

EXHIBIT *B*

Ditch no 29
VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

*Filed March 3, 1898,
G. W. Putnam,
Co. Auditor.*

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 29 Branch No 2

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>0</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>3.9</u>		<u>All Six (6) Cont'd per page</u>
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	<u>2.0</u>		<u>4.0</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>2</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>23</u>		
<u>3</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>24</u>		
<u>4</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>25</u>		
<u>5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>24</u>		
<u>6</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>20</u>		
<u>7</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>19</u>		
<u>8</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>19</u>		
<u>9</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>19</u>		
<u>10</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>19</u>		
<u>11</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>24</u>		
<u>12</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u>		
<u>13</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>28</u>		
<u>14</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>28</u>		
<u>15</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>29</u>		
<u>16</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>29</u>		
<u>17</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>31</u>		
<u>18</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>25</u>		
<u>19</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>41</u>		
			<u>477</u>		
			<u>10</u>		
					Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 219 Branch No 2

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>20</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>4.8</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>All - Six (6) Cu Yds per yd</u>
and Stake No. <u>21</u>	<u>2.6</u>		<u>4.6</u>	<u>34</u>	
<u>22</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>4.4</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>23</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>4.3</u>	<u>28</u>	
<u>24</u>	<u>2.9</u>	"	<u>4.9</u>	<u>32</u>	
<u>25</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>36</u>	
<u>26</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>35</u>	
<u>27</u>	<u>2.7</u>	"	<u>4.7</u>	<u>34</u>	
<u>28</u>	<u>2.9</u>	"	<u>4.9</u>	<u>35</u>	
<u>29</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>36</u>	
<u>30</u>	<u>3.0</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>37</u>	
<u>31</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>37</u>	
<u>32</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>35</u>	
<u>33</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>33</u>	
<u>34</u>	<u>2.7</u>	"	<u>4.7</u>	<u>27</u>	
<u>35</u>	<u>2.7</u>	"	<u>4.7</u>	<u>27</u>	
<u>36</u>	<u>2.7</u>	"	<u>4.7</u>	<u>27</u>	
<u>37</u>	<u>2.6</u>	"	<u>4.6</u>	<u>27</u>	
<u>38</u>	<u>2.6</u>	"	<u>4.6</u>	<u>25</u>	
<u>39</u>	<u>2.5</u>	"	<u>4.5</u>	<u>24</u>	
				<u>632</u>	
				<u>10</u>	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 29 Branch No 2

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>40</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>All dirt 6 Cent per yard</u>
and Stake No. <u>41</u>	<u>2.7</u>		<u>4.7</u>	<u>23</u>	
<u>42</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>24</u>	
<u>43</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>25</u>	
<u>44</u>	<u>3.1</u>	"	<u>5.1</u>	<u>25</u>	
<u>45</u>	<u>2.9</u>	"	<u>4.9</u>	<u>27</u>	
<u>46</u>	<u>2.9</u>	"	<u>4.9</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>47</u>	<u>2.9</u>	"	<u>4.9</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>48</u>	<u>3.0</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>28</u>	
<u>49</u>	<u>3.0</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>26</u>	
<u>50</u>	<u>3.1</u>	"	<u>5.1</u>	<u>29</u>	
<u>51</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>28</u>	
<u>52</u>	<u>3.0</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>27</u>	
<u>53</u>	<u>3.1</u>	"	<u>5.1</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>54</u>	<u>3.1</u>	"	<u>5.1</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>55</u>	<u>3.0</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>29</u>	
<u>56</u>	<u>3.2</u>	"	<u>5.2</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>57</u>	<u>3.0</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>58</u>	<u>3.0</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>29</u>	
<u>59</u>	<u>2.9</u>	"	<u>4.9</u>	<u>28</u>	
				<u>5519</u>	
<i>Totals</i>					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *27 Branch No 2*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>60</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>2 1/2</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>All dirt (6) Centd per yd</i>
and Stake No. <i>61</i>	<i>3.2</i>		<i>5.2</i>	<i>31</i>	
<i>62</i>	<i>3.1</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.1</i>	<i>31</i>	
<i>63</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>31</i>	
<i>64</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>31</i>	
<i>65</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>29</i>	
<i>66</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>32</i>	
<i>67</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>68</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>69</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>37</i>	
<i>70</i>	<i>3.5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.5</i>	<i>36</i>	
<i>71</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>36</i>	
<i>+60</i>	<i>3.5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.5</i>	<i>23</i>	
				<i>41</i>	
	<i>2075 yds @ 60</i>			<i>\$124.50</i>	
					<i>Estimate Branch No 2</i>
					<i>2075 yds @ 60 = 124.50</i>
					<i>Bridge Return Bond = 8.00</i>
					<i>\$132.50</i>
					Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *29 Branch No 3*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
<i>Between Stake No. 0</i>	<i>2.1</i>	<i>2 ft</i>	<i>4.1</i>		<i>All dirt (6) cut per yd</i>
<i>and Stake No. 1</i>	<i>2.1</i>		<i>4.1</i>	<i>24</i>	
<i>2</i>	<i>2.1</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.1</i>	<i>24</i>	
<i>3</i>	<i>2.2</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.2</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>4</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.5</i>	<i>28</i>	
<i>5</i>	<i>2.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.4</i>	<i>29</i>	
<i>6</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.3</i>	<i>28</i>	
<i>7</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>30</i>	
<i>8</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>9</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>37</i>	
<i>10</i>	<i>4.2</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>6.2</i>	<i>57</i>	
<i>11</i>	<i>2.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.4</i>	<i>53</i>	
<i>12</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.9</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>13</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.0</i>	<i>22</i>	
<i>14</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.5</i>	<i>19</i>	
<i>15</i>	<i>2.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.4</i>	<i>22</i>	
<i>16</i>	<i>3.1</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.1</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>17</i>	<i>3.1</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.1</i>	<i>41</i>	
<i>18</i>	<i>3.1</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.1</i>	<i>41</i>	
<i>19</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>40</i>	
				<i>593</i>	
				<i>8</i>	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *29 Branch No 3*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>20</i>	<i>3.6</i>	<i>Dft</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>All dirt cut for ya</i>
and Stake No. <i>21</i>	<i>3.2</i>		<i>5.2</i>	<i>47</i>	
<i>22</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.6</i>	<i>37</i>	
<i>23</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>33</i>	
<i>24</i>	<i>2.1</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.1</i>	<i>28</i>	
<i>25</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.3</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>26</i>	<i>2.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.4</i>	<i>28</i>	
<i>27</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.3</i>	<i>28</i>	
<i>28</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>30</i>	
<i>29</i>	<i>3.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.9</i>	<i>45</i>	
<i>30</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>47</i>	
<i>31</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.6</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>32</i>	<i>3.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>32</i>	
<i>33</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.8</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>34</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.3</i>	<i>72</i>	
<i>35</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>59</i>	
<i>36</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>37</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>38</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>34</i>	
<i>39</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.6</i>	<i>33</i>	
				<i>759</i>	
					<i>Totals</i>