

EXHIBIT I.

---

# VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

---

*Ditch No 32*

*Branch No 10*

---

*Estimated Cost*  
*= \$134.98*

---

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

*Filed July 11<sup>th</sup> 1898*  
*G. W. Putnam*  
*County Auditor*

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *32 Branch 72010*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>0</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>25 ft</i>	<i>6.9</i>		
and Stake No. <i>+15</i>	<i>3.5</i>		<i>7.2</i>		
<i>1</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.9</i>	<i>52</i>	
<i>2</i>	<i>1.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.5</i>	<i>29</i>	
<i>3</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>12</i>	
<i>4</i>	<i>1.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.5</i>	<i>8</i>	
<i>5</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>17</i>	
<i>6</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>7</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>26</i>	
<i>8</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>26</i>	
<i>9</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>26</i>	
<i>10</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.3</i>	<i>23</i>	
<i>11</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>12</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.3</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>13</i>	<i>1.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.9</i>	<i>16</i>	
<i>14</i>	<i>1.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.4</i>	<i>17</i>	
<i>15</i>	<i>1.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.9</i>	<i>17</i>	
<i>16</i>	<i>1.0</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.5</i>	<i>12</i>	
<i>17</i>	<i>1.2</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>12</i>	
<i>18</i>	<i>1.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.4</i>	<i>16</i>	
				<i>384</i>	<i>9 1/2</i>
<i>Totals</i>					

All removed by contract for yard

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 32 Branch No 10

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>19</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>7.1</u>	<u>36</u>	✓
and Stake No. <u>20</u>	<u>3.4</u>		<u>7.1</u>	<u>57</u>	
<u>1</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>50</u>	✓
<u>2</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>39</u>	✓
<u>3</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>33</u>	✓
<u>4</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>35</u>	✓
<u>5</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>36</u>	✓
<u>6</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.6</u>	<u>33</u>	✓
<u>7</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>33</u>	✓
<u>8</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>27</u>	✓
<u>9</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>20</u>	✓
<u>10</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>19</u>	✓
<u>11</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>19</u>	✓
<u>12</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>18</u>	✓
<u>13</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>17</u>	✓
<u>14</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>17</u>	✓
<u>15</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>18</u>	✓
<u>16</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>26</u>	✓
<u>17</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.9</u>	<u>27</u>	✓
<u>18</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>17</u>	✓
				<u>597</u>	<u>9 1/2</u>
				<u>11</u>	
					Totals

All down (7) cut off per yard

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *32 Branch No 10*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>39</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>Dft</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>All down (7) Cents per Yd</i>
and Stake No. <i>40</i>	<i>1.3</i>		<i>3.9</i>	<i>19</i>	
<i>1</i>	<i>1.6</i>		<i>4.4</i>	<i>17</i>	
<i>2</i>	<i>2.3</i>		<i>5.4</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>3</i>	<i>2.7</i>		<i>6.0</i>	<i>36</i>	
<i>4</i>	<i>2.5</i>		<i>5.7</i>	<i>38</i>	
<i>5</i>	<i>1.8</i>		<i>4.7</i>	<i>29</i>	
<i>6</i>	<i>1.3</i>		<i>3.9</i>	<i>18</i>	
<i>7</i>	<i>2.2</i>		<i>5.3</i>	<i>23</i>	
<i>8</i>	<i>1.8</i>		<i>4.7</i>	<i>26</i>	
<i>9</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>6.3</i>	<i>33</i>		
<i>10</i>	<i>1.8</i>	<i>4.7</i>	<i>33</i>		
<i>11</i>	<i>1.6</i>	<i>4.4</i>	<i>35</i>		
<i>12</i>	<i>2.2</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>51</i>		
<i>13</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>6.0</i>	<i>56</i>		
<i>14</i>	<i>4.6</i>	<i>8.9</i>	<i>31</i>		
<i>15</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>7.7</i>	<i>80</i>		
<i>16</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>9.3</i>	<i>67</i>		
<i>17</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>6.9</i>	<i>46</i>		
<i>18</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>6.8</i>	<i>10</i>		
			<i>540</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>9/10</i>
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 32 Branch 10

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>59</u>	<u>3.1</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>5</u>	✓
and Stake No. <u>60</u>	<u>3.4</u>		<u>7.1</u>	<u>8</u>	
<u>1</u>	<u>3.5</u>	"	<u>7.2</u>	<u>2</u>	✓
<u>2</u>	<u>3.6</u>	"	<u>7.4</u>	<u>16</u>	✓
<u>3</u>	<u>3.5</u>	"	<u>7.2</u>	<u>16</u>	✓
<u>4</u>	<u>3.4</u>	"	<u>7.1</u>	<u>17</u>	✓
<u>5</u>	<u>3.7</u>	"	<u>7.5</u>	<u>10</u>	✓ 9/100
				<u>90</u>	
				<u>12</u>	
					<p><i>Estimated Branch No. 10</i></p> <p><i>1614 yds @ 7¢ = \$112.98</i></p> <p><i>Bridge at 0+15 = 11.00 ✓</i></p> <p><i>" " 49 = 11.00 ✓</i></p> <p><i>Orland</i></p> <p><i>\$134.98</i></p>
					Totals