ANOKA COUNTY

COUNTY DITCH INSPECTION REPORT

DITCH # 50

DATE: 7/18/94

BY: PK Ruud

COMMUNITIES: Burns


REMARKS:

CR#66

The ditch in this area is in a drain tile. The inlet to the tile is about 1/4 mile west of C R # 66.. The outlet is to the east of the houses located east of C R # 66 and south of Verde Valley Road.

There have been failures of the tile, east of C R # 66, within the past two years. County Highway crews have assisted in filling the areas of settlement.

ACTIVITIES:



#50

7/18/94

ALL

#66 (So, of Verde) Valley Rd.)

Ditch on this area is in a tile. Inlet about 1/4 mi. west of #66. Outlet east of houses.

Some the failure on east side of road about 2 years ago.

PUBLIC DRAINAGE DITCH INVENTORY FORM (Laws of 1990, Chapter 601, Section 27)

1. Drainage authority name: Anoka County (Burns)
2. Drainage ditch name and number: #50
3. Ditch location and drainage area boundary: (shown on attached quadrangle map)
4. Drainage area in acres: 446.66; Benefitted area in acres: 169
5. Approximate length in miles: Open Ditch Buried Tile
6. Year constructed: 1910; Original cost: \$ 1250.00
7. Are original plans on file? Yes X No; Location: Anoka County Courthou
8. Date of original plans: 1909
9. Are "as built" plans on file? Yes No _x ; Location:
10. Year(s) improved: None
11. Are improvement plans on file? Yes No N/A; Location:
12. Year(s) repaired: None
13. Are repair plans on file? Yes NoN/A; Location:
14. If the ditch was transferred from a county or joint county ditch authority to a watershed district or WMO, is the transfer order on file? Yes No _x NA
15. Is the list of lands benefitted and damaged on file? Yes x No
16. Have the benefits and damages been redetermined? Yes No _x; If yes, year(s) of redetermination:
17. Has right-of-way been acquired for a 1-rod permanent grassed strip? Yes No ; If yes, has it been maintained? Yes No
18. Is there a maintenance fund for the ditch? Yes No _x ; If yes, current balance in maintenance fund: \$
19. What was the last year maintenance work was performed by drainage authority:

20. Have other local units or private parties performed maintenance work on the ditch? Yes Nox ; List parties:
21. Have the alignment, grade, bottom width, or bridge or culvert crossings been materially changed from the original or improved construction, without going through formal ditch proceedings? Yes Nox
22. Is the ditch inspected annually; every 2-5 years; every 5-10 years; only when a problem arisesx_; or never? Year of last inspection:
23. Approximate percentage of the ditch's contributing drainage area that is currently urbanized:%; rural: _100_%
24. Have any substantial areas of wetlands originally totally drained by the ditch reestablished themselves due to lack of ditch maintenance? Yes No _x
25. What is the general condition of the ditch? a. well-maintained b. fairly well-maintained c. poorly maintained d. very poorly maintained e. functionally abandoned
26. Describe the existing condition of the ditch, including erosion/sedimentation problems: 10-8-91 viewed outlet of tile to ditch water was running good.
27. Does the ditch continue to serve a useful purpose to one or more properties? Yes
28. What plans are there for future management of the ditch? A. Monitor the ditch for problems that occur, such as deadfalls, unapproved culverts/crossings, or filling, that block or imped the normal flow. B. Review, with municipalities & the WMO's, the impact of new development on the drainage system. C. Monitor & repair/replace drainage structures at county highway
system. C. Monitor & repair/replace drainage structures at county highway crossed by the ditch. 29. Additional comments or recommendations for statutory changes:
A. Need legislation that allows for maintenance of the county ditch system B. Need changes in county ditch legislation that provides for a method (s
of assessing property within a drainage area to pay for approved
repairs or improvements.

