

Ditch No 39

# DRAINAGE BLANK.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF

*Henry Lee*  
AND OTHERS.

## VIEWERS' REPORT.

25-68

25-72

Dated *August 2<sup>nd</sup>* 190 *2*

Subscribed and sworn to before me

Respectfully submitted,

*James Johnson*  
*John Johnson*  
*W. Johnson*

Viewers.

this *2<sup>nd</sup>* day of *August* A. D. 190 *2*

*Selden M. C. Gapp*  
*Benjamin C. Cudde*  
*Quincy Co. Minn.*

Filed *August 2<sup>nd</sup>* 190 *2*

*Selden M. C. Gapp*  
County Auditor.

In the Matter of the Petition

of Henry Lee and others  
for a Public Ditch in the County of Anoka  
State of Minnesota.

To the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Anoka  
State of Minnesota:

The undersigned viewers, appointed by your order bearing date the 22nd  
day of July 1902, to view the route proposed in the petition of  
Henry Lee and others  
for a public Ditch

would respectfully report that we met at the time and place specified in your said order, preparatory  
to commencing our duties as such viewers, and provided with the soil engineer appointed to have  
charge of said matter, and did view and examine the said Ditch from  
its source to its outlet, and the lands over which the same passes, and the lands adjacent thereto to be  
affected thereby. We did estimate the benefits and damages to lands affected by said Ditch

And we did cause to be prepared, in tabular form, a statement showing  
the names of the owners of each tract of land to be benefited or damaged by the construction of said  
Ditch, the description of each tract benefited or damaged (said  
names of owners and descriptions being the same as appear on the County tax duplicates of said  
Anoka County), and the total number of acres in each of said tracts,  
the estimated number of acres in each of said tracts of land to be benefited or damaged (as the case  
may be), and the amount that each tract of land will be benefited or damaged by the construction of  
said work, which said statement is hereby made a part of this report and attached hereto, marked  
Exhibit No. 1. Viewers Report of Ditch No. 39.

And (in case said ditch drains, either in whole or in part, any  
public or corporate road or railroad, or benefits any of said roads) we did estimate the benefits arising  
therefrom to all roads ~~adjacent~~ adjacent thereto as follows:—The Central  
Arme Road and a certain Town Road on the N.  
line of Sec. 19.

and did report said benefits, names of roads, and amounts of benefits to each in a tabulated state-  
ment hereto attached, marked Exhibit No. 2. Viewers Report of Ditch  
No. 39.

And we do hereby report the total estimated benefits of said Ditch  
to be \$ 701.00 and the total estimated cost and expense of construction  
of said ditch \_\_\_\_\_ including the damages awarded therefor, to be  
\$ 700.10 and that the utility of said proposed Ditch  
is greater than the estimated expense of the construction of such Ditch

including the damages awarded therefor.....

And we did cause to be made a statement of the actual time we were engaged in said work and the expenses incurred by us, which statement is made a part of this report, marked Exhibit No. 3

of Wital No. 39

and we do hereby report that the said proposed Wital will be of public utility.