

*Sketch No. 24.*

---

---

# DRAINAGE BLANK.

---

---

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF

*James P. Elwell*

AND OTHERS.

---

---

## VIEWERS' REPORT.

---

---

Filed *October 13* 18*94*

*G. M. Putnam*  
County Auditor.

## In the Matter of the Petition

of James J. Clark

and Others

for a Ditch in the County of Ancker

State of Minnesota.

To the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Ancker  
State of Minnesota:The undersigned viewers, appointed by your order bearing date the ~~20th~~ 15<sup>th</sup> day of September 1894 to view the route proposed in the petition of

James J. Clark and others

for a public Ditch

would respectfully report that we met at the time and place specified in your said order, preparatory to commencing our duties as such viewers, and, provided with a surveyor who was a civil engineer, made an accurate survey of the line of said proposed Ditch

from its source to its outlet, and caused stakes to be set along said line, numbered progressively down stream at each 100 feet, and have caused a plat of said survey of said proposed ditch to be made, which plat is made a part of this report and attached hereto, marked Plat of Clark Ditch No 24

And we did make a computation of the number of cubic yards of earth to be excavated and removed from said ditch between each of the 100 foot stakes, and the estimated cost per cubic yard for the removal thereof, and did sum up the total number of cubic yards to be excavated and removed from the entire length of said ditch

and an estimate of the total cost of laying out, establishing and construction of the whole work. And we did cause the same to be prepared in tabular form, also giving the depth of cut, width at the bottom and width at the top, at the source, outlet and each one hundred foot stake of said Ditch which tabulated statement is made a part of this report and hereto attached, marked Exhibit No 1 Clark Ditch No 24

And we did, as by law required, specify the time and manner in which said work shall be done, and (in case of underground work) did specify the size and kind of tile to be used, or other material necessary in such underground work, and did estimate the cost of the same as a part of the total cost of said work, which statement and estimates are made a part of this report and hereto attached, marked Exhibit No 2 Clark Ditch No 24

And we did cause to be made a statement of the names of the laborers, and the time each was employed by us, and every other item of expense by us incurred in and about said work, which

