

EXHIBIT *B*

Ditch no 29
VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—
DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

Filed March 3, 1898.
G. W. Putnam,
A. Auditor.

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *29* Branch *ms 5*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>40</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>2 ft</i>	<i>4.5</i>	<i>33</i>	
and Stake No. <i>41</i>	<i>2.6</i>		<i>4.6</i>	<i>31</i>	
<i>42</i>	<i>2.5</i>	"	<i>4.5</i>	<i>31</i>	
<i>43</i>	<i>2.7</i>	"	<i>4.7</i>	<i>32</i>	
<i>44</i>	<i>2.6</i>	"	<i>4.6</i>	<i>33</i>	
<i>45</i>	<i>2.3</i>	"	<i>4.3</i>	<i>29</i>	
<i>46</i>	<i>0.0</i>		<i>11</i>	<i>11</i>	
<i>47</i>	<i>x</i>			<i>200</i>	
<i>48</i>					
<i>49</i>					
<i>50</i>					
<i>51</i>					
<i>52</i>					
<i>53</i>					
<i>54</i>					
<i>55</i>					
<i>56</i>					
<i>57</i>					
<i>58</i>					
<i>59</i>					
					<i>Totals</i>

9 M Mud Lake from Sta 46 to 62

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *29 Branch No 5*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
<i>Between Stake No. 60</i>					
<i>and Stake No. 61</i>					
<i>62</i>	<i>11.11</i>	<i>2 ft</i>	<i>11.11</i>		
<i>63</i>	<i>2.8</i>		<i>4.8</i>	<i>8</i>	
<i>64</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>36</i>	
<i>65</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>42</i>	
<i>66</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>47</i>	
<i>67</i>	<i>3.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>182</i>
<i>68</i>	<i>3.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.7</i>	<i>52</i>	
<i>69</i>	<i>3.5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.5</i>	<i>51</i>	
<i>70</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>47</i>	
<i>71</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>46</i>	
<i>72</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>47</i>	
<i>73</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>45</i>	
<i>74</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>43</i>	
<i>75</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>45</i>	
<i>76</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>47</i>	
<i>77</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.2</i>	<i>45</i>	
<i>78</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>44</i>	
<i>79</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>45</i>	
				<i>557</i>	
				<i>5</i>	
					<i>Totals</i>

x Min cut per yard
Exc (6) cut per yard

VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 29 Branch No 5

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Stake No. <u>80</u>	<u>3.3</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>All dirt @ 6/ Cubic per yard</u>
Stake No. <u>81</u>	<u>3.3</u>		<u>5.3</u>	<u>45</u>	
<u>82</u>	<u>3.2</u>	"	<u>5.2</u>	<u>44</u>	
<u>83</u>	<u>2.9</u>		<u>4.9</u>	<u>42</u>	
<u>84</u>	<u>2.7</u>	"	<u>4.7</u>	<u>35</u>	
<u>+ 65</u>	<u>2.9</u>		<u>4.9</u>	<u>23</u>	
				<u>234</u>	

Estimated Branch no 5

$1557 \text{ yds @ } 6 = 93.42$
 $567 \text{ " " } 9 = 51.21$
Total = \$144.63

Totals