
DRAINAGE BLANK.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF

L. G. Anderson
.....
AND OTHERS.

VIEWERS' REPORT.

Site No. 28.

Filed *Feb. 19,* 18*88.*

G. W. Peterson,
.....
County Auditor.

No. 675.—Pioneer Press Co., Printers, St. Paul, Minn.

[Faint, illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

In the Matter of the Petition

Of L. J. Anderson and Others

for a Ditch in the County of Anoka
State of Minnesota.

To the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Anoka
State of Minnesota:

The undersigned viewers, appointed by your order bearing date the 5th
day of January 1898, to view the route proposed in the petition of
Said L. J. Anderson

for a public Ditch on the route in said
petition described

would respectfully report that we met at the time and place specified in your said order, preparatory
to commencing our duties as such viewers, and, provided with a surveyor who was a civil engineer,
made an accurate survey of the line of said proposed Ditch & branches
from its source to its outlet, and caused stakes to be set along said line, numbered progressively down
stream at each 100 feet, and have caused a plat of said survey of said proposed ditch to be made,
which plat is made a part of this report and attached hereto, marked Exhibit No 1

Viewers Report Ditch No 28 Map of Anderson
Ditch No 28 Anoka Co Minn
And we did make a computation of the number of cubic yards of earth to be excavated and removed
from said ditch & branches between each of the 100 foot stakes, and the
estimated cost per cubic yard for the removal thereof, and did sum up the total number of cubic
yards to be excavated and removed from the entire length of said ditch & branches

and an estimate of the total cost of laying out, establishing and
construction of the whole work. And we did cause the same to be prepared in tabular form, also
giving the depth of cut, width at the bottom and width at the top, at the source, outlet and each one
hundred foot stake of said Ditch & branches which tabulated statement is made
a part of this report and hereto attached, marked Exhibit No 1 Ditch No
28 - Viewers Report

And we did, as by law required, specify the time and manner in which said work shall be done, and
(in case of underground work) did specify the size and kind of tile to be used, or other material
necessary in such underground work, and did estimate the cost of the same as a part of the total
cost of said work, which statement and specifications and estimates are made a part of this report
and hereto attached, marked Exhibit No 2 Viewers Report
Ditch No 28

And we did cause to be made a statement of the names of the laborers, and the time each was
employed by us, and every other item of expense by us incurred in and about said work, which

statement is made a part of this report and hereto attached, marked Exhibit No 3
Viewers Report Ditch No 28

And we did cause to be prepared, in tabular form, a statement showing the names of the owners of each tract of land to be benefited or damaged, the description of each tract benefited or damaged (said names of owners and descriptions being the same as appear on the County tax duplicates of said Arroyo County), and the total number of acres in each of said tracts, the estimated number of acres in each of said tracts of land to be benefited or damaged (as the case may be), and the amount that each tract of land will be benefited or damaged by the construction of said work, which said statement is hereby made a part of this report and attached hereto, marked Exhibit No 4 Viewers Report Ditch 28

And (in case said ditch or branches drains, either in whole or in part, any public or corporate road or railroad, or benefits any of said roads) we did estimate the benefits arising therefrom to The Town of Butte \$50 and to Town of Ham Lake \$15.00 for benefits to Central Arroyo Road. (re-called)

and did report said benefits, names of roads, and amounts of benefits to each, as a part of our tabulated statement last above set forth;

And we do hereby report the total estimated benefits of said Ditch & Branches to be \$1392.00 and the total estimated cost and expense of construction of same 846.23 including the damages awarded therefor, to be _____ and that the utility of said proposed Ditch & branches is greater than the estimated expense of the construction of such Ditch & branches including the damages awarded therefor.

We would also recommend that that part of Branch No 3 from Sta 0 to Sta 13 be not constructed but that the same be established to be constructed by the land owners interested at such times as they may show as provided by law

and we do hereby report that the said proposed Ditch & branches will be of public utility and benefit and conducive to the public health
Respectfully submitted John A. Hartman

Robert Giddings
J. A. Hartman
Viewers.

Dated February 19th 1898

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____
A. D. 1898

19th day of February
Frank Hart
Clerk Dist. Court
Arroyo Co. Minn.