

DRAINAGE BLANK.

In the matter of the Petition
of

Hudson Guy et al for a
public ditch.

County Auditor's order designating time and place for meeting of viewers.

Filed this 30th day of
March, 1907.



County Auditor of
Anoka County, Minnesota.

Filed March 20 1907

Arthur A. Caswell
Co Auditor

Arthur A. Caswell

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HUDSON GRAY and others for a Public Ditch in the County of Anoka, State of Minnesota:
WILLIAMS, a Petitioner has been filed, bearing date the 18th day of July, 1906, praying for the establishment of a public ditch in said county along the route described in said petition, together with a proper bond accompanying the same, and the said petition has been read and considered by the Board of County Commissioners of said County at their meeting held on the 30th day of August, 1906, pursuant to notice of said meeting duly published and posted as by law required, and the said Board of County Commissioners has appointed a competent engineer, to-wit: J. L. Hill, to make a complete survey of said public ditch and of the route thereof, who has on the 30th day of March, 1907, filed his report of said survey in my office, and the said Board of County Commissioners have appointed J. H. Connor and D. E. Shannon and Fred Whitteby to be viewers of said proposed public ditch by their order duly filed herein in my office on the 30th day of August, 1906.
NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, that the said viewers do all that at the said order of view in the City of Anoka in said County, on the 28th day of April, 1907, at 11 o'clock in the forenoon of said day, nevertheless to exhibit and compare their plans as such viewers, said time being within fifteen days after the filing of the engineer's report of his survey in said matter.

Witness my hand and seal of office this 30th day of March, 1907.



COUNTY CLERK OF ANOKA COUNTY.

To the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Anoka, State of Minnesota:

The undersigned land owners, whose lands will be liable to be affected by, or assessed for the expense of, the construction of the public ditch and branches hereinafter described, would respectfully represent that the public health, convenience and welfare require the establishment and construction of a public ditch and branches along the following described route in the town of Oak Grove in said county of Anoka; that the construction of the same would be of public benefit and utility, and is necessary for the following reasons, among others, to-wit: That large areas of land along the course of the proposed ditch are now wet, overflowed and rendered useless for any purpose, which by the construction of other purposes, and large quantities of stagnant water which now constitute a menace to the public health, would be removed by said proposed ditch.

A general description of the proposed starting point, route and terminus of said public ditch is as follows:

Commencing at the lowest point in the marsh in the SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of section 36, thence running northerly across the NW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 of said section, and across the SW 1/4 of SE 1/4, lot 3, lot 4, and NW 1/4 of NE 1/4 of section 25, and across the SW 1/4 of SE 1/4 and the SE 1/4 of SW 1/4 and W 1/2 of SW 1/4 of section 24, all in township 33 and range 24, to Cedar Creek, and terminating in said Cedar Creek as its outlet, together with such branch or branches as may be necessary and proper to render said ditch most useful and beneficial;

And your petitioners pray that you will proceed to establish such public ditch and branch or branches, and cause the same to be constructed as provided by chapter Forty-four (44) of the revised laws of 1905, and acts supplemental thereto and amendatory thereof.

Dated July 19, 1906.

Hudson Guy,
J. W. Merrill,
Thomas Green
Andrew Wallin,
Lorents Larsen,
Jas. V. Green,
John L. Green,
J. R. DeLong,
James Ray,
W. L. Smith,
Gustav Eisfeldt,
R. Guy,
F. F. Lee,
Herman Smith,
Petitioners.

(Mr. Lee asks that his name be removed)

Filing:

#45. Drainage Blank. Petition of Hudson Guy and others for a public ditch and branches, in the town of Oak Grove, in the county of Anoka. Filed in the office of the county auditor of Anoka County, Minnesota, this 8th day of August, A. D. 1906.

Arthur A. Caswell,
County Auditor.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, complete and correct copy of the petition of Hudson Guy and others for a public ditch in the town of Oak Grove, as shown by the records of this office.
December 2, 1906.


County Auditor.

by said ditch, consider what benefits such lands, roads or railroads will receive after some other ditch or ditches shall be constructed, but only the benefits that will be received by reason of the construction of said ditch herein referred to as it affords an outlet for the drainage, or prevents the overflowing of or otherwise directly benefits such lands, roads or railroads.

The said viewers shall also file in said office of the County Auditor of said county a report of all their doings in detail, including expenses and the actual time they were engaged, and perform every duty imposed upon them by and in accordance with Chapter 230 of the General Laws of 1905, within the time hereinbefore specified, which shall be not more than thirty days from the date of their first meeting as the said date shall be set by the said order of the said County Auditor.

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

of Winnebago County, Minn.

By E. A. Melan
Chairman.

Dated this 30th day of August A. D. 1906

Attest: Arthur A. Cassell
County Auditor.

DRAINAGE BLANK.

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF

AND OTHERS.

ORDER APPOINTING VIEWERS.

Filed 190.....

County Auditor.

