

*Ditch No. 6*

EXHIBIT I.

---

# VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

## DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

---

---

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

*Filed June 5<sup>th</sup> 1890*  
*J. M. Putnam*  
*County Auditor*

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and  
 (1) Cost of same, in Ditch No. 6

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>0 A</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>6.0</u>			
and Stake No. <u>1 A</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>2 A</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>3 A</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>4 A</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>5.8</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>5 A</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>6 A</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>7 A</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>8 A</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>9 A</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>10 A</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>11 A</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>12 A</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>7.2</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>13 A</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.6</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>1</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>2</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>3</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>4</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>5</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
<u>6</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>7.2</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>	✓
				<u>675</u>		
<i>Totals</i>						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and  
 (2) Cost of same, in Ditch No. ....

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. .... 7	2.6	2.0	7.2	44	\$ .08	✓
and Stake No. .... 8	2.6	"	7.2	44	\$ .08	✓
9	2.6	"	7.2	44	\$ .08	✓
10	2.8	"	7.6	47	\$ .08	✓
11	2.6	"	7.2	47	\$ .08	✓
12	2.7	"	7.4	47	\$ .08	✓
13	2.6	"	7.2	47	\$ .08	✓
14	2.6	"	7.2	44	\$ .08	✓
15	2.5	"	7.0	42	\$ .08	✓
16	2.5	"	7.0	42	\$ .08	✓
17	2.7	"	7.4	44	\$ .08	✓
18	2.4	"	6.8	44	\$ .08	✓
19	2.7	"	7.4	42	\$ .08	✓
20	2.6	"	7.2	44	\$ .08	✓
21	2.5	"	7.0	44	\$ .08	✓
22	2.8	"	7.6	47	\$ .08	✓
23	3.3	"	8.6	58	\$ .08	✓
24	2.2	"	6.4	49	\$ .08	✓
25	2.5	"	7.0	39	\$ .08	✓
26	2.7	"	7.4	44	\$ .08	✓
				903		
				10		
						Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and  
 (3) Cost of same, in Ditch No.....

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No.....27.....	2.5	2.0	7.0	44	\$ .08	✓
and Stake No.....28.....	2.6	"	7.2	44	\$ .08	✓
29	2.6	"	7.2	44	\$ .08	✓
30	2.5	"	7.0	42	\$ .08	✓
31	2.4	"	6.8	42	\$ .08	✓
32	2.6	"	7.2	42	\$ .08	✓
33	2.6	"	7.2	44	\$ .08	✓
34	2.3	"	6.6	39	\$ .08	✓
35	2.2	"	6.4	36	\$ .08	✓
36	2.3	"	6.6	36	\$ .08	✓
37	2.3	"	6.6	36	\$ .08	✓
38	2.4	"	6.8	39	\$ .08	✓
39	2.1	"	6.2	34	\$ .08	✓
40	2.1	"	6.2	32	\$ .08	✓
41	2.0	"	6.0	32	\$ .08	✓
42	1.9	"	5.8	30	\$ .08	✓
43	2.0	"	6.0	30	\$ .08	✓
44	1.5	"	5.0	25	\$ .08	✓
45	1.8	"	5.6	23	\$ .08	✓
46	2.1	"	6.2	30	\$ .08	✓
				724		
				7		
<i>Totals</i>						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. ....

(4)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. .... 47	1.9	2.0	5.8	30	\$ .08	✓
and Stake No. .... 48	1.9	"	5.8	28	\$ .08	✓
49	1.9	"	5.8	28	\$ .08	✓
50	1.8	"	5.6	28	\$ .08	✓
51	1.5	"	5.0	23	\$ .08	✓
52	1.6	"	5.2	21	\$ .08	✓
53	1.7	"	5.4	23	\$ .08	✓
54	1.6	"	5.2	23	\$ .08	✓
55	1.8	"	5.6	23	\$ .08	✓
56	1.9	"	5.8	25	\$ .08	✓
57	1.7	"	5.4	25	\$ .08	✓
58	2.0	"	6.0	25	\$ .08	✓
59	2.4	"	6.8	34	\$ .08	✓
60	2.1	"	6.2	36	\$ .08	✓
61	2.1	"	6.2	32	\$ .08	✓
62	2.4	"	6.8	36	\$ .08	✓
63	2.4	"	6.8	39	\$ .08	✓
64	2.6	"	7.2	42	\$ .08	✓
65	2.4	"	6.8	42	\$ .08	✓
66	2.5	"	7.0	42	\$ .08	✓
				605		
				8		
<b>Totals</b>						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, *Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No.....*

(5)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No..... <i>67</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>7.2</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
and Stake No..... <i>68</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>7.4</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>69</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.6</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>70</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.4</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>71</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.4</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>72</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.4</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>73</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.0</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>74</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.6</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>75</i>	<i>2.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>6.8</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>76</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.6</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>77</i>	<i>2.9</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.8</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>78</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.2</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>79</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>8.6</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>80</i>	<i>3.2</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>8.4</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>81</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>8.8</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>82</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>8.8</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>83</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>8.8</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>84</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.2</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>85</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.2</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
<i>86</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>7.4</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>\$ .08</i>	✓
				<i>1008</i>		
				<i>10</i>		
<i>Totals</i>						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. (6)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. 87	2.3	2.0	6.6	42	\$ .08	✓
and Stake No. 88	2.2	"	6.4	36	\$ .08	✓
89	2.5	"	7.0	39	\$ .08	✓
90	2.7	"	7.4	44	\$ .08	✓
91	2.9	"	7.8	49	\$ .08	✓
92	2.9	"	7.8	52	\$ .08	✓
