
VIEWS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Ditch 31

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

*Office of County Auditor
County of Anoka, Minn.*

Filed April 30th 1898.

*G. B. Pulnam
Co. Auditor
C.*

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *31 Branch No 1*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>0</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>2 ft</i>	<i>4.5</i>	<i>27</i> ✓	<i>All dirt 16¢ Cost per yd</i>
and Stake No. <i>1</i>	<i>2.1</i>		<i>4.1</i>		
<i>2</i>	<i>2.1</i>	"	<i>4.1</i>	<i>24</i> ✓	
<i>3</i>	<i>2.4</i>	"	<i>4.4</i>	<i>26</i> ✓	
<i>4</i>	<i>2.4</i>	"	<i>4.4</i>	<i>28</i> ✓	
<i>5</i>	<i>2.4</i>	"	<i>4.4</i>	<i>28</i> ✓	
<i>6</i>	<i>2.3</i>	"	<i>4.3</i>	<i>28</i> ✓	
<i>7</i>	<i>2.2</i>	"	<i>4.2</i>	<i>26</i> ✓	
<i>8</i>	<i>2.0</i>	"	<i>4.0</i>	<i>24</i> ✓	
<i>9</i>	<i>2.2</i>	"	<i>4.2</i>	<i>24</i> ✓	
<i>10</i>	<i>2.2</i>	"	<i>4.2</i>	<i>25</i> ✓	
<i>11</i>	<i>2.2</i>	"	<i>4.2</i>	<i>25</i> ✓	
<i>12</i>	<i>2.2</i>	"	<i>4.2</i>	<i>27</i> ✓	
<i>13</i>	<i>2.0</i>	"	<i>4.0</i>	<i>25</i> ✓	
<i>14</i>	<i>2.0</i>	"	<i>4.0</i>	<i>22</i> ✓	
<i>15</i>	<i>2.5</i>	"	<i>4.5</i>	<i>26</i> ✓	
<i>16</i>	<i>2.3</i>	"	<i>4.3</i>	<i>28</i> ✓	
<i>17</i>	<i>2.4</i>	"	<i>4.4</i>	<i>28</i> ✓	
<i>18</i>	<i>2.5</i>	"	<i>4.5</i>	<i>29</i> ✓	
<i>19</i>	<i>2.4</i>	"	<i>4.4</i>	<i>29</i> ✓	
				<i>499</i>	
				<i>11</i>	
					Totals

580

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 31 Branch No 1

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>20</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>29</u> ✓	<u>All dirt (6) cut to surface</u>
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	<u>2.5</u>		<u>4.5</u>	<u>30</u> ✓	
<u>2</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>4.4</u>	<u>29</u> ✓	
<u>3</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>4.3</u>	<u>28</u> ✓	
<u>4</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>4.3</u>	<u>27</u> ✓	
<u>5</u>	<u>2.7</u>	"	<u>4.7</u>	<u>30</u> ✓	
<u>6</u>	<u>2.9</u>	"	<u>4.9</u>	<u>35</u> ✓	
<u>7</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>36</u> ✓	
<u>8</u>	<u>2.5</u>	"	<u>4.5</u>	<u>33</u> ✓	
<u>9</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>33</u> ✓	
<u>30</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>4.4</u>	<u>32</u> ✓	
<u>1</u>	<u>2.6</u>	"	<u>4.6</u>	<u>30</u> ✓	
<u>2</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>33</u> ✓	
<u>3</u>	<u>2.6</u>	"	<u>4.6</u>	<u>34</u> ✓	
<u>4</u>	<u>2.7</u>	"	<u>4.7</u>	<u>33</u> ✓	
<u>5</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>4.3</u>	<u>30</u> ✓	
<u>6</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u> ✓	
<u>7</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u> ✓	
<u>8</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u> ✓	
<u>9</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u> ✓	
				<u>614</u>	
<i>Totals</i>					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 31 Branch No 1

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>40</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u> ✓	<u>All dip 6/100 per yd</u>
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	<u>2.4</u>		<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u> ✓	
<u>2</u>	<u>2.2</u>		<u>4.2</u>	<u>28</u> ✓	
<u>3</u>	<u>2.4</u>		<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u> ✓	
<u>4</u>	<u>2.1</u>		<u>4.1</u>	<u>26</u> ✓	
<u>5</u>	<u>2.2</u>		<u>4.2</u>	<u>24</u> ✓	
<u>6</u>	<u>2.4</u>		<u>4.4</u>	<u>27</u> ✓	
<u>7</u>	<u>1.9</u>		<u>3.9</u>	<u>24</u> ✓	
<u>8</u>	<u>1.6</u>		<u>3.6</u>	<u>19</u> ✓	
<u>9</u>	<u>2.0</u>		<u>4.0</u>	<u>19</u> ✓	
<u>50</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>18</u> ✓		
<u>1</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>3.2</u>	<u>13</u> ✓		
<u>2</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>17</u> ✓		
<u>3</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>23</u> ✓		
<u>4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>23</u> ✓		
<u>5</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>24</u> ✓		
<u>6</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>28</u> ✓		
<u>7</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>30</u> ✓		
<u>8</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>29</u> ✓		
<u>9</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>27</u> ✓		
			<u>483</u>		
			<u>12</u>		
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 31 Branch No 1

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>60</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>29</u> ✓	<p><i>All dip cuts per yd</i></p> <p><i>Estimate Branch No 1</i></p> <p><i>2004 yds @ 6' = 120.24</i></p> <p><i>Bridge at Sta 15 = 8.00</i></p> <p><i>Total = \$128.24</i></p>
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	<u>2.4</u>		<u>4.4</u>	<u>30</u> ✓	
<u>2</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>4.3</u>	<u>27</u> ✓	
<u>3</u>	<u>2.2</u>	"	<u>4.2</u>	<u>26</u> ✓	
<u>4</u>	<u>2.2</u>	"	<u>4.2</u>	<u>26</u> ✓	
<u>5</u>	<u>1.6</u>	"	<u>3.6</u>	<u>21</u> ✓	
<u>6</u>	<u>2.2</u>	"	<u>4.2</u>	<u>21</u> ✓	
<u>7</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>4.4</u>	<u>27</u> ✓	
<u>8</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>4.3</u>	<u>28</u> ✓	
<u>9</u>	<u>2.2</u>	"	<u>4.2</u>	<u>26</u> ✓	
<u>7</u> <u>0</u>	<u>2.2</u>	"	<u>4.2</u>	<u>25</u> ✓	
<u>1</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>4.3</u>	<u>26</u> ✓	
<u>2</u>	<u>2.1</u>	"	<u>4.1</u>	<u>25</u> ✓	
<u>3</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>4.3</u>	<u>25</u> ✓	
<u>4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	"	<u>4.0</u>	<u>24</u> ✓	
<u>5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	"	<u>4.0</u>	<u>22</u> ✓	
				<u>408</u>	Totals