31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	11 12	10	9	<b>∞</b>	7	6	5	4	ယ	20	-
			The commence of the control of the c		Complete to the state of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the se	The second control of the second points of the second control of t						management of the transfer of		The manufacture of the control of th		The control of the second of t				" No. 6	No. 5	No.4	" No. 3	No.2	sSheet No.1			The property of the Control of the C	
		A THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT			enter tennet i kommunikation hada mikhansikkakkisha komunikation	e i kaka mana anga anga mi i ika sa isala minuma, manla da danja dalamananana manananana manananana						and the second s		Part of the control o					20 / 25	2000	501	534	474	799	498	No. ct Yds.	<b>B</b> 1		
														· m make a samanananananan tama tama make make sama tama sama sama sama sama sama sama					306,28	30.45	75.15	80.10	71.10	119.85	129.60	10	Branch No.1	o t	
	The control of the co				• Compression of the state of the control of the co	Procumentary report of the contribution of the state of t		region and provided and analysis and the second and				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. Hits times for maximum content beauty beauty allows and the comment beauty of	The street of th					8000			69.00	20.00	6.00	ORGANI ARITHMATIN'A MANTANINA NA RABANANANA NA RABANANANANA NA RABANANANANA NA RABANANANANANANANANANANANANANAN	Clearing and			
							emment is defined as the second of the secon			And the state of t			e en						\$601.25	30,45	75.15	149, 10	91.10	125.85	129.60	Total Cost			AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

No. 2562-ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS

EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in County Ditch No. 35

SECTION	(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	(3) WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	(4) NO. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	(5) Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	(6) Character of Other Expenses, including Preliminary Expenses and Expenses of Inspecting Work until Completed	(7) Total Estimated Cost
		AI BOITOM	AT TOP	TO BE KEMOVED	· \$ /o CTS	With Total Per Section  \$ CTs	Per Section  S CTS
					Persta		
Between Stake No. 0	2.6	2.0	7.2				
and Stake No. /	2,2	,,	64	. 39	5-85-	4	585
Between Stake No. 2	2,7	"	7.4	4/	6/5		6/5
and Stake No. 3	2.7		74	. 47	705		700
Between Stake No. 4	3,0	••	8.0	· '-/	. 764		765
and Stake No. 3	2.9	4	7.8	5-4	810		8/0
Between Stake No. 6	7,5		7.0	47	704-		. 705
and Stake No. 7	2,9	•	7.8	47	705-		703
Between Stake No. &	2.6	H	7.2	49	735-		735
and Stake No. 9	24	,	6.8	42	630		. 630
Between Stake No. 10	24	•	6.8	. 39	5-85		2-85
and Stake No. //	2.7		. 24	43	6 445		6 45
Between Stake No. /2	2,7		64	:41	6/4		6/5
and Stake No. /3	l.u -		7.0	38	٠ - ی		570
Between Stake No. 14	2,6	~	7.7	43	6 45-		6 45
and Stake No. 🔎	24	٠	68	42	630		630
Between Stake No. /6	3,/	••	8,2	49	7 30		735
and Stake No. //	J,U-		9.0	60-	9 75-		9 75
Between Stake No. 18	<b>4.3</b>	٠. ٠	۷,۷	54	8/0		810
and Stake No. 19	₹. 0	<b>~</b>	6.6	33 864	129.60		4 95

## EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in County Ditch No. 35

			(2) WIDTH OF CUT	(3) WIDTH OF CUT	(4) NO, OF CUBIC YARDS	(5) Estimated Cost	(6) Character of Other Expenses, including Preliminary Expenses	(7) Total
	SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	AT BOTTOM	AT TOP	TO BE REMOVED	Per Cubic Yard	and Expenses of Inspecting Work until Completed With Total Per Section	Estimated Cost Per Section
						\$/\\	\$ Стs	\$ CTS
	·					Per, Sta.	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Between Stake No. 🗷 o	2.7	2,0	7.4	39	585		5 85
eritano.	and Stake No. 21	24		6.8.	43	6 45		6 45
	Between Stake No. 22	25		7.0	4/	6/5		615
	and Stake No. 23	24	•	6.8	41	6/2-		6 15
	Between Stake No. 24	25	norm of the state	7.0	41	6/3		615
	and Stake No. メゾ	24	4	6.8	41	٠,١٦		6 15
	Between Stake No. 26	3.7	e <sub>1</sub>	8.4	ن- <sub>0</sub>	750		7 50
1.	and Stake No. 485	37	P	9.4	ى م ك	900		900
	Between Stake No. 27	1.9	<i>a</i>	. 5-8	8'	120		120
Marie Annie Annie	and Stake No. 28	19	,	5-8	27	405		405
	Between Stake No. 29	1.8	4	3,-6	26	390		3 90
-	and Stake No. 30	35	/,	9,0	49	735	L	7 35
· ·	Between Stake No. 3/	3,/		8,7	. (5-	9 75		9 75
	and Stake No. 32	19	4	5-8	43	. 6 45		6 45
	Between Stake No. 33	1.8	<i>Y</i> .	ئ-رى	24	390	clearing	490
	and Stake No. 34	2,7	- 4	7.4	36	5-40	., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	640
	Between Stake No. 30	2.8	· ·	7.6	49	735	,,	8 35
	and Stake No. 3 6	4.7	*	74	49	735	,, / 00	835
	Between Stake No. 3 7	2.1	Ç	62	40	600	,, / 00	7 00
	and Stake No. 38	14		4.8	.75	375	/ 00	4 75
					199	119.85	: 600	1125,85

EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in County Ditch No. 35

SECTION	(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	(3) WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	Cayds No. of cu to be R	PCu yds. BIC YARDS EMOVED	(5) Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	(6) Character of Other Expenses, including Preliminar and Expenses of Inspecting Work until Comp With Total Per Section		(7) Total Estimated Cost Per Section
				Deducted.	Execv.	\$./UCTS		\$ CTS	\$ CTS
Between Stake No. 3 9	1 0	2 . /	. 50	The state of the s	23	Per, Sta.	-10		
	1,9	2.0	58		i	345	clearing	/ 00	4 45
and Stake No. 40	15-	4	5.0		24	360		100	460
Between Stake No. 4/	1.7		54		21	315		100	4 15
and Stake No. 42	1.6		5.7		23	3 45		. 100	4 45
Between Stake No. 43	21		6,2	manufacture of the control of the co	27	· 4 w-		100	5 05
and Stake No. 44	2.3		6.6		3 ≰	5/0		100	6 10
Between Stake No. 4&	7.5	4	7.0		35	5-81-		/ 000	6 85
and Stake No. 46	2.1	<b>L</b>	6.2	16	27	405		100	5 05
Between Stake No. 47	2.7		64	15	18	270		100	<i>3</i> 70
and Stake No. 4 8	2.6	٩	7.2	19	20	300		100	400
Between Stake No. 4 9	3,/		8.2	. 19	3/	465	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100	5 65
and Stake No. くつ	18	',	U-6	12	30	450		100	5 50
Between Stake No. とて	2.1	••	6.2	12	17	250-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100	355
and Stake No. うえ	₹.0		6.0	12	19	285		100	3 85
Between Stake No. 37	2,2		6.4	12	20	3 00	·	100	4 00
and Stake No. & Z	2, 2	<u> </u>	6.4	14	20	3 0 0	·	100	400
Between Stake No. くし	2.4		6.8	18	19	285		100	3 85
and Stake No. 5%	2,2		6.4	.18	19	285		100	3 85
Between Stake No. & 7	26	***	7.3	18	2/	3 15		100	4 15
and Stake No. 58	2,/		4.2	16	.22 474	330		20,00	430 \$91.10

## EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in County Ditch No. 35

SECTION	(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	(3) WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	1	(4) Culled S. UBIC, YARDS REMOVED	(5) Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	(6) Character of Other Expenses, including Preliminary Expenses of Inspecting Work until Completed With Total Per Section	186S	(7) Total Estimated ( Per Section	
				Neducted	Lxeal.	\$.14 CTS.		\$ CTS	\$	Стѕ
Between Stake No. 59	2.2	2,3'	6.4	28	3-	73	Clearing	100		75
and Stake No. 6	2,1	***************************************	8,8	21	12	180		100	2	80
Between Stake No. 6/	34	T i	. 9,0	41	. 9	/35	44	100	2	35
and Stake No. 62	35	£( ,	7.8	64	4	90	,,	100		90
Between Stake No. 6 3	2.9	•	7,4	5-8	4	60		100	,	60
and Stake No. 64	2.7	•	5,6	47	3-	75+		100		75
Between Stake No. しょっ	1.8	· Cı	7.6	30	6	90	,	100	,	90
and Stake No. 6 6	2.8	4	9.8	33	4	60		100		60
Between Stake No. 6 7	3.9	4	10,0	43	24	340	n -2	100	4	166
and Stake No. 6	40	4.	11,0	0 ک	37	555		1 00	6	55
Between Stake No. 6 9	4.5		//, 0	53	44	660	,,	100	7	70
and Stake No. 70	45	"	11.0	5-9	50	750		100	8	50
Between Stake No. 7/	4.1	4	10,2	43	58	870	.,	100	9	70
and Stake No. 72	3.7	c,	9,4	27	59	- 885		100	9	85
Between Stake No. 7 3	4.4	e)	10.8	33	58	. 870		100	9	70
and Stake No. +60	6.8	٠,	15.6	43	45-	675	~~•		6	75
Between Stake No. 74	4.3		10.6	30	34	310		100	6	10
and Stake No. 75	2.6		7. 2	19	5-4	810	,,,	100	9	10
Between Stake No. +27	29	4	7.8		13	190	26'f24"Corr. Fron pipe 99.8 % Pure	5200	53	
and Stake No. +37	42		10,4	And Annual Annua	.7	1 05	0	-	1	05
				122	534	80.10		69.00	149.	10

EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in County Ditch No. 367

	. (1)		(2)	(3)	leunds '	(4) Cullds.	(5)	(6)	(7.)	AND SECTION SECTIONS
	SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	+ No of Ci	(4) CUIDS UBIC YARDS REMOVED	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	Character of Other Expenses, including Preliminary Expenses and Expenses of Inspecting Work until Completed With Total Per Section	Total Estimated C Per Section	
					Deducto	. L. Exeor	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	\$ CT\$	\$	CTS.
						-	Persta.	**************************************	- Indiana	-
-	Between Stake No. 147	2.9	2.0'	7.8	The state of the s	8	120			20
	and Stake No. 76	2.8	,,	7.6	6	21	3/5		3	15
	Between Stake No. 77	2.8	•	7.6	12	38	570		5	70
The second secon	and Stake No. 78	2.2	•	6,4	7	35	521		5	25
	Between Stake No. 79	2.8	ΕŢ	7.6	10	32	480		4	80
	and Stake No. & O	2.4	• •	6.8	//	33	495		4	95
· ·	Between Stake No. 81	2.3	.,	6.6	9	29	435		4	35 <sup>+</sup>
11	and Stake No. 82	2.7	Ł,	64	9	27	405		4	05
	Between Stake No. 8 3	3.7	*(	9,4	30	26	390	·	3	90
	and Stake No. $f  ot <$	2.9		7.8	43	23	345		J.	45
	Between Stake No. 85	2,9		7.8	32	21	315		3	105
	and Stake No. 🖇 💪	2.6	l i	7.7	30	67	1005		10	05
	Between Stake No. 87	2.2	WA TO THE TOTAL PARTY OF THE TOT	64	24	1/3-	225		$\mathcal{Z}$	25
	and Stake No. & &	1,7	"	57	14	15-	225		2	25
	Between Stake No. § 9	1,3		4.6	8	12	1.80		/	80
	and Stake No. 90	24	4,	6,8	10	18	270		2	70
	Between Stake No. 9/	2,1	,	6.2	9	27	405			05+
	and Stake No. 92	1.8	H	5,6	7	22	330		3	30
	Between Stake No. 93	1.6	* <b>3</b>	5,7	9	14	210		2	-
	and Stake No. 94	1.6		5.7	3	18	270		2	70
					283	501	73.13		#75.	15

# EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in County Ditch No. 35.

	SECTION	(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	(3) WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	OUVES (1) TO BE R	1	(5) Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	(6) Character of Other Expenses, including Preliminary Expenses and Expenses of Inspecting Work until Completed With Total Per Section		(7) Total Estimated ( Per Section	Cost
		in the second		Construction and the construction of the const	Dedacted. Excar	d. Excar.		\$	Стя	\$	Стз.
Adam's adam of the state of the				And the second s	and the second		Per Sta.	· ·			-
	Between Stake No. 95	1,7	2,01	5-4	13	10	150	•		/	150
	and Stake No. 9 6	2.8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.6	14	23	345			3	345
	Between Stake No. 97	2.6	<b>"</b>	7,2	14	33	4 25-			4	9.5
:	and Stake No. 98	1.8	4	5,6		35-	5705			5	25
	Between Stake No. 99	1.9	4	5-8		26	390		The state of the s	3	90
	and Stake No. /00	1,8		5.6	The state of the s	26	390			3	90
	Between Stake No. /o /	2.3	,	6.6		3/	465			4	65
[-  -	and Stake No. +60	2.3	4	6,6	The state of the s	19	2805			2	8.5
	Between Stake No.				The state of the s	Anniel de la companya		•	*		The state of the s
	and Stake No.	A TOTAL PROPERTY AND A TOTAL P			STATE OF THE PARTY						
	Between Stake No.				The state of the s						
	and Stake No.	e .							Participation of the control of the		
	Between Stake No.									· .*	Contract of the Contract of th
	and Stake No.	and the second s									Company of the Compan
	Between Stake No.				The second secon						EFF TABLE FO LANGE
	and Stake No.	The second secon							And an annual annual and an annual an		III to be a second
	Between Stake No.			,			Acroning to the second		* The state of the		
	and Stake No.	•									
	Between Stake No.										
YES TO STATE OF THE STATE OF TH	and Stake No.					Water and the second of the se					A TROUTBOAT AND

30.43