

Ditch No. 3.

DRAINAGE BLANK.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF

Henry S. Sparks
AND OTHERS.

VIEWERS' REPORT.

Filed *January 26* 1889

E. W. Putnam
County Auditor.

statement is made a part of this report and hereto attached, marked Exhibit No 3
Sparks ditch

And we did cause to be prepared, in tabular form, a statement showing the names of the owners of each tract of land to be benefited or damaged, the description of each tract benefited or damaged (said names of owners and descriptions being the same as appear on the County tax duplicates of said Amoka County), and the total number of acres in each of said tracts, the estimated number of acres in each of said tracts of land to be benefited or damaged (as the case may be), and the amount that each tract of land will be benefited or damaged by the construction of said work, which said statement is hereby made a part of this report and attached hereto, marked Exhibit No 4 Sparks ditch

And (in case said ditch drains, either in whole or in part, any public or corporate road or railroad, or benefits any of said roads) we did estimate the benefits arising therefrom to be equal to the damages caused by the repair Murray on the bridge

and did report said benefits, names of roads, and ~~amounts of~~ benefits to each, as a part of our tabulated statement last above set forth;

And we do hereby report the total estimated benefits of said ditch to be \$1781.00 and the total estimated cost and expense of construction of said ditch including the damages awarded therefor, to be \$1053.86 and that the utility of said proposed ditch is greater than the estimated expense of the construction of such ditch including the damages awarded therefor.

and we do hereby report that the said proposed ditch will be of public utility.

Respectfully submitted

John Hunt
Beac & Varney
Littlefield

Viewers.

Dated January 26th 1889

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 26th day of January
A. D. 1889

S. M. Futuane

