

EXHIBIT I.

VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—
DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Ditch No 28

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

*Office of County Auditor
County of Anoka, Minn.*

Filed Feb. 19, 1898.

*G. W. Putnam,
Co. Auditor.
L.*

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 28 *Main Line*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>0</u>	2.4	2 ft	4.4	24	✓
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	1.8		3.8		
<u>2</u>	2.5	"	4.5	25	✓
<u>3</u>	2.3	"	4.3	28	✓
<u>4</u>	2.2	"	4.2	26	✓
<u>5</u>	2.3	"	4.3	26	✓
<u>6</u>	1.7	"	3.7	22	✓
<u>7</u>	1.6	"	3.6	17	✓
<u>8</u>	2.8	"	4.8	25	✓
<u>9</u>	3.8	"	5.8	45	✓
<u>10</u>	2.7	"	4.7	64	✓
<u>11</u>	2.8	"	4.8	34	✓
<u>12</u>	3.0	"	5.0	37	✓
<u>13</u>	3.0	"	5.0	39	✓
<u>14</u>	2.8	"	4.8	37	✓
<u>15</u>	2.8	"	4.8	35	✓
<u>16</u>	2.7	"	4.7	38	✓
<u>17</u>	2.9	"	4.9	35	✓
<u>18</u>	2.9	"	4.9	37	✓
<u>19</u>	2.5	"	4.5	34	✓
				628	
					<i>Dip Center for all</i>
					Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *28 Main Line*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>20</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>Six (6) Cents for Each Yd</i>
and Stake No. <i>1</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>2</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>4.6</i>	<i>4.6</i>	<i>33</i>	
<i>3</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>33</i>	
<i>4</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>38</i>	
<i>5</i>	<i>3.1</i>	<i>5.1</i>	<i>5.1</i>	<i>42</i>	
<i>6</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>38</i>	
<i>7</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>4.6</i>	<i>4.6</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>8</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>9</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>37</i>	
<i>30</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>40</i>	
<i>1</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>40</i>	
<i>2</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>38</i>	
<i>3</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>42</i>	
<i>4</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>46</i>	
<i>5</i>	<i>3.6</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>49</i>	
<i>6</i>	<i>4.4</i>	<i>6.4</i>	<i>6.4</i>	<i>59</i>	
<i>7</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>5.8</i>	<i>5.8</i>	<i>58</i>	
<i>8</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>47</i>	
<i>9</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>40</i>	
				<i>814</i>	
					Totals

— 20 ft for all

215

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *28 Main Line*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>40</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>2 ft</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>39</i>	✓
and Stake No. <i>1</i>	<i>3.3</i>		<i>5.3</i>	<i>40</i>	✓
<i>2</i>	<i>3.1</i>	"	<i>5.1</i>	<i>38</i>	✓
<i>3</i>	<i>3.2</i>	"	<i>5.2</i>	<i>35</i>	✓
<i>4</i>	<i>3.1</i>	"	<i>5.1</i>	<i>37</i>	✓
<i>5</i>	<i>3.0</i>	"	<i>5.0</i>	<i>37</i>	✓
<i>6</i>	<i>2.0</i>	"	<i>4.1</i>	<i>30</i>	✓
<i>7</i>	<i>3.7</i>	"	<i>5.7</i>	<i>33</i>	✓
<i>8</i>	<i>4.0</i>	"	<i>6.0</i>	<i>36</i>	✓
<i>9</i>	<i>3.5</i>	"	<i>5.5</i>	<i>29</i>	✓
<i>10</i>	<i>3.7</i>	"	<i>5.7</i>	<i>19</i>	✓
<i>11</i>	<i>3.3</i>	"	<i>5.3</i>	<i>12</i>	✓
<i>12</i>	<i>4.0</i>	"	<i>6.0</i>	<i>28</i>	✓
<i>13</i>	<i>3.7</i>	"	<i>5.7</i>	<i>25</i>	✓
<i>14</i>	<i>4.2</i>	"	<i>6.2</i>	<i>22</i>	✓
<i>15</i>	<i>3.1</i>	"	<i>5.1</i>	<i>22</i>	✓
<i>16</i>	<i>3.8</i>	"	<i>5.8</i>	<i>18</i>	✓
<i>17</i>	<i>3.7</i>	"	<i>5.7</i>	<i>21</i>	✓
<i>18</i>	<i>4.3</i>	"	<i>6.3</i>	<i>25</i>	✓
<i>19</i>	<i>3.3</i>	"	<i>5.3</i>	<i>12</i>	✓
				<i>558</i>	
					<i>dit (6) Cents per Cubic yd</i>
					Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *25 Main Line*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>60</i>	<i>4.1</i>	<i>2 ft</i>	<i>6.1</i>	<i>10</i>	✓
and Stake No. <i>1</i>	<i>3.5</i>		<i>5.5</i>	<i>10</i>	✓
<i>2</i>	<i>3.8</i>	"	<i>5.8</i>	<i>12</i>	✓
<i>3</i>	<i>3.5</i>	"	<i>5.5</i>	<i>12</i>	✓
<i>4</i>	<i>3.4</i>	"	<i>5.4</i>	<i>14</i>	✓
<i>5</i>	<i>3.4</i>	"	<i>5.4</i>	<i>13</i>	✓
<i>6</i>	<i>3.1</i>	"	<i>5.1</i>	<i>17</i>	✓
<i>7</i>	<i>3.3</i>	"	<i>5.3</i>	<i>12</i>	✓
<i>8</i>	<i>3.4</i>	"	<i>5.4</i>	<i>10</i>	✓
<i>9</i>	<i>3.5</i>	"	<i>5.5</i>	<i>11</i>	✓
<i>70</i>	<i>3.6</i>	"	<i>5.6</i>	<i>10</i>	✓
<i>1</i>	<i>4.3</i>	"	<i>6.3</i>	<i>15</i>	✓
<i>2</i>	<i>3.8</i>	"	<i>5.8</i>	<i>19</i>	✓
<i>3</i>	<i>4.1</i>	"	<i>6.1</i>	<i>15</i>	✓
<i>4</i>	<i>3.5</i>	"	<i>5.5</i>	<i>23</i>	✓
<i>5</i>	<i>3.7</i>	"	<i>5.7</i>	<i>20</i>	✓
<i>6</i>	<i>4.1</i>	"	<i>6.1</i>	<i>17</i>	✓
<i>7</i>	<i>3.6</i>	"	<i>5.6</i>	<i>18</i>	✓
<i>8</i>	<i>3.7</i>	"	<i>5.7</i>	<i>13</i>	✓
<i>9</i>	<i>4.1</i>	"	<i>6.1</i>	<i>20</i>	✓
				<i>29 1/2</i>	
<i>Totals</i>					

