

EXHIBIT I.

VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

^B
EXHIBIT A OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 35. Mean Line

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
					\$	
Between Stake No. 0	2.1 ft	2.0 ft.	6.2 ft.		\$	
and Stake No. 1	2.1 "	2.0	6.2 "	31.85	0	08
2	2.4 "	2.0	6.8 "	35.56	0	08
3	2.5 "	2.0	7.0	40.37	0	08
4	2.1 "	2.0	6.2	36.67	0	08
5	3.2 "	2.0	8.4	46.67	0	08
6	3.3 "	2.0	8.6	62.96	0	08
7	2.7 "	2.0	7.4	55.93	0	08
8	3.3 "	2.0	8.6	55.93	0	08
9	2.3 "	2.0	6.6	50.74	0	08
10	3.4 "	2.0	8.8	52.22	0	08
11	3.3 "	2.0	8.6	66.30	0	08
12	2.8 "	2.0	7.6	57.41	0	08
13	3.0 "	3.0	9.0	58.15	0	08
14	2.0 "	3.0	7.0	51.85	0	08
15	4.0 "	3.0	11.0	70.37	0	08
16	3.3 "	3.0	9.6	90.37	0	08
17	2.8 "	3.0	8.6	68.52	0	08
18	1.9 "	3.0	6.8	47.41	0	08
19	2.0 "	3.0	7.0	35.93	0	08
				1015.21	\$	0 08
						Totals \$ 81.22

From Sta 5
 To Sta 6 - 15 yds taken out
 To Sta 7 - 15 yds } for an old ditch
 Total 30 yds

81.2168

77.7

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 35 Main line.

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>19</u>	<u>2.0 ft.</u>	<u>3.0 ft.</u>	<u>7.0 ft.</u>		\$	
and Stake No. <u>20</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.8</u>	<u>42.59</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>21</u>	<u>1.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>41.11</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>22</u>	<u>1.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>34.44</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>23</u>	<u>2.0 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.0</u>	<u>35.93</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>24</u>	<u>1.8 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>34.44</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>25</u>	<u>2.1 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.2</u>	<u>35.93</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>26</u>	<u>1.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>37.04</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>27</u>	<u>3.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>10.8</u>	<u>67.02</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>28</u>	<u>1.8 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>65.93</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>29</u>	<u>3.2 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>9.4</u>	<u>52.59</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>30</u>	<u>1.5 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>49.26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>31</u>	<u>1.7 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.4</u>	<u>27.41</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>32</u>	<u>2.0 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.0</u>	<u>33.33</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>33</u>	<u>1.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>35.93</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>34</u>	<u>1.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>34.44</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>35</u>	<u>2.0 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.0</u>	<u>35.93</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>36</u>	<u>1.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>5.8</u>	<u>30.00</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>37</u>	<u>1.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>25.19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>38</u>	<u>1.5 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>25.93</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
				<u>744.44</u>	\$	<u>0 08</u>
						Totals \$ <u>59.55</u>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 35 Main line.

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
					\$	
Between Stake No. <u>38</u>	<u>1.5 ft</u>	<u>3.0 ft.</u>	<u>6.0 ft.</u>			
and Stake No. <u>39</u>	<u>2.1 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.2 "</u>	<u>32.22</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>40</u>	<u>1.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.2 "</u>	<u>33.33</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>41</u>	<u>1.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.2 "</u>	<u>27.41</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>42</u>	<u>2.0 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.0 "</u>	<u>32.22</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
✓ <u>43</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>43.56</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>44</u>	<u>1.7 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.4 "</u>	<u>41.85</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>45</u>	<u>1.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>5.8 "</u>	<u>26.30</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>46</u>	<u>1.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>5.8 "</u>	<u>22.96</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
✓ <u>47</u>	<u>1.5 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.0 "</u>	<u>24.07</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>48</u>	<u>1.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>5.8 "</u>	<u>24.07</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>49</u>	<u>1.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.2 "</u>	<u>25.19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
✓ <u>50</u>	<u>1.2 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>5.4 "</u>	<u>22.96</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>51</u>	<u>1.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>5.8 "</u>	<u>20.74</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>52</u>	<u>1.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.2 "</u>	<u>25.19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>53</u>	<u>1.5 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.0 "</u>	<u>26.30</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
✓ <u>54</u>	<u>2.2 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.4 "</u>	<u>33.70</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>55</u>	<u>1.7 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.4 "</u>	<u>35.93</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
✓ <u>56</u>	<u>1.3 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>5.6 "</u>	<u>25.19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>57</u>	<u>1.1 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>5.2 "</u>	<u>18.89</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
				<u>544.08</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>008</u>
						Totals <u>\$43.53</u>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 35 Main line.

