "	5.0	5	8
<u>191</u>	2.3	" "	5.3	5	8
<u>192</u>	1.5	" "	4.5	9	8
<u>193</u>	2.1	" "	5.1	8	8
<u>194</u>	2.4	" "	5.4	5	8
<u>195</u>	2.6	" "	5.6	4	8
<u>196</u>	2.3	" "	5.3	5	8
<u>197</u>	2.5	" "	5.5	6	8
<u>198</u>	2.7	" "	5.7	5	8
<u>199</u>	2.0	" "	5.1	20	8
Aut Canal fee = 4298					
<i>Totals</i>					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 21

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>200</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>3 feet</u>	<u>3.3</u>	<u>4298</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
and Stake No. <u>201</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>202</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>203</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.6</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>204</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.8</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>205</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>206</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>207</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>208</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>209</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>210</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>211</u>	<u>1.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>212</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>213</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>214</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>215</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.1</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>216</u>	<u>1.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>217</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>218</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.9</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
<u>219</u>	<u>2.9</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.9</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>8</u> ✓
				<u>4731</u>	
				<u>4731</u>	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 21

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>220</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>3 feet</u>	<u>5.2</u>	<u>4731</u>	<u>\$ 8</u>
and Stake No. <u>221</u>	<u>3.1</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>222</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>223</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>224</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>225</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>226</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>227</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>228</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>229</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>230</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>231</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>232</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>233</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>234</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>235</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>236</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>237</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>3.1</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>238</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.1</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>239</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.8</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Amount Carried forward 5058</u>					
		<u>3</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>38.6</u>	

Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 21

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 2411	11	3.11	3.11	5058	88
and Stake No. ....					
				Total 51163 yds	
Estimate Ditch 21					
5063 yds Excavation				8¢ =	4115.14
Bridge at Sta 137				=	11 11
Location Expenses				=	137 50
Chiefs Fees				=	9 00
Auditors "				=	25 00
Registers "				=	3 00
Printers "				=	20 00
				Total =	\$ 609.54

Totals