

No 27

EXHIBIT I.

VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Filed Oct. 4th 1899

Selden H. Gaffey
Co. Auditor

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	ESTIMATED COST PER SECTION
Between Stake No. <u>155</u>	<u>2.0</u> ft.					
and Stake No. <u>156</u>	<u>1.8</u> "	<u>3.0</u> ft.	<u>6.8</u> ft.	<u>34</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>157</u>	<u>1.8</u> "	" "	<u>6.6</u> "	<u>32</u>		
and Stake No. <u>158</u>	<u>1.9</u> "	" "	<u>6.8</u> "	<u>33</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>159</u>	<u>1.8</u> "	" "	<u>6.6</u> "	<u>33</u>		
and Stake No. <u>160</u>	<u>1.9</u> "	" "	<u>6.8</u> "	<u>33</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>161</u>	<u>2.1</u> "	" "	<u>7.2</u> "	<u>37</u>		
and Stake No. <u>162</u>	<u>2.2</u> "	" "	<u>7.4</u> "	<u>41</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>163</u>	<u>2.1</u> "	" "	<u>7.2</u> "	<u>41</u>		
and Stake No. <u>164</u>	<u>2.0</u> "	" "	<u>7.0</u> "	<u>38</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>165</u>	<u>2.0</u> "	" "	<u>7.0</u> "	<u>37</u>		
and Stake No. <u>166</u>	<u>2.1</u> "	" "	<u>7.2</u> "	<u>38</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>167</u>	<u>2.1</u> "	" "	<u>7.2</u> "	<u>40</u>		
and Stake No. <u>168</u>	<u>2.0</u> "	" "	<u>7.0</u> "	<u>38</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>169</u>	<u>2.4</u> "	" "	<u>7.8</u> "	<u>43</u>		
and Stake No. <u>170</u>	<u>2.3</u> "	" "	<u>7.6</u> "	<u>47</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>171</u>	<u>2.5</u> "	" "	<u>8.0</u> "	<u>48</u>		
and Stake No. <u>172</u>	<u>2.3</u> "	" "	<u>7.6</u> "	<u>48</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>173</u>	<u>2.4</u> "	" "	<u>7.8</u> "	<u>47</u>		
and Stake No. <u>174</u>	<u>2.4</u> "	" "	<u>7.8</u> "	<u>48</u>		
				<u>756</u>		
						Totals

All at 17¢ per cu. yd.

\$ 52.92

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	ESTIMATED COST PER SECTION
Between Stake No. <u>174</u>	<u>2.4 ft.</u>					
and Stake No. <u>175</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>3.0 ft.</u>	<u>8.0 ft.</u>	<u>49</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>176</u>	<u>2.2 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.4 "</u>	<u>47</u>		
and Stake No. <u>177</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>47</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>178</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>51</u>		
and Stake No. <u>179</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>51</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>180</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>51</u>		
and Stake No. <u>181</u>	<u>2.2 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.4 "</u>	<u>47</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>182</u>	<u>2.1 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.2 "</u>	<u>41</u>		
and Stake No. <u>183</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.4 "</u>	<u>48</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>184</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>53</u>		
and Stake No. <u>185</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>49</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>186</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>49</u>		
and Stake No. <u>187</u>	<u>2.2 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.4 "</u>	<u>45</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>188</u>	<u>2.8 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.6 "</u>	<u>51</u>		
and Stake No. <u>189</u>	<u>2.1 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.2 "</u>	<u>50</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>190</u>	<u>2.2 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.4 "</u>	<u>41</u>		
and Stake No. <u>191</u>	<u>2.3 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.6 "</u>	<u>44</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>192</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>47</u>		
and Stake No. <u>193</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>49</u>		
				<u>910</u>		
						Totals <u>\$ 63.70</u>

all at 7¢ per cu yd.

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	ESTIMATED COST PER SECTION
Between Stake No. <u>193</u>	<u>2.5 ft.</u>		<u>8.0 ft.</u>			
and Stake No. <u>194</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>3.0 ft.</u>	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>49</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>195</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>51</u>		
and Stake No. <u>196</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.4 "</u>	<u>56</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>197</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>54</u>		
and Stake No. <u>198</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>51</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>199</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>52</u>		
and Stake No. <u>200</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>52</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>201</u>	<u>2.3 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.6 "</u>	<u>48</u>		
and Stake No. <u>202</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>48</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>203</u>	<u>2.4 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>49</u>		
and Stake No. <u>204</u>	<u>2.6 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>51</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>205</u>	<u>2.5 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>52</u>		
and Stake No. <u>206</u>	<u>2.8 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.6 "</u>	<u>56</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>207</u>	<u>2.7 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>8.4 "</u>	<u>58</u>		
and Stake No. <u>208</u>	<u>3.1 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>9.2 "</u>	<u>65</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>209</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>9.0 "</u>	<u>68</u>		
and Stake No. <u>210</u>	<u>3.0 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>9.0 "</u>	<u>67</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>211</u>	<u>3.3 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>9.6 "</u>	<u>73</u>		
and Stake No. <u>212</u>	<u>3.3 "</u>	<u>" "</u>	<u>9.6 "</u>	<u>80</u>		
				<u>1080</u>		
						Totals <u>\$75.60</u>

All at 7¢ per cu. yd.

