

*File*  
*Ditch*  
*File 57*

May 21, 1947

Mr. Ernest A. Michel  
Attorney at Law  
610 Baker Building  
Minneapolis 2, Minn.

Dear Mr. Michel:

Your letter of May 14th with reference to Ditch #57 was received too late to furnish you the information asked for prior to the date of the hearing.

Certain matters were brought up at the hearing that made it advisable to continue the hearing to May 26th so that if you wish to be heard you may do so at that meeting.

In your letter you asked whether or not the hearing was called for the purpose of hearing additional evidence as to whether or not the cleaning should be done. It was, of course, assumed that the ditch should be cleaned if needed but the matter of need was injected into the evidence presented yesterday. Thirty-five of the property owners were present at the hearing and each one expressed an opinion to the effect that the ditch did not need cleaning and that the land was now being properly drained.

As stated before the matter was held over until May 26th at which time the Board intends to pass on the matter.

Complying with your request, I am enclosing herewith a memorandum showing the proposed assessments against your property.

Yours very truly,

EAC/ga  
Enc. 1

E. A. Carlson, County Auditor

TOM DAVIS  
ERNEST A. MICHEL  
CARL L. YAEGER  
CLARENCE S. MCGINLEY  
TOM BERGIN



PHONE:  
ATLANTIC 1451

LAW OFFICES OF

**DAVIS, MICHEL, YAEGER & MCGINLEY**

610 BAKER BUILDING  
MINNEAPOLIS 2, MINN.

REGIONAL COUNSEL  
BROTHERHOOD OF RAILROAD  
TRAINMEN

May 14, 1947

E.A. Carlson, County Auditor,  
Anoka County,  
Anoka, Minn.

Dear Mr. Carlson:

In the notice of the hearing in the matter of Ditch No. 57 the last sentence contains the following: " and that all persons interested in the construction of said ditch are invited to appear and be heard by and before said County Board at said time for or against the construction of said ditch". I assume that this has reference to the viewer's report, inasmuch as the matter of cleaning the ditch has been passed upon by the Board. If it be the intention to have a further hearing on the 20th on the merits as to whether the ditch should be cleaned or not, I assume that interested persons would want to appear.

This morning I am leaving by car for the Mayo Clinic and will be at St. Mary's Hospital for an indefinite period of time. I am inclosing you a stamped envelope and would be glad to hear from you as to whether the language used was intended to convey the thought that the question of whether the ditch should or should not be cleaned should be gone into on the 20th.

I would be glad if you would have one of your clerks make a copy of the assessments against the land owned by me, that is, the land in the name of Ernest A. Michel, Arloine Michel, William A. Forbes, Amelia Forbes, and William Forbes Michel. I do not want the girls to work for nothing and would like to have them send me a bill for it, mailing the statement to me at St. Mary's Hospital.

Very truly yours,

*Ernest A. Michel*

CLY:VLP

NAME OF OWNER	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	SEC.	TOWN.	RANGE	NO. OF ACRES IN EACH TRACT	ESTIMATED NO. OF ACRES BENEFITED	AMOUNT THAT EACH TRACT WILL BE BENEFITED.
Wm. & Ernestine Forbes & Ernest & Arline Michels	SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of SW $\frac{1}{4}$	28	32	24	40	12A	\$108.00
Wm. & Ernestine Forbes & Ernest & Arline Michels	SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of SE $\frac{1}{4}$	29	32	24	40	38A	342.00
Wm. & Ernestine Forbes & Ernest Michels	NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	32	32	24	40	10A	90.00
Ernest & Arline Michels	NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	33	32	24	40	20A	180.00
Wm. Forbes Michels	NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NW $\frac{1}{4}$	33	32	24	40	36A	234.00
Wm. Forbes Michels	SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of NE $\frac{1}{4}$	33	32	24	40	6C	32.40

---

986.40