Summary of Ditch No 46.

#### Anoka County.

•		Cost		
	Cu. Yds.	Excavation.	Preliminary.	Total Cost.
Main Line	9257.33	\$ 1207.68	\$ 310.34	\$ 1518.02
Branch No. 1.	3545.48	390.10	189,36	579.46
Branch No. 2.	1179.31	129.71	76.27	205.98
Branch No. 3.	<b>36</b> 33 <b>, 1</b> 5	430.88	1,36,76	567.64
Branch No. 4.	136.15	16,33	7.89	24.22
Branch No. 5.	2388,73	282,89	92,05	374.94
	20140.15	\$ 2457.59	\$ 812.67	\$ 3270,26

# Engineer's Report

IN

Ditch Proceedings.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in \_\_\_\_\_ Ditch No. \_\_\_\_\_

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		TOMORES Sedando	(7)	Applications and the entering approximate and provided in the control of the cont
SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yards	Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary I And Expense of Inspecting Work Until Comple With Total Per Section	Expenses ted	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Total Estimated Co per Section	
					\$ CTS.		\$ C	TS.	\$ C	Crs.
					700000000000000000000000000000000000000					
Between Stake No.		Sum	mary of Estin	ate of Ditch No.	46 Anoka Coun	nty.	100	1		And the second s
$and\ Stake\ No.$	Sheet No.	1.		973.47		\$ 110.85	\$ 47	34	<b>\$ 1</b> 58	19
Between Stake No.	Sheet No.	2.		1331.87		157.78	49	97	207	76
and Stake No.	Sheet No.	3.		1046.66		120.48	49	97	170	45
Between Stake No.	Sheet No.	4.		1323.15		151.21	49	97	201	18
and Stake No.	Sheet No.	5.		2069.83		248.50	49	97	298.	47
Between Stake No.	Sheet No.	6.		1968.49		208.82	49	97	258	79
and Stake No.	Sheet No.	8.7		543.86		210.04	13	15	223	19
Between Stake No.				9257.33		\$ <b>1207.68</b>	\$ 310	34	\$ 1518	02
and Stake No.	10 mm		The second secon				PO CONTRACTOR DE LA CALLANTA		The second secon	40
$Between\ Stakc\ No.$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								The state of the s	t in the
and Stuke No.								ACCOUNTS TO STATE OF THE STATE	annancean and other sections and other sections and other sections are sections as the section are sections as the section and other sections are sections as the section are section are section as the section are section	
Between Stake No			To the second se	•			ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF T			
and Stake No.							(ACCOUNTED FOR ACCOUNT		earl and control of the control of t	
Between Stake No.					Valence and the second	•	oo	and the same of th	nanaanan nanga	
and Stake No.		-	The state of the s	•		7	The state of the s	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	Adoley were made to	e7
Between Stake No.								To be a second s	TO STEEL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSO	
and Stake No.	•		100000000000000000000000000000000000000					Addings of the Party of the Par	PER OPPORTUNITION OF THE PER OPPORTUNITION OF	A A A
Between Stake No.		The state of the s							deposition deposition of the state of the st	
and Stake No.				• 200				And the party of t	DEL-AND STATE OF THE STATE OF T	

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$.\_\_\_\_

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Anoka County Ditch No. - 46. --

