

Repair of
County Ditch No.

**ORDER APPOINTING
VIEWERS**

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF

Edward Anderson

AND OTHERS

Filed this *24th* day of *March*

19 *20*

Robert A. Russell
County Auditor.

In the Matter of the Petition of _____ and others
Repairs of
for County Ditch No. 28 _____ in the County of Anoka _____
State of Minnesota:

State of Minnesota, }
County of Anoka } ss.

Whereas, A petition bearing date the 4th day of August
A. D. 19 19, signed by Edward Anderson and others

praying for the ~~repairs~~ ^{Repairs} of a ditch in said County, designated and numbered by the County Auditor
as County Ditch No. 28 _____, the route of which is fully described in said petition, together with a
proper bond accompanying the same, has been filed with the County Auditor of said County; and said
County Auditor has given due notice of the pendency of said petition, and the said notice has been pub-
lished and served as by law required; and hearing had on said petition pursuant to said notice; and the
said County Board of said County have heretofore duly appointed a competent engineer, to-wit: _____

Wayne L. Ridge _____ to make a survey of said ditch.

Now, Therefore, We, the County Board of said County, at a special
session held this day, do hereby appoint Ivan Anderson

and Frank Hart _____ and John Stewart _____, three resident
freeholders of said County, who are not interested in the construction of said proposed work, and not of
kin to any of the parties known to be interested therein, to be viewers of said proposed work and of the
route thereof. The said viewers shall first take an oath to faithfully perform their duties as such viewers;
and thereafter, having received a certified copy of the petition for said ditch and of the order ap-
pointing them such viewers and of the order of the County Auditor of said County designating the time
and place of the first meeting of said viewers, shall meet at the time and place specified in the order
of the County Auditor aforesaid and shall proceed to view said proposed work, and the land damaged or
benefited thereby; and having completed said view and examination of said work, shall make and file
their report thereof with said County Auditor of said County within thirty days from the date of their
first meeting. In said report they shall in tabular form give the names of the owners of each tract of
land to be benefited or damaged by said proposed work, the description of each tract of land so benefited
or damaged (said names of owners to be the same as appear on the County tax duplicates of said County,
and the description to be given in legal form), and the total number of acres in each of said tracts,
the estimated number of acres in each tract of land to be benefited or damaged (as the case may be),
the number of acres added to any tract by the total or partial drainage of any meandered lake, or
the change of any water course and the location and value of such added land; the dam-
age, if any, to riparian rights pertaining to any tract, and the amount that each tract of land will
be benefited or damaged by the construction of said work. In case said ditch drains, either in whole
or in part, any public or corporate road or railroad, or benefits any such road so that the roadbed or
traveled track of any such road will be made better by the construction of said ditch, said viewers shall
estimate the benefits arising therefrom to said road, roadbeds or railroads, and report said benefits, to-
gether with the names of roads and other particulars necessary to identify the corporations, private or
public, to be benefited thereby, and amounts of benefits to each; they shall report as part of such tabu-
lar statement the damages awarded to each municipal or other corporation for injury to any road or
roadbed, and from the necessary construction and maintenance of any bridge, culverts or other works
rendered necessary by the establishment of such ditch, stating the same separately. They shall also re-
port the total estimated benefits in respect to said ditch entire, and branches thereof, if any, and also
whether or not, in their opinion, the estimated expense of the construction of said ditch, including the
damages assessed therefor, is greater than the utility of said proposed ditch; and whether or not said
proposed ditch is impracticable for any reason, and if so, stating the reason why it should not be con-
structed. In making said view and survey of said proposed work and their report thereon, the said
viewers shall proceed according to Chapter 230 of the General Laws of 1905 as amended.

The County Board of Anoka County, Minnesota.

By E. L. FERGUSON, Chairman.

Dated this 24th day of March, A. D. 19 20.

Attest:

(Auditor's Seal)

ARTHUR A. CASWELL,
County Auditor.