

EXHIBIT 1.

VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

*Ditch 25
Branch
~~Branch~~ Line*

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

*Filed April 6th 1895
C. W. Putnam
County Auditor*

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 25 Branch line

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS to be REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>0.0</u>			✓
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>7.</u>	✓
<u>2</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>7.</u>	✓
<u>3</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>19.</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>7</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>8</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>9</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>10</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>11</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>12</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>13</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>14</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>15</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>16</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>17</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>18</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>19</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
				<u>346</u>		
<i>Totals</i>						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 25

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. 20	2.3	2 ft	4.3	25	7	✓
and Stake No. 21	2.2	"	4.2	26	7	✓
22	2.2	"	4.2	25	7	✓
23	2.2	"	4.2	25	7	✓
24	2.5	"	4.5	28	7	✓
25	2.5	"	4.5	30	7	✓
26	2.5	"	4.5	30	7	✓
27	2.4	"	4.4	29	7	✓
28	2.6	"	4.6	30	7	✓
29	2.8	"	4.8	34	7	✓
30	2.7	"	4.7	34	7	✓
31	2.6	"	4.6	32	7	✓
32	2.7	"	4.7	32	7	✓
33	2.6	"	4.6	32	7	✓
34	2.8	"	4.8	33	7	✓
35	2.9	"	4.9	36	7	✓
36	2.9	"	4.9	37	7	✓
37	3.0	"	5.0	38	7	✓
38	3.0	"	5.0	39	7	✓
39	3.2	"	5.2	41	7	✓
				982		
<i>Totals</i>						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 25

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS <i>to be</i> REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>60</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>2 feet</u>	<u>9.0</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
and Stake No. <u>61</u>	<u>3.8</u>	"	<u>9.0</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>62</u>	<u>3.8</u>	"	<u>9.6</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>63</u>	<u>3.5</u>	"	<u>9.0</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>64</u>	<u>5.1</u>	"	<u>12.2</u>	<u>118</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>65</u>	<u>3.6</u>	"	<u>9.2</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>66</u>	<u>4.3</u>	"	<u>10.6</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>67</u>	<u>2.7</u>	"	<u>7.4</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>68</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>6.6</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>69</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>6.8</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>70</u>	<u>2.2</u>	"	<u>6.4</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>71</u>	<u>2.5</u>	"	<u>7.0</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>72</u>	<u>3.1</u>	"	<u>8.2</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>73</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>7.6</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>74</u>	<u>1.9</u>	"	<u>5.8</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
<u>75</u>	<u>1.8</u>	"	<u>5.6</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
+ <u>30</u>	<u>1.8</u>	"	<u>5.6</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>7</u>	✓
				<u>3838</u>		
<i>Totals</i>						