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)
section		DEPTH OF CU	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yards	Character of Other Expenses, Including Prelimin And Expense of Inspecting Work Until Co		Total Estimated Cost
			AT BOTTOM	AT TO:	TO DE MEMOVED	\$ CTS.	With Total Per Section	<b>d</b> 0=0	per Section
	indrates en			Mai	n Line .	9 015.		\$ CTS.	\$ CTS.
Between Stake No	· O,	2.3 F	t. 2.0 Ft.	7.8 Ft.		11.		DEMONSTRATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	5 ·
and Stake No	"		" 2/0 "	7.0 "	39.11	11	\$ 4,30	2 63	\$ 6.93
Between Stake No	. 2.	2.4	2.0 ".	6.8 "	40,39	11	4,44	2 63	7 07
and Stake No	. 3.	2,3	2.0 "	6.6 "	37.87	11	4,17	2 63	6, 80
x Between Stake No	4.,	2.4	2.0 "	6.8 "	37.87	11	4.17	2 63	6,80
and Stake No	5.	2.5	2.0 "	7.0	40.39	11	4.44	2 63	7 07
Between Stake No	6.	2.5	2.0 "	7.0 "	21,67	11	4.58	2 63	7 21
and Stake No	7.	2.6	2,0 "	7.2."	42,98	11	4.74	2 63	7 37
Between Stake No	. 8.	2.6 "	2.0 "	7.2 "	44.29	11	4.87	2 63	7 50
and Stake No	9.	2.6	2.0 11	7.2	44,29	11	4.87	2 63	7 50
Retugery Stake No	10.	2.7	2.0 "(	7.4 "	45, 64	11	5, 02	2 63	7 65
and Stuke No	. 11.	3.2	2,0 "	8.4 "	54.09	111	5,95	2 63	8 58
Between Stake No	12;.	3.3	" 2,0 "	8.6 "	63,20	11	6,95	2; 63	9 58
### Stake No	. 13.	3.2	" 2.0 "	8.4 "	63.20	11	6,95	2 63	9 58
Bitthelen Stake No	. 14.	3.4	2.0 "	8.8 "	64.77	12	7.77	2 63	10 40
hhit Stake No	. 15.	3.4	2.0 "	8.8 "	67.99	12	8.16	2 63	10 79
# ####### Stake No	16.	11	2.0 "	9,8"	92,97	12	17.14	2 63	13 77
排機 Stake No	17.	3.6	3; 0 "	9,2"	78.10	12	9.37	2 63	12 00
Betrueen Stake No	18.	3.6	2.0 "	9.2"	74.65	12;	8.96	2 63	11 59
and Stake No					943,47		<u> </u>	47 34	\$ 158.19

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$-\_\_\_\_\_

No. 2.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Anoka County Ditch No. --- 46. --

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)
SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS	Estimated Cost	Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary Exp And Expense of Inspecting Work Until Completed		Total Estimated Cost
		AT BOTTOM	AT TOP	TO BE REMOVED	Per Cubic Yards	With Total Per Section		per Section
					\$ CTS.		\$ CTS.	\$ CTS.
				Main Line.			T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	
Between Stake No. 19.	4,3 ft.	2.0 ft.	10.6 ft.	87.04	12		\$ 2 63	\$ 13 03
and Stake No. 20,	4.3 "	2.0 "	10.6 "	98,38	12	11.87	2.63	14 50
Betiffep Stake No. 21.	4.3 "	2.0 "	10.6 "	100.33	12	12, 30	2 63	14 93
# ## Stake No. 22.	4.0"	2,0 "	10.0 "	94.50	12,	11,33	2 63	13 96
Betilden Stake No. 23.	4.2 "	2.0 "	10.4 "	92.63	12.	<b>41.</b> 11	2 63	13 74
Hiffed Stake No. 24.	3.8 "	2.0 ."	9.6 "	87.82	12	10.53	2 63	13 26
Bethelele Stake No. 25.	3.8 "	2.0 "	9.6 "	81.61	12.	9.79	2 63	12 42
# #itha Stake No. 26.	5.7	<b>2</b> .0 3:	13.4 "	118,18	12	14.18	2 63	16 81
Betheleh Stake No. 27.	3.0 "	2.0 "	8.0 "	95.79	12	11,49	2 63	14 12
Lihā Stake No. 28.	3,1 "	2.0 "	8.2 #	54.49	12	6.54	2 63	9 17
Blethtek Stake No. 29.	2.2 "	2.0 "	6.4	45.64	11	5,.02	2 63	7 65
###d Stuke No. 30=	2.1 "	2.0 "	6.2 "	33.08	11	3,63	2 63	6 26
Beribsen Stake No 31.	2,3 "	2.0 "	6.,6 #	34.22	11	3.76	2 63	6 39
Hind Stake No. 32.	2.1 "	2.0 "	6,2 "	34,22	11	3.76	2 63	6 39
Bittilekn Stake No. 33.	2.3	200 "	6,6 "	36,41	11	4.00	2 63	6 63
. ## What Stake No. 34.	2,8 "	2.0 "	7.6 "	42.93	11	4.72	2 63	7 35
Blittleff Stake No. 35.	3.4 "	2.0 "	8,,8 "	58. 55	12	7.02	2 63	9 65
hiha Stake No. 36.	3,7 "	2.0 "	9.4 "	72,86	12	8.75	2 63	11 38
Blobblick Stake No. 37.	2,8 "	2.0 "	7.6 "	63.19	12	7_58_	2 63	10 _21
and Stake No.			Totals.	1331,87		\$ 157.78	3 49 97	\$ 207 75