In the Matter of the Petition of Frederick Berg
 and Others for a Public Ditch in the County of Wabasha
 State of Minnesota:

Whereas, A petition, bearing date the 19th day of July 1906, signed by Frederick Berg and others, praying for the establishment of a ditch in said county, the route of which is described in said petition, together with a proper bond accompanying the same, has been filed in the office of the County Auditor of said county; and the said County Auditor has given due notice of the pendency of said petition by publishing and posting the same as by law required, and hearing has been had on said petition pursuant to said notice; and a duly competent civil engineer, to-wit, Frederick Berg, has been appointed by the Board of County Commissioners of said county to make a survey of said ditch and to make and file his report of such survey;

Now, therefore, We, the Board of County Commissioners of said county, have appointed, and hereby do appoint Frederick Berg and Frank Webster and who are three resident freeholders of said county who are not interested in the construction of said proposed ditch, and who are not of kin to any of the parties interested therein, to be viewers of said proposed ditch and of the route thereof.

The said viewers shall meet, preparatory to commencing their duties, at a time and place to be hereafter designated by the County Auditor of said county by his order, and shall thereupon first take and subscribe an oath to faithfully perform their duties as such viewers; and, having received from the County Auditor of said county a certified copy of said petition for said ditch, a certified copy of this order appointing them viewers, and a certified copy of the said order of said County Auditor designating the time and place of their first meeting; they shall proceed, with or without said civil engineer appointed to make said survey, to view and examine said work and said proposed ditch, and, having completed said view and examination, shall make and file their report thereof in the office of said County Auditor of said county, ~~on or before~~ any day of July, 1906.

In said report said viewers shall, in tabular form, give the names of the owners of each tract of land to be benefited and damaged by the said proposed work; the description of each tract of land so benefited or damaged (said names of owners to be the same as appear on the county tax duplicates of said county, and the description to be given in legal form); the total number of acres in each of said tracts; the estimated number of acres in each tract of land to be benefited or damaged, as the case may be; the amount that each tract of land will be benefited or damaged by the construction of said ditch; the number of acres added to any tract by the total or partial drainage of any meandered lake or by the drainage of any water course, and the location and value of said added tract; the damage, if any, to riparian rights pertaining to any tract, and the amount that each such tract of land will be benefited or damaged, as the case may be, by the construction of said ditch; and in case said ditch drains, either in whole or in part, any public or corporate road or railroad, or benefits any such road or railroad so that the roadbed or traveled track thereof will be made better by the construction of said ditch, the said viewers shall estimate the benefits arising therefrom to such roads, roadbeds or railroads, and report such benefits, with names of roads or railroads and other particulars necessary to identify the corporations, public or private, to be benefited thereby, and the amount of benefits to each; and they shall also report as a part of said tabular statement the damages awarded to each municipal or other corporation for injury to any road or roadbed from the necessary construction and maintenance of any bridges, culverts or other works rendered necessary by the establishment of said ditch, stating the same separately; and the said viewers shall also report the total estimated benefits in respect to the entire ditch and the branches thereof, if any; and also whether or not, in their opinion, the estimated expense of the construction of said ditch, including the damages awarded therefor, is greater than the utility of said proposed ditch, and whether or not the construction of said ditch is impracticable for any reason, and, if so, the reasons why they consider it impracticable and why it should not be constructed.

In case the said viewers are unable to agree upon the matters required of them and herein specified, each viewer shall make his separate report and findings on the matters concerning which they fail to agree. All lands benefited by said ditch, and all public or corporate roads or railroads, benefited in whole or in part by said ditch, shall be assessed by said viewers in proportion to the benefits from the construction thereof, whether said ditch passes through said lands or along or near the line thereof; but said viewers shall not, in estimating the benefits to lands, roads or railroads not traversed

State of Minnesota,

County of Carver

I hereby certify and return, that on the 4th day of April, 1907, I served the

within J. H. Carlson

upon the within named Defendant

true Copy of the same in the ~~Town~~ of Village of Estab County of Carver by them and there handing to and leaving with him a

State of Minnesota.

Dated this 4th day of April 1907

Sheriff's Fees, Return, \$ 1.00

Mileage 40 \$ 4.00

Copy \$

Total \$ 5.00

By

John Carlson
Sheriff Carver County, Minn.

Deputy.

State of Minnesota,

County of Carver

I hereby certify and return, that on the 1st day of April, 1907, I served the

within Frank Schindler

upon the within named Defendant

true Copy of the same in the Town of Estab County of Carver by them and there handing to and leaving with him a

State of Minnesota.

Dated this 4th day of April 1907

Sheriff's Fees, Return, \$ 1.00

Mileage 15 \$ 1.50

Copy \$

Total \$ 2.50

By

John Carlson
Sheriff Carver County, Minn.

Deputy.

State of Minnesota,

County of Carver

I hereby certify and return, that on the 1st day of April, 1907, I served the

within J. E. Shannon

upon the within named Defendant

true Copy of the same in the Town of Suma County of Carver by them and there handing to and leaving with him a

State of Minnesota.

Dated this 4th day of April 1907

Sheriff's Fees, Return, \$ 1.00

Mileage 11 \$ 1.10

Copy \$

Total \$ 2.10

By

John Carlson
Sheriff Carver County, Minn.

Deputy.