Summary of Branch No. 2.

n f

## Ditch No. 46 Anoka County.

Sheet No. 1.	yap <b>630.77</b>	\$ 69,36	\$ 47.34	\$ 116.70
Sheet No. 2.	548,54	60,35	28,93	89,28
	1179 31	\$ 129 71	\$ 76 27	\$ 205 98

## Engineer's Report

IN

Ditch Proceedings.

e wing biseidigged They in the fill they be the best of the control of the fill the fill the fill the fill the

## EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Pafe No. 2.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Anoka County Ditch No. --- 46. ---

SECTION		(2)		(3)	(3) (4)		(5)			(7)		
		DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yards	Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary Expenses And Expense of Inspecting Work Until Completed With Total Per Section			Total Estimated Cost per Section		
							\$ CTS.		\$ CTS.	\$	CTS.	
				THE		Branch	No. 2.		Officer and a second		The second secon	
	Between Stake No.	19.	2.5 ft.	2.0 ft.	7.0 ft.	41.67	11	\$ 4.58	\$ 2 63	\$	7 21	
	$and\ Stake\ No.$	20.	2.7 "	20"	7.4 "	44.29	11	4.87	2 63		7 50	
hun 	Between Stake No.	21.	3.0"	2 0"	8.0 "	51.19	11	5.63	2 63		8 26	
	and Stake No.	22.	3.0 "	20	8.0 "	55.56	11	6,12	2 63		8 75	
	Between Stake No.	23.	3.0 "	2.0 "	8.0 "	55.56	11	6.12	2 63		8 75	
	and Stake No.	24.	2.7 "	2.0 "	7.4 "(	51.19	11	5.63	2 63		8 26	
	Between Stake No.	<b>2</b> 5.		2.0	7.6 "	48.38	1.1	5.32	2 63		7 95	
	$and\ Stake\ No.$	26.	3,,0,"	2.0."	8.0 "	52.62	11	5.79	2 63		8 42	
	Between Stake No.	27.	2,9 "	2,0 "	7.8 "	54.09	11	5.95	2 63		8 58	
,	$and\ Stake\ No.$	28.	3.0 ."	2.0.1	8.0 "	54.09	11	5 , 95	2 63		8 58	
*	$Between\ Stake\ {\it No}.$	+ 70	3.1 "	2.0 "	8.2 "	3 <b>0</b> , 90	11	4,39	2 63		7 02	
*	$and\ Stuke\ No.$		Totals.		To the second se	<b>5</b> 48,54		\$ 60.35	\$28 93	\$	89. 28	
. •	Between Stake No								OPATION SERVICE SERVIC			
	$and\ Stake\ {\it No}.$		egy de la constant de						ALL COMMON CONTRACTOR		,	
•	Between Stake No.							•	Million Andrews		4	
. 1	$and\ Stake\ No.$											
	Between Stake No.		Olizaria i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						Conversion A-ray and a second			
* 4	$and\ Stake\ {\it No}.$		-						d.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A		17	
, · ·	Between Stake No.								VARPOCINALA	Congress of Congre		
	and Stake No.						The control of the co			0		

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$.\_\_\_\_

## EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Page No. 1.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Anoka County. Ditch No. --- 46. ///-,=/

SECTION		(1)	(1) (2)  DEPTH OF CUT  AT BOTTOM		(3) (4) (5)		(6)			(7)		
		DEPTH OF CUT			NO. OF CUBIC YARDS	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yards	Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary Expenses And Expense of Inspecting Work Until Completed			Total Estimated Cost		
					AT TOP TO BE REMOVED		With Total Per Section				per Section	
- A					7		\$ CTS.		\$	CTS.	\$ CTS	<b>5.</b>
	Between Stake No.	0	1.6 ft.	2.0 ft.	5.2 ft.	Branch I	No. 2.	đ		And the second s	MATTYTTHOOGOT	
	and Stake No.	1.	2.0 "(	2.0 4	6.0 <b>"</b>	25.33	11	\$ 2,78	\$ 2	63	\$ 54	11
Li	Between Stake No.	2.	1.7 "	2.0 "	5.4 "	26.38	11	2.90	2	63	5 5	53 .
	$and\ Stake\ No.$	3.	1.7 "	2.0 "	5.4 "	23,30	11	2.56	2	63	5 1	19
	Between Stake No.	4.	2.1 "	2,0 "	6.2 "	27.44	11	3.02	2	63	5 6	35
	$and\ Stake\ No.$	5.	2.7 "	2.0 11	7.4 "	39.11	11	4.30	2	63	6 9	)3
ė	Between Stake No.	6.	2.5 "	2.0 "	7.0 "	44.29	11	4.87	2	63	7 5	50 .
	and Stake No.	7.	2,1 "	2.0 "	6,2 "	36.63	11	4.03	2	63	6 6	36
•	Between Stake No.	8.	2.1 "	2.0"	6.2 "	31,89	11	3.51	2	63	6 1	L4 .
	and Stake No.	9.	2,2 "	2.0"	6.4 "1	33,55	11	3,69	2	63	6 3	32
	Between Stake No.	10.	1.9 "	2.0"	5.8 "	30.75	11	3,38	2	63	6 0	)1
	and Stuke No.	11.	2.2 "	2.0 "	6.4 "	30.76	11	3.38	2	63	6 0	)1
,	Between Stake No	12.	2.8 "	2.0 @	7.6 "	41.67	11	4.58	2	63	7 2	21
r	and Stake No.	13.	2,9 "	2,0 "	7.8 "	51.19	11	5,63	2	63	8 2	36
,	Between Stake No.	14.	2 , 3 . "	2.0 "	6.6 "	45.64	11	5.02	2	63	7 8	35
4	$and\ Stake\ No.$	15.	2.0".	2.0."	6.0 "	33,55	11.	3.69	2	63	6 3	32
	Between Stake No.	16.	2.3 "	2.0 "	6.6 "	33,55	11	3.69	2	63	6 3	32
	$and\ Stake\ No.$	17.	2,3 "	2,0 "	66 "	36 . 63	11	4.03	2	63	6 6	36
~	Between Stake No.	18.	2,,5"	2.0 "	7.0 "	39.11	11	4.30	2	63	6 9	33
and Stake No.		Totals.		630.77			\$ 69.36	\$ 47	. 34	\$ 118.7	70	

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$.....