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>57</u>	<u>1.1 ft.</u>	<u>3.0 ft.</u>	<u>5.2 ft.</u>		\$	
and Stake No. <u>58</u>	<u>1.8 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.6 "</u>	<u>24.44</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>59</u>	<u>1.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>5.8 "</u>	<u>27.41</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>Aw, 1/2</u> <u>60</u>	<u>2.3 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.6 "</u>	<u>34.07</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>61</u>	<u>2.3 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.6 "</u>	<u>45.19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>62</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>46.67</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>✓63</u>	<u>1.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>6.8 "</u>	<u>41.48</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>x64</u>	<u>2.2 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.4 "</u>	<u>38.52</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>65</u>	<u>2.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.8 "</u>	<u>52.96</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>66</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>57.04</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>67</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.4 "</u>	<u>54.07</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>68</u>	<u>2.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.8 "</u>	<u>60.00</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>✓69</u>	<u>2.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.8 "</u>	<u>63.33</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>70</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.4 "</u>	<u>60.00</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>71</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>55.56</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>72</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>51.11</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>✓73</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>48.15</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>74</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>51.11</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>675</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>54.07</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
<u>76</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.4 "</u>	<u>55.56</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0 8</u>
				<u>920.74</u>	\$	<u>0 0 8</u>
						Totals <u>\$ 73.66</u>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 35 Main Line.

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD		
Between Stake No. 76	2.7 ft.	3.0 ft.	8.4 ft.				
and Stake No. 77	2.7 "	3.0 "	8.4 "	57.04	0	08	
78	2.9 "	3.0 "	8.8 "	60.00	0	08	
✓ 79	2.8 "	3.0 "	8.6 "	61.85	0	08	
80	2.9 "	3.0 "	8.8 "	61.85	0	08	
✓ 81	2.9 "	3.0 "	8.8 "	63.33	0	08	
✓ 82	2.9 "	3.0 "	8.8 "	63.33	0	08	
✓ 83	2.8 "	3.0 "	8.6 "	61.85	0	08	
✓ 84	3.0 "	3.0 "	9.0 "	63.33	0	08	
H.B.S. ✓ 85	3.2 "	3.0 "	9.4 "	70.00	0	08	
86	3.0 "	3.0 "	9.0 "	62.60	0	08	Old ditch 2' wide 1' deep = 7.4 yds. are taken out.
87	3.0 "	3.0 "	9.0 "	59.27	0	08	" " " " " "
88	3.5 "	3.0 "	10.0 "	68.16	0	08	" " " " " "
89	3.0 "	3.0 "	9.0 "	68.16	0	08	" " " " " "
90	2.9 "	3.0 "	8.8 "	57.79	0	08	" " " " " "
91	2.9 "	3.0 "	8.8 "	55.93	0	08	" " " " " "
92	3.0 "	3.0 "	9.0 "	57.79	0	08	" " " " " "
93	3.0 "	3.0 "	9.0 "	59.27	0	08	" " " " " "
94	2.7 "	3.0 "	8.4 "	54.45	0	08	" " " " " "
95	2.8 "	3.0 "	8.6 "	51.12	0	08	" " " " " "
				1157.12	\$	008	Totals \$ 92.56

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 35 Main Line.

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>95</u>	<u>2.8 ft.</u>	<u>3.0 ft.</u>	<u>8.6 ft.</u>			
and Stake No. <u>96</u>	<u>2.8 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.6 "</u>	<u>60.85</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>97</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>54.07</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>98</u>	<u>2.3 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.6 "</u>	<u>46.67</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>99</u>	<u>2.3 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.6 "</u>	<u>45.19</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>100</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>46.67</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>101</u>	<u>2.1 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.2 "</u>	<u>43.70</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>102</u>	<u>2.1 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.2 "</u>	<u>39.63</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>103</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>9.0 "</u>	<u>52.96</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>104</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>9.0 "</u>	<u>66.67</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>105</u>	<u>3.2 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>9.4 "</u>	<u>70.00</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>106</u>	<u>3.1 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>9.2 "</u>	<u>71.85</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>107</u>	<u>2.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.8 "</u>	<u>66.67</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>108</u>	<u>2.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.8 "</u>	<u>63.33</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>109</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>58.52</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>110</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>54.07</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>111</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>9.0 "</u>	<u>60.00</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>112</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>9.0 "</u>	<u>66.67</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>113</u>	<u>3.2 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>9.4 "</u>	<u>70.00</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>114</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>62.22</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
				<u>1099.74</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>0 0 8</u>
						<u>Totals \$87.98</u>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. *35 Main Line*