16 Cents per Cubic yd

✓

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *28 Main Line*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>80</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>2 ft</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>✓</i>
and Stake No. <i>1</i>	<i>3.8</i>		<i>5.8</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>2</i>	<i>4.2</i>	"	<i>6.2</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>3</i>	<i>3.3</i>	"	<i>5.3</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>4</i>	<i>2.8</i>	"	<i>4.8</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>5</i>	<i>2.5</i>	"	<i>4.5</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>✓ 55</i>
<i>6</i>	<i>2.7</i>	"	<i>4.7</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>7</i>	<i>2.4</i>	"	<i>4.4</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>8</i>	<i>2.4</i>	"	<i>4.4</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>9</i>	<i>2.3</i>	"	<i>4.3</i>	<i>2.4</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>90</i>	<i>2.6</i>	"	<i>4.6</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>1</i>	<i>2.2</i>	"	<i>4.4</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>2</i>	<i>2.2</i>	"	<i>4.4</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>3</i>	<i>2.2</i>	"	<i>4.4</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>4</i>	<i>1.8</i>	"	<i>3.8</i>	<i>2.2</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>5</i>	<i>1.8</i>	"	<i>3.8</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>6</i>	<i>1.9</i>	"	<i>3.9</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>7</i>	<i>2.0</i>	"	<i>4.0</i>	<i>2.2</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>8</i>	<i>1.9</i>	"	<i>3.9</i>	<i>2.2</i>	<i>✓</i>
<i>9</i>	<i>2.2</i>	"	<i>4.2</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>✓</i>
				<i>289</i>	
				<i>4</i>	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *28 Main Line*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>100</i>	<i>2.1</i>	<i>2 ft</i>	<i>4.1</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>All, priced 9 Cents per yard</i>
and Stake No. <i>1</i>	<i>2.4</i>		<i>4.4</i>	<i>26</i>	
<i>2</i>	<i>1.8</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>24</i>	
<i>3</i>	<i>2.1</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.1</i>	<i>22</i>	
<i>4</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.6</i>	<i>28</i>	
<i>5</i>	<i>2.2</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.2</i>	<i>28</i>	
<i>6</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.0</i>	<i>24</i>	
<i>7</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>28</i>	
<i>8</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>37</i>	
<i>9</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>39</i>	
<i>110</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>43</i>	
<i>11</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>47</i>	
<i>12</i>	<i>3.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.7</i>	<i>50</i>	
<i>13</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>45</i>	
<i>14</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>37</i>	
<i>15</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>38</i>	
<i>16</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>36</i>	
<i>17</i>	<i>1.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.6</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>18</i>	<i>1.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>15</i>	
<i>19</i>	<i>1.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.6</i>	<i>15</i>	
				<i>632</i>	
					<i>Totals</i>

*Estimate Main Line
2376 yds @ 6¢ = 142.56
921 " " 9¢ = 82.89
Total = \$225.45*