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$.....

Np. 3.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Anoka County. Ditch No. --- 46. ---

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)
	SECTION		DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yards	Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary Ex And Expense of Inspecting Work Until Complete With Total Per Section		Total Estimated Cost per Section
							\$ CTS.		\$ CTS	. \$ ств.
							TO SOME THE PROPERTY OF THE PR		THE STATE OF THE S	_
	Between Stake No.	38.	2.8 ft.	2.0 ft.	7.6 ft.	49.77	12	\$ 5.97	\$ 2 63	\$ 8 60
- N <sub>2</sub>	and Stake No.	39.	3,9 "	2.0 "	9,8 ",	73.70	12	8.84	2 63	11 47
V.	BerwenvStake No.	40.	4.0 "	2.0 ."	10.0 "	85.37	12	10,24	2 63	12 87
:	word Stake No.	41.	3.1 "	2.0 "	8.2 "	72.86	12	8.74	2 63	11 37
	Between Stake No.	42.	3.4 "	2.0 "	8.8 - "(	63,19	12	7.58	2 63	10,21
*	mond Stake No.	43.	3.5 "	2.0."	9.0 "	69,63	12	8.35	2 63	10.98
	Between Stake No.	44.	3,0 "	2.0 "	8.0 ."	65.61	12	7.87	2 63	10,50
<i>Ç,</i>	×××mm Stake No.	45.	3,2 "	2.0 "(	8.4 "	58,55	12	7.03	2 63	9 66
	Between Stake No.	46.	3.0 "	2.0" "	8,0 ("	58,55	11	6.45	2 63	9.08
	and Stake No.	47.	3.0 "	2.0 "	8.0 "	55, 56	12	6.11	2 63	8 74
	Between Stake No.	48.	2.8 "	2.0	7.6 "	52,61	11	5.78	2 63	8.41
,	xwid Stuke No.	49.7	2.5 "	2.0 "	7.0 "	45.64	11	5, 02	2 63	7 65
	Between Stake No	50.	2.3 "	2.5	7.1	41.81	11	4.60	2 63	7 23
•	× xund Stake No.	51.	2.2 "	, 2, 5	6.9 "	39,59	11	4,35	2 63	6 98
, ****	Bethleefi#Stake No.	52.	2.2 "	2.5	6,9 "	38,30	111	4.21	2 63	6 84
. ^	anaxStake No.	53.	2.2 "	2,5	6.9 "	38.30	11	4.21	2 63	6 84
	Betútěen×Stake No.	54.	2.5 "	2.5 "	7.5 "	42.22	11	4.64	2 63	7 27
3	###hd Stake No.	55.	2.5 "	2.5 "	7.5 "	46.29	11	5.09	2.63	7 72
	Bethbeen Stake No.	56.	2.7 "	2.5. "	7,9 "	49,11	41	5.40	2 63	8 03
	and Stake No.					1046,66		\$ 120.48	\$ 49.97	\$ 170 45

page No. 4.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Anoka County Ditch No. 46.///

