

EXHIBIT I.

VIEWERS' REPORT

— IN —

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Ditch No 32

Branch No 7

Estimated Cost
\$144 43

No. 686.— Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

Filed July 11 1898
J. M. Putnam
County Auditor

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 32 Branch No 7

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>0</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>2 ft</u>	<u>6.2</u>		
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	<u>2.1</u>		<u>5.1</u>	<u>35</u>	
<u>2</u>	<u>2.8</u>	"	<u>6.2</u>	<u>35</u>	
<u>3</u>	<u>1.6</u>	"	<u>4.4</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>4</u>	<u>1.6</u>	"	<u>4.4</u>	<u>19</u>	
<u>5</u>	<u>1.9</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>6</u>	<u>3.0</u>	"	<u>6.5</u>	<u>35</u>	
<u>7</u>	<u>1.9</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>35</u>	
<u>8</u>	<u>2.2</u>	"	<u>5.3</u>	<u>27</u>	
<u>9</u>	<u>2.2</u>	"	<u>5.3</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>10</u>	<u>2.5</u>	"	<u>5.7</u>	<u>38</u>	
<u>1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>31</u>	
<u>2</u>	<u>1.7</u>	"	<u>4.5</u>	<u>24</u>	
<u>3</u>	<u>1.7</u>	"	<u>4.5</u>	<u>23</u>	
<u>4</u>	<u>1.9</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>23</u>	
<u>5</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>5.4</u>	<u>29</u>	
<u>6</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>5.4</u>	<u>31</u>	
<u>7</u>	<u>2.1</u>	"	<u>5.1</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>8</u>	<u>2.0</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>27</u>	
<u>9</u>	<u>2.0</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>26</u>	
				<u>545</u>	<u>7 8/9</u>
<i>Totals</i>					

All line (6) cut & buried

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 32 Branch No 7

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>20</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>Diff</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>All Run (7) Curved</u>	
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	<u>1.2</u>		<u>3.8</u>	<u>17</u>		
<u>2</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>22</u>		
<u>3</u>	<u>0.8</u>		<u>3.2</u>	<u>17</u>		
<u>4</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>17</u>		
<u>5</u>	<u>2.0</u>		<u>5.0</u>	<u>28</u>		
<u>6</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>24</u>		
<u>7</u>	<u>1.9</u>		<u>4.8</u>	<u>23</u>		
<u>8</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>23</u>		
<u>9</u>	<u>2.5</u>		<u>5.7</u>	<u>28</u>		
<u>30</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>7.7</u>	<u>57</u>		
<u>1</u>	<u>3.9</u>		<u>7.8</u>	<u>70</u>		
<u>2</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>7.5</u>	<u>68</u>		
<u>3</u>	<u>2.8</u>		<u>6.2</u>	<u>53</u>		
<u>4</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>39</u>		
<u>5</u>	<u>3.1</u>		<u>6.6</u>	<u>42</u>		
<u>6</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.5</u>	<u>48</u>		
<u>7</u>	<u>1.8</u>		<u>4.7</u>	<u>34</u>		
<u>8</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>26</u>		
<u>9</u>	<u>1.4</u>		<u>4.1</u>	<u>23</u>		
				<u>577</u>		<u>9 1/2</u>
<i>Totals</i>						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *32 Branch no 7*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <i>40</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>Dft</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>All dirt (6) Auto hauled</i>
and Stake No. <i>1</i>	<i>2.2</i>		<i>5.3</i>	<i>17</i>	
<i>2</i>	<i>2.3</i>		<i>5.4</i>	<i>20</i>	
<i>3</i>	<i>3.5</i>		<i>7.2</i>	<i>37</i>	
<i>4</i>	<i>4.0</i>		<i>8.0</i>	<i>51</i>	
<i>5</i>	<i>3.4</i>		<i>7.1</i>	<i>50</i>	
<i>6</i>	<i>2.5</i>		<i>5.7</i>	<i>35</i>	
<i>7</i>	<i>2.6</i>		<i>5.9</i>	<i>27</i>	
<i>8</i>	<i>1.7</i>		<i>4.5</i>	<i>21</i>	
<i>9</i>	<i>3.0</i>		<i>6.5</i>	<i>23</i>	
<i>50</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>All dirt (6) Auto hauled</i>
<i>1</i>	<i>2.2</i>		<i>5.3</i>	<i>16</i>	
<i>2</i>	<i>1.9</i>		<i>4.8</i>	<i>17</i>	
<i>3</i>	<i>2.4</i>		<i>5.6</i>	<i>21</i>	
<i>4</i>	<i>2.6</i>		<i>5.9</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>5</i>	<i>2.3</i>		<i>5.4</i>	<i>24</i>	
<i>6</i>	<i>2.6</i>		<i>5.9</i>	<i>24</i>	
<i>7</i>	<i>2.3</i>		<i>5.4</i>	<i>25</i>	
<i>8</i>	<i>3.0</i>		<i>6.5</i>	<i>28</i>	
<i>9</i>	<i>2.5</i>		<i>5.7</i>	<i>30</i>	
			<i>535</i>	<i>57</i>	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, *Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 32 Branch W 7*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 60	2.5	2 ft	5.7	25 ✓	All dirt (6) cut for yard
and Stake No. 1	3.0		6.5	29 ✓	
2	3.1	"	6.6	37 ✓	
3	3.1	"	6.6	39 ✓	
4	3.0	"	6.5	37 ✓	
5	3.4	"	7.1	42 ✓	
6	3.1	"	6.6	43 ✓	
7	3.3	"	6.9	41 ✓	
8	3.5	"	7.2	45 ✓	
9	3.5	"	7.2	49 ✓	
10	3.3	"	6.9	47 ✓	
11	3.4	"	7.1	46 ✓	
12	3.2	"	6.8	45 ✓	
13	1.2	"	3.8	30 ✓	
14	1.3	"	3.9	14 ✓	
15	1.3	"	3.9	14 ✓	
16	1.3	"	3.9	14 ✓	
17	1.4	"	4.1	15 ✓	
18	1.4	"	4.1	16 ✓	
19	1.4	"	4.1	16 ✓	
				64 4 10	7 1/10
<i>Totals</i>					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 32 Branch No 7

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>80</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>20 ft</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>47 1/2</u>
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	<u>1.5</u>		<u>4.3</u>	<u>17</u>	
<u>23</u>	<u>1.5</u>		<u>4.3</u>	<u>17</u>	
<u>+30</u>	<u>2.9</u>		<u>6.3</u>	<u>9</u>	
				<u>60</u>	
<p><i>Estimate Branch No 7</i> <i>1784 yds ex @ 6¢ = \$97.04</i> <i>677 " " 7 = 47.39</i> <i>Total \$144.43</i></p>					
					Totals