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	ESTIMATED COST PER SECTION
Between Stake No. <u>212</u>	<u>3.3 ft.</u>					
and Stake No. <u>213</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>3.0 ft.</u>	<u>8.0 ft.</u>	<u>66</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>214</u>	<u>2.6</u>	" "	<u>8.2 "</u>	<u>52</u>		
and Stake No. <u>215</u>	<u>2.5</u>	" "	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>52</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>216</u>	<u>3.1</u>	" "	<u>9.2 "</u>	<u>60</u>		
and Stake No. <u>217</u>	<u>2.4</u>	" "	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>59</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>218</u>	<u>2.8</u>	" "	<u>8.6 "</u>	<u>54</u>		
and Stake No. <u>219</u>	<u>3.4</u>	" "	<u>9.8 "</u>	<u>71</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>220</u>	<u>2.4</u>	" "	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>66</u>		
and Stake No. <u>221</u>	<u>2.4</u>	" "	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>48</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>222</u>	<u>2.0</u>	" "	<u>7.0 "</u>	<u>43</u>		
and Stake No. <u>223</u>	<u>1.9</u>	" "	<u>6.8 "</u>	<u>37</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>224</u>	<u>2.2</u>	" "	<u>7.4 "</u>	<u>39</u>		
and Stake No. <u>225</u>	<u>2.1</u>	" "	<u>7.2 "</u>	<u>41</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>226</u>	<u>2.8</u>	" "	<u>8.6 "</u>	<u>50</u>		
and Stake No. <u>227</u>	<u>2.5</u>	" "	<u>8.0 "</u>	<u>56</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>228</u>	<u>2.4</u>	" "	<u>7.8 "</u>	<u>49</u>		
and Stake No. <u>229</u>	<u>2.2</u>	" "	<u>7.4 "</u>	<u>45</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>230</u>	<u>2.0</u>	" "	<u>7.0 "</u>	<u>40</u>		
and Stake No. <u>231</u>	<u>2.3</u>	" "	<u>7.6 "</u>	<u>41</u>		
				<u>969</u>		
						Totals <u>\$67.83</u>

All at 7¢ per cu. yd.

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 37

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	ESTIMATED COST PER SECTION
Between Stake No. <u>231</u>	<u>2.3</u> ft.					
and Stake No. <u>232</u>	<u>2.3</u> "	<u>3.0</u> ft.	<u>7.6</u> ft.	<u>31</u>		at 230+30 an old ditch runs to end 254+80 quantities are taken out leaving only yds. to be removed.
Between Stake No. <u>233</u>	<u>2.1</u> "	<u>3.0</u> ft.	<u>7.2</u> "	<u>29</u>		
and Stake No. <u>234</u>	<u>2.1</u> "	" "	<u>7.2</u> "	<u>26</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>235</u>	<u>2.0</u> "	" "	<u>7.0</u> "	<u>26</u>		
and Stake No. <u>236</u>	<u>2.2</u> "	" "	<u>7.4</u> "	<u>26</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>237</u>	<u>2.0</u> "	" "	<u>7.0</u> "	<u>26</u>		
and Stake No. <u>238</u>	<u>2.2</u> "	<u>4.0</u> ft.	<u>8.4</u> ft.	<u>30</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>239</u>	<u>2.2</u> "	" "	<u>8.4</u> "	<u>40</u>		
and Stake No. <u>240</u>	<u>2.3</u> "	" "	<u>8.6</u> "	<u>42</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>241</u>	<u>2.3</u> "	" "	<u>8.6</u> "	<u>45</u>		
and Stake No. <u>242</u>	<u>2.2</u> "	" "	<u>8.4</u> "	<u>42</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>243</u>	<u>2.2</u> "	" "	<u>8.4</u> "	<u>40</u>		
and Stake No. <u>244</u>	<u>2.0</u> "	" "	<u>8.0</u> "	<u>35</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>245</u>	<u>2.0</u> "	" "	<u>8.0</u> "	<u>30</u>		
and Stake No. <u>246</u>	<u>2.3</u> "	" "	<u>8.6</u> "	<u>36</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>247</u>	<u>2.2</u> "	" "	<u>8.4</u> "	<u>40</u>		
and Stake No. <u>248</u>	<u>2.2</u> "	" "	<u>8.4</u> "	<u>40</u>		
Between Stake No. <u>249</u>	<u>2.4</u> "	" "	<u>8.8</u> "	<u>43</u>		
and Stake No. <u>250</u>	<u>2.4</u> "	" "	<u>8.8</u> "	<u>44</u>		
				<u>671</u>		Totals <u>\$46.97</u>

all at 7¢ per cu. yd.