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	- <sub>[4]</sub>		(7	<b>7</b>
	SECTION		DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yards	Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary Expen And Expense of Inspecting Work Until Completed With Total Per Section	ses	Tot Estimat per Se	ed Cost
							\$ CTS.	\$	CTS.	<b>\$</b>	CTS.
									*** (management		
Be	tween Stake No.	57.	2.8 Ft.	2.5 ft.	8.1 ft.	53,48	11	\$ 5.88 \$	2,63	\$	8 51
	and Stake $No.$	58.	2,8"	2.5 "	8.1 "	54.96	11	6.04	2 63		8 67
Bet	kween Stake No.	59.	3.0 "	2.5	8.5 "	58,00	11	6.38	<b>2</b> 63		9 01
	zundxStake No.	60.	3.0 "	2.5 "	8.5, ."	61,11	11	6.72	2 63		9 35
Bei	turgen Stake No.	61.	3.0 "	2.5	8.5 <sub>.</sub> "	61.11	11	6.72	2 63		9 35
	and Stake No.	62.	3.1 "	2.5	8.7 "	62.70	11.	6.89	2 63		9 52
Blef	tippep Stake No.	63 .	3.1 "	2.5 "	8.7 "	64,29	11	7.07	2 63		9 70
	Hopf Stake No.	64	3.2 "	2.5	8.9 "	65,91	11	7.25	2 63		9.88
Bet	typeryStake No.	65,	3,2	2.5 "	8.9 "	67.54	11	7.43	2 63	1	10 06
	hilld Stake No.	66.	3,2 "	2.5	8.9 "	67,54	11	7.43	2 63	1	10.06
B''	historikStake No.	67	3,2 "	2.5 "	8,9 "	67.54	11	7.43	2 63	1	10.06
	and Stuke No.	68.	3.3 "	2.5 "	9.1 "	69.21	11	7 , 61	2 63	1	LO.24
$B_{i}^{\prime}e_{i}$	Jupeleja Stake No	69.	3,5, "	2,5 "(	9,5 "	74.38	12	8,92	2 63	1	L1 55
,	tipid Stake No.	70.	3.0 "(	2.5.	8.5 "	69.21	12	8.30	2 63	.   1	ro 33 ·
B	hbeek Stake No.	71.	3.6 "(	2.5 "	9.7 "	70.88	12	8,50	2 63	1	11 13
· G	Hipit Stake No.	72.	3,7 "	2.5 "	9.9 "	83.33	12	10,00	2 63	1	.2 63
	HolefulStake No.	73.	3,8 "	2.5 "	10.1 "	86,89	12	10.43	2 63	]	L3) 06
	and Stake No.	74.	3.9 "	2,5 "	10.8 "	90.63	12	10.87	2 63	]	13 50
Bet	hween Stake No.	75	4.0 "	2,5 "	10.5 "	94.44	12	11.34	2 63	]	13 97
Annual Company of the	and Stake No.				Tota	ls. 1323.15		\$ 151.21	3 49 97	\$ 20	01 18

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$.....

Pafe. No. 5.

## EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Anoka County. Ditch No. \_\_\_ 46. \_\_\_

\	SECTION	(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	(3) WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	(4) NO. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	(5) Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yards	(6) Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary E And Expense of Inspecting Work Until Complet With Total Per Section	xpenses ed	(7) Total Estimated Cost per Section	32
						\$ CTS.		\$ CTS,	\$ · ÇTS.	
		,				District of the second of the		OTHER LAND AND ADDRESS OF THE LAND AND ADDRESS OF THE LAND ADDRESS		
	Between Stake No. 76.	4.0 ft.	2.5 ft.	10.5 ft.	96.37	12	\$ 11.56	\$ 2 63	\$ 14 19	
	and Stake No. 77	4.0 "	2.5 "	10.5 "	96.37	12	11.56	2 63	14 19	
	Betherful strike No. 78.	4,1 "	8.0 *	11.2 "	102.00	12	12,24	2 63	14 87	
	appleStake No. 79.	4.2"	3,0 "	11.4 "	109.00	12	13.09	2 63	15 72	
	Between Stake No. 80.	4.2 "	3.0 "	11.4 "	111.99	12	13.44	2 63	16 07	
	from Stake No. 81.	4.2"	3,0"	11 , 2 "	109.00	12	13.08	2 63	15 71	
,	Helfilitiln Stake No. 82.	4.0 "	3.0 "	11.0 "	105.80	12	12,69	2 63	15 32	
	Stake No. 83.	4.0 "	3.0 "	11.0 "	103.70	12	12.44	2 63	15 07	
	Retween Stake No. 84.	4.0 %	3.0 "	11.0 "	103,70	12	12.44	2 63	15 07	
,	#### Stake No. 85	4.1 "	3*0 4	11.2 "	105.80	12	12.69	2 63	15 32	
	Hetipfepstake No. 86.	4.1 "	3.0 "	11.2 " .	107.80	12	12.94	2 63	15 57	
	funti Stuke No. 87.	4.2"	3.0 "	11.4 "	109.00	12	13.18	2 63	15 81	
	Hetriken Stake No 88.	4.2"	3.0 "	11.4 "	101.90	12	13.44	2 63	16 07	
	hittel Stake No. 89.	4,2"	3.0 "	11,4 "	111.90	12	13.44	2 63	16 07	
	Belidiffu Stake No. 90.	4.3 "	3.0 "	11.6 "	114.10	12	13.69	.2, 63	16 32	
	fifth Stake No. 91	4.2"	3.0 "	11.4 "	114.10	12	13.69	2 63	16.32	
,	Between Stake No. 92.	4.1 "	3.0 "	11.2 "	137.10	12	16.45	2; 63	19 08	
	###nd Stake No. 93.	4.4 "	. 3.0 "	11 .8 "	104.00	12	12.48	2 63	15 11	
	Beth defifstake No. 94.	4.2"	3,0"	11.4 "	116.20	12.	13.96	2 63	16 59	
some	and Stake No.		Total.		2069.83		\$ 248.50	\$ 49,97	\$ 298.47	
		1450				P. 1024-195-194 Add page	TO THE PARTY OF TH	· ·		-

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$\_-

page 6.

#### EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Anoka County. Ditch No. --; 46. ---

			(1)	(2) WIDTH OF CUT	(3) WIDTH OF CUT	(4) NO. OF CUBIC YARDS	(5) Estimated Cost	(6) Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary I	Tynancac		(7) Total	
	SECTION		DEPTH OF CUT	AT BOTTOM	AT TOP	TO BE REMOVED	Per Cubic Yards	And Expense of Inspecting Work Until Comple With Total Per Section			Estimated C	
					`		\$ CTS.	TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL	\$	ств.	-	стs.
			And the state of t							- Control of the cont		
	Between Stake No.	95.	4.0 ft.	3.0 ft.	11.0 ft.	107.80	10	\$ 10.78	\$ 2	2 63	\$ 13	41
	and Stake No.	96.	4.1 "	3,0 "	11.2 "	105.80	10	10.58		2 63	13.	21
*d .	Between Stake No.	97.	4.3 "	3.0 "	11.6 "	L12.00	10	11.20	Agricultura and a superior and a sup	2 63	. 13.	83
	Happed Stake No.	98.	4.4 "	3.0."	11.8 "·	118.40	10	11.84	0.000	2 63	14	47
	Between Stake No.	99.	3.8"	3.0"	10.6	107.80	10	10.78		8 63	13	41
	popula Stake No.	100.	3.9 u	3.0 "	10.8 ",	97.20	10	9.72	,	2 63	12	35
274	身供機構 Stake No.	101.	4.0 "	3.0 "	11.0 "	101.70	10	10,17		2 63	12	80
¥1	fapja Stake No.	102.	3.9 "	3.0 "	10.8 "	99,99	10	10.00	The second secon	2 63	12	63
	Between Stake No.	103.	4.0 "	3.0 "	11 .0 "	101.70	10	10.17		2 63	12	80
	##dpnd Stake No.	104.	3.4 "	3.0 "	9,8 "	95.00	10	9.50		2 63	12	13
	Between Stake No.	105.	3.2 "	3.0 "	9.4 "	77,00	10	7.70	and Address of the Control of the Co	2 63	10	33
	dpdd Stuke No.	106.	3.3 "	3,0 "	9.6 "	75.20	10	7.52	The state of the s	2 63	10	15
	Betfuffin Stake No	107.	3.4 "	3.0 "	9,8 "	78,70	10	7.87	2	63	10	50
	fdffdfStake No.	108,	3.4 "	3.0 "	9.8 ",	80,60	10	8.06		2 63	10	69
	Between Stake No.	109.	3.3 "	3.0 "	9.6 "	7870	10	7,87		2 63	10	50
	##d nd Stake No.	110.	3,5"	3.0 "	10.0 "	80.60	10	8.06		2 63	10	69
	Bethren Stake No.	111.	4.5 "(	3.0 "	120 "	103.70	11	11,41		2 63	14	04
	drið Stake No.	112.	5,5 "	3.0"	14.0 "	146.60	12	1,759		2 63	20	22
	Between Stake No.	113.	6.4 "	3.0 "	15,8 "	200,00	14	28,00		2 63	30	<u>63</u>
	and Stake No.			To	tals.	1968,49		\$ 2 <b>9</b> 8.82	\$\$ 4	9 97	\$ 258	79

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$\xi\$\_\_\_\_\_

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in \_\_\_\_\_\_ Ditch No.

			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7)
SECTION		TO THE PARTY OF TH	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yards	Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary E And Expense of Inspecting Work Until Complet With Total Per Section	xpenses ed	Total Estimated Cost per Section
					·		\$ CTS.		\$ CTS.	\$ CTS.
	•	art.					- The state of the		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Between	en Stake No.	113 + 52	6.4 ft.	3.0 ft.	15.8 ft.	132,20	14	\$ 18.51	\$ 2 63	\$ 21 14
č an	nd Stake No.	William Co.					-		.1 - 11-	
Betwee	en Stake No.	113' + 52								
an	nd Stake No.	114 + 20	No 2	24" Sewer Pi	pe. Pipe etc.			140.00		140.00
Betwee	en Stake No.	114 ×+ 20	)							4
an	id Stake No.	115	6.1	3.0	15.2	226.70	14	31.71	2 63	34,34
Betypte	gr#Stake No.	116,	22	3.0	7.4	116.24	12	12.95	2 63	15 58
Haling	fl Stake No.	117.	1.0	3.0	5.0	53,90	10	539	2 63	8 02
Betwee	en Stake No.	118	0.0	3.0	0.0	14.82	10	1.48	2 63	4 11
an	nd Stake No.					543.86		\$ 210.04	\$ 13 15	\$ 223 19
Betwee	en Stake No.				CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF					
an	ed Stuke No.	-						**		20 (20 (20 (20 (20 (20 (20 (20 (20 (20 (
Betwee	en Stake No	and the second s		- X-(4)-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1						AND CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY
an	d Stake No.	CONTRACTOR		-						
Betwee	n Stake No.	1777	Lewe				autore entire timbre			
an	d Stake No.									
Betwee	en Stake No.	CONTRACTOR			,	<b>₩</b> **	OCCUPATION OF THE PROPERTY OF		***************************************	2000
an	d Stake No.				Grand-holden state of the state				Management of the state of the	
Betwee	n Stake No.	The second secon	•						money Agg (0000)	Windows and the state of the st
. an	d Stake No.						and the second s		03-01-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-07-	To rest

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$\_\_\_\_\_