

No 27

EXHIBIT I.

VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Filed Oct. 4th 1899
Selden M. Gaffey
Co. Auditor

No. 686.— Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

EXHIBIT ^{"B"} VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>0</u> and Stake No. <u>49.00</u>	Owing to the bottom of culvert in E. M. R.R. being 1.5 feet too high and from the fact that there were three large sand cuts to be made the viewer decide to cut out that part and report it not feasible as there is only small area to be drained				
Between stake no. <u>49.0</u> and stake no. <u>50</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>2.0 ft.</u>	<u>5.2 ft.</u>		<i>All at 6¢ per cu. yd.</i>
<u>51</u>	<u>1.6</u>		<u>5.2</u> "	<u>21</u>	
<u>52</u>	<u>1.7</u>		<u>5.4</u> "	<u>22</u>	
<u>53</u>	<u>1.6</u>		<u>5.2</u> "	<u>22</u>	
<u>54</u>	<u>1.8</u>		<u>5.6</u> "	<u>23</u>	
<u>55</u>	<u>1.8</u>		<u>5.6</u> "	<u>25</u>	
<u>56</u>	<u>2.0</u>		<u>6.0</u> "	<u>27</u>	
<u>57</u>	<u>1.4</u>		<u>4.8</u> "	<u>24</u>	
<u>58</u>	<u>1.7</u>		<u>5.4</u> "	<u>20</u>	
<u>59</u>	<u>1.7</u>		<u>5.4</u> "	<u>23</u>	
<u>60</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>6.0</u> "	<u>26</u>		
	<u>1.5</u>	<u>5.0</u> "	<u>24</u>		
				<u>257</u>	Totals <u>\$ 15.42</u>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>60</u>	<u>1.5</u>		<u>5.0 ft.</u>	<u>20</u>	
and Stake No. <u>61</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>2.0 ft.</u>	<u>5.6 "</u>	<u>23</u>	
<u>62</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.2 "</u>	<u>23</u>	
<u>63</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.4 "</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>64</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.6 "</u>	<u>24</u>	
<u>65</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.6 "</u>	<u>25</u>	
<u>66</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.0 "</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>67</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.0 "</u>	<u>20</u>	
<u>68</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.0 "</u>	<u>20</u>	
<u>69</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.4 "</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>70</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.2 "</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>71</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.6 "</u>	<u>23</u>	
<u>72</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.2 "</u>	<u>23</u>	
<u>73</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.4 "</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>74</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.2 "</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>75</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.2 "</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>76</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.4 "</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>77</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.0 "</u>	<u>22</u>	
<u>78</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.2 "</u>	<u>20</u>	
<u>79</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.4 "</u>	<u>22</u>	
				<u>421</u>	
					Totals \$ <u>25.26</u>

All at 6¢ per cu. yd.

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>79</u>	<u>1.7</u>		<u>5.4 ft</u>		
and Stake No. <u>80</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>2.0 ft.</u>	<u>5.2</u>	<u>2 2</u>	
<u>81</u>	<u>1.6</u>	"	<u>5.2</u>	<u>2 1</u>	
<u>82</u>	<u>1.4</u>	"	<u>4.8</u>	<u>2 0</u>	
<u>83</u>	<u>1.5</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>1 9</u>	
<u>84</u>	<u>1.7</u>	"	<u>5.4</u>	<u>2 1</u>	
<u>85</u>	<u>1.6</u>	"	<u>5.2</u>	<u>2 2</u>	
<u>86</u>	<u>1.5</u>	"	<u>5.0</u>	<u>2 0</u>	
<u>87</u>	<u>2.0</u>	"	<u>6.0</u>	<u>2 4</u>	
<u>88</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>6.6</u>	<u>2 4</u>	
<u>89</u>	<u>3.3</u>	"	<u>8.0</u>	<u>2 5</u>	
<u>90</u>	<u>1.6</u>	"	<u>5.2</u>	<u>2 0</u>	
<u>91</u>	<u>2.1</u>	"	<u>6.4</u>	<u>2 0</u>	
<u>92</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>6.4</u>	<u>2 3</u>	
<u>93</u>	<u>2.0</u>	"	<u>6.0</u>	<u>2 2</u>	
<u>94</u>	<u>2.4</u>	"	<u>6.4</u>	<u>2 2</u>	
<u>95</u>	<u>1.7</u>	"	<u>5.4</u>	<u>2 0</u>	
<u>96</u>	<u>1.7</u>	"	<u>5.4</u>	<u>2 0</u>	
<u>97</u>	<u>2.3</u>	"	<u>6.4</u>	<u>2 1</u>	
<u>98</u>	<u>3.3</u>	"	<u>8.6</u>	<u>3 4</u>	
				<u>4 2 0</u>	
					Totals <u>\$ 25.20</u>

All at 6¢ per cu. yd.

