

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No.

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>181</u>					
and Stake No. <u>182</u>	2.6	3 ft	5.6	40	0.8
<u>183</u>	2.6	"	5.6	41	"
<u>184</u>	2.7	"	5.7	42	"
<u>185</u>	2.7	"	5.7	44	"
<u>186</u>	2.8	"	5.8	45	"
<u>187</u>	2.8	"	5.8	46	"
<u>188</u>	2.9	"	5.9	47	"
<u>189</u>	3.0	"	6.0	49	"
<u>190</u>	3.0	"	6.0	50	"
<u>191</u>	3.1	"	6.1	51	"
<u>192</u>	3.3	"	6.3	54	"
<u>193</u>	3.2	"	6.2	55	"
<u>194</u>	3.2	"	6.2	54	"
<u>195</u>	3.5	"	6.5	58	"
<u>196</u>	3.6	"	6.6	63	"
<u>197</u>	3.6	"	6.6	64	"
<u>198</u>	3.6	"	6.6	64	"
<u>199</u>	4.1	"	7.1	68	"
<u>200</u>	3.3	"	6.6	67	"
				1082	
					Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, *Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and*
12
Cost of same, in Ditch No.....

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>200</i>					
and Stake No. <i>201</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>3 ft</i>	<i>6.0</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>0.8</i>
<i>202</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.7</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>203</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>204</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>205</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.5</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>206</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>207</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.7</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>208</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.9</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>209</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.9</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>210</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.9</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>211</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.9</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>212</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.8</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>213</i>	<i>3.1</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>6.1</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>214</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>6.0</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>215</i>	<i>3.1</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>6.1</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>216</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.9</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>217</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.8</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>218</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>6.0</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>"</i>
<i>219</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.6</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>"</i>
				<i>887</i>	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and
 13 Cost of same, in Ditch No.

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	Footings - yds
Between Stake No. 219						
and Stake No. 220	2.5	3 ft	5.5	40	0.8	Shut No 1 = 248
221	2.4	"	5.4	38	"	" " 2 = 569
222	2.4	"	5.4	37	"	" " 3 = 677
223	2.3	"	5.3	36	"	" " 4 = 738
224	1.9	"	4.9	32	"	" " 5 = 793
225	1.6	"	4.6	25	"	" " 6 = 749
226	1.6	"	4.6	23	"	" " 7 = 1020
227	1.6	"	4.6	23	"	" " 8 = 1369
228	1.1	"	4.1	19	"	" " 9 = 1489
229	0.7	"	3.7	12	"	" " 11 = 1002
230	0.0	"	4.1	4	"	" " 10 = 915
						" " 12 = 884
						" " 13 = 289
						Total = 10,742
<p>Estimate of total cost</p> <p>Construction = 10,742 yds @ 8¢ = \$859.36</p> <p>Minor Costs and Expenses 94.50</p> <p>Auditors Sheriff's Register and 100.00</p> <p>Printers fees (estimated) Total \$1053.86</p>						<p>Total Cost @ 08¢ = \$859.36</p>
				289		Totals

Ditch No. 3.

EXHIBIT I.

VIEWERS' REPORT

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Sparks Ditch

No 3

Estimate of

Cost &c

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

10,742 yds

@ .08

\$ 859.36

Filed January 26. #1889.

H. M. Putnam

377.59 County Auditor

169.26

546.85