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
<i>Between Stake No. 114</i>	<i>2.5 ft.</i>	<i>3.0 ft.</i>	<i>8.0 ft.</i>		<i>\$</i>	
<i>and Stake No. 115</i>	<i>3.5 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>10.0 "</i>	<i>67.41</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>116</i>	<i>3.5 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>10.0 "</i>	<i>84.44</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>117</i>	<i>3.1 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>9.2 "</i>	<i>77.04</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>118</i>	<i>3.5 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>10.0 "</i>	<i>77.04</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>119</i>	<i>3.3 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>9.6 "</i>	<i>80.74</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>120</i>	<i>2.9 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>8.8 "</i>	<i>70.37</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>121</i>	<i>2.5 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>8.0 "</i>	<i>57.04</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>122</i>	<i>2.1 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>7.2 "</i>	<i>45.19</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>123</i>	<i>2.6 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>8.2 "</i>	<i>46.67</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>124</i>	<i>2.6 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>8.2 "</i>	<i>54.07</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>125</i>	<i>4.2 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>11.4 "</i>	<i>82.96</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>126</i>	<i>2.2 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>7.4 "</i>	<i>74.07</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>127</i>	<i>1.9 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>6.8 "</i>	<i>38.52</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>128</i>	<i>1.9 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>6.8 "</i>	<i>34.44</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>129</i>	<i>2.5 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>8.0 "</i>	<i>42.22</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>130</i>	<i>2.5 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>8.0 "</i>	<i>51.11</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>131</i>	<i>2.3 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>7.6 "</i>	<i>48.15</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>132</i>	<i>2.5 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>8.0 "</i>	<i>48.15</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
<i>133</i>	<i>2.5 "</i>	<i>3.0 "</i>	<i>8.0 "</i>	<i>51.11</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>08</i>
				<i>1130.74</i>	<i>\$</i>	<i>0 08</i>
						<i>Totals \$ 90.46</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 35 Main line

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>133</u>	<u>2.5 ft.</u>	<u>3.0 ft.</u>	<u>8.0 ft.</u>			
and Stake No. <u>134</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.4 "</u>	<u>54.07</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>135</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.4 "</u>	<u>57.04</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>136</u>	<u>3.1 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>9.2 "</u>	<u>63.33</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>137</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>60.00</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>138</u>	<u>2.0 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.0 "</u>	<u>44.07</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>139</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>44.07</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>140</u>	<u>2.0 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>7.0 "</u>	<u>44.07</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>141</u>	<u>2.9 "</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>8.8 "</u>	<u>50.37</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>142</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>9.0 "</u>	<u>61.85</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>143</u>	<u>1.8 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>7.6 "</u>	<u>49.63</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>144</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>9.2 "</u>	<u>51.11</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>145</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>9.2 "</u>	<u>63.70</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>146</u>	<u>2.2 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>8.4 "</u>	<u>57.04</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>147</u>	<u>2.2 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>8.4 "</u>	<u>50.37</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>148</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>8.8 "</u>	<u>53.70</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>149</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>8.8 "</u>	<u>57.04</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>150</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>9.2 "</u>	<u>60.00</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>151</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>9.2 "</u>	<u>63.70</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
<u>152</u>	<u>2.8 "</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>9.6 "</u>	<u>67.04</u>		<u>0 0 8</u>
				<u>1052.20</u>		
					<u>\$</u>	<u>0 0 8</u>
						<u>Totals \$ 84.18</u>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 35 Main line.

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>152</u>	<u>2.8 ft.</u>	<u>4.0 ft.</u>	<u>9.6 ft.</u>		\$	
and Stake No. <u>153</u>	<u>2.8 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>9.6</u>	<u>70.37</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>154</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>10.0</u>	<u>74.07</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>155</u>	<u>2.2 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>8.4</u>	<u>63.70</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>156</u>	<u>2.9 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>9.8</u>	<u>62.22</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>157</u>	<u>2.9 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>9.8</u>	<u>74.07</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>158</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>9.4</u>	<u>70.37</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>159</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>9.4</u>	<u>66.67</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>160</u>	<u>3.4 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>10.8</u>	<u>80.00</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>161</u>	<u>3.4 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>10.8</u>	<u>93.33</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>162</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>9.2</u>	<u>78.52</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>163</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>10.0</u>	<u>70.37</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>164</u>	<u>2.8 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>9.6</u>	<u>74.07</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>165</u>	<u>3.9 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>9.8</u>	<u>92.22</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>166</u>	<u>3.1 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>97.78</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>167</u>	<u>4.1 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>12.2</u>	<u>102.22</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>168</u>	<u>4.0 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>12.0</u>	<u>120.74</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>169</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>8.8</u>	<u>87.41</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>170</u>	<u>3.4 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>10.8</u>	<u>75.19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
<u>+58</u>	<u>3.4 "</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>10.8</u>	<u>54.13</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>08</u>
				<u>1507.45</u>	\$	<u>008</u>
						Totals \$ <u>120.60</u>

VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Ditch No. 35

Main Line

Filed Apr. 10th 1899
Selden, 774 E. Gaffney
Co. Archer