Old ditch ^{begins} quantities are taken out of this estimate leaving only the no. of cu. yds. to be removed

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD		
Between Stake No. <u>98</u>	3.3	2.0	8.6 ft.				
and Stake No. <u>99</u>	2.3	2.0 ft.	6.6 "	34	0	06	
<u>100</u>	2.3	"	6.6 "	25	0	06	
<u>101</u>	2.6	" "	7.2 "	28	0	06	
<u>102</u>	1.9	" "	5.8 "	26	0	06	
<u>103</u>	2.0	" "	6.0 "	18	0	06	
<u>104</u>	3.4	" "	8.8 "	37	0	08	13 yds @ 6¢ = \$7.86
<u>105</u>	4.0	" "	10.0 "	56	0	08	
<u>106</u>	4.3	" "	10.6 "	71	0	08	
<u>107</u>	4.7	" "	11.4	109	0	08	
<u>108</u>	2.2	" "	6.4	60	0	08	33 3 yds @ 8¢ = \$26.64
<u>109</u>	2.3	" "	6.6	29			
<u>110</u>	2.2	" "	6.4	29			
<u>111</u>	2.4	" "	6.8	30			
<u>112</u>	2.4	" "	6.8	39			
<u>113</u>	2.2	" "	6.4	37			
<u>114</u>	2.6	" "	7.2	39			
<u>115</u>	2.4	" "	6.8	41			
<u>116</u>	2.7	" "	7.4	43			
<u>117</u>	2.4	" "	6.8	43			
							End of Odd ditch
							33 0 yds @ 6¢ = \$19.80
							Totals \$54.30

All at 6¢ per cu yd

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	ESTIMATED COST PER SECTION
Between Stake No. <u>117</u>	<u>2.4 ft.</u>	<u>2.0 ft.</u>	<u>6.8 ft.</u>	<u>37</u>	<i>All at 6¢ per cu. yd.</i>	
and Stake No. <u>118</u>	<u>2.0 "</u>	<u>2.0 ft.</u>	<u>6.0 "</u>	<u>34</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>119</u>	<u>1.1 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.2 "</u>	<u>21</u>		
and Stake No. <u>120</u>	<u>3.3 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.6 "</u>	<u>57</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>121</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.2 "</u>	<u>55</u>		
and Stake No. <u>122</u>	<u>2.3 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>6.6 "</u>	<u>40</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>123</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.4 "</u>	<u>42</u>		
and Stake No. <u>124</u>	<u>3.1 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>53</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>125</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>57</u>		
and Stake No. <u>126</u>	<u>3.6 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>9.2 "</u>	<u>65</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>127</u>	<u>1.4 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.8 "</u>	<u>46</u>		
and Stake No. <u>128</u>	<u>1.3 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.6 "</u>	<u>17</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>129</u>	<u>1.3 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>4.6 "</u>	<u>16</u>		
and Stake No. <u>130</u>	<u>1.9 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>5.8 "</u>	<u>22</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>131</u>	<u>2.0 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>6.0 "</u>	<u>29</u>		
and Stake No. <u>132</u>	<u>2.3 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>6.6 "</u>	<u>33</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>133</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.0 "</u>	<u>39</u>		
and Stake No. <u>134</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.2 "</u>	<u>43</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>135</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.2 "</u>	<u>44</u>		
and Stake No. <u>136</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.2 "</u>	<u>44</u>		
				<u>757</u>		Totals <u>\$ 45.42</u>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	ESTIMATED COST PER SECTION
Between Stake No. <u>136</u>	<u>2.6</u>		<u>7.2 ft.</u>		<i>all at 6¢ per cu. yd.</i>	
and Stake No. <u>137</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>2.0 ft.</u>	<u>7.6 "</u>	<u>47</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>138</u>	<u>2.4</u>	" "	<u>6.8 "</u>	<u>44</u>		
and Stake No. <u>139</u>	<u>2.1</u>	" "	<u>6.2 "</u>	<u>36</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>140</u>	<u>1.8</u>	" "	<u>5.6</u>	<u>29</u>		
and Stake No. <u>141</u>	<u>1.8</u>	" "	<u>5.6</u>	<u>25</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>142</u>	<u>2.5</u>	" "	<u>7.0</u>	<u>33</u>		
and Stake No. <u>143</u>	<u>1.8</u>	" "	<u>5.6</u>	<u>33</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>144</u>	<u>1.6</u>	" "	<u>5.2</u>	<u>23</u>		
and Stake No. <u>145</u>	<u>1.4</u>	" "	<u>4.8</u>	<u>20</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>146</u>	<u>1.6</u>	" "	<u>5.2</u>	<u>20</u>		
and Stake No. <u>147</u>	<u>1.3</u>	" "	<u>4.6</u>	<u>19</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>148</u>	<u>1.5</u>	" "	<u>5.0</u>	<u>18</u>		
and Stake No. <u>149</u>	<u>1.7</u>	" "	<u>5.4</u>	<u>23</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>150</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.0 ft.</u>	<u>7.0</u>	<u>30</u>	<i>all at 7¢ per cu. yd.</i>	
and Stake No. <u>151</u>	<u>2.0</u>	" "	<u>7.0</u>	<u>37</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>152</u>	<u>2.1</u>	" "	<u>7.2</u>	<u>38</u>		
and Stake No. <u>153</u>	<u>2.1</u>	" "	<u>7.2</u>	<u>40</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>154</u>	<u>1.9</u>	" "	<u>6.8</u>	<u>37</u>		
and Stake No. <u>155</u>	<u>2.0</u>	" "	<u>7.0</u>	<u>36</u>		
				<u>588</u>		<u>214 at 6¢ \$12.84</u>
						<u>374 yds @ 7¢ \$26.18</u>
						<u>Totals \$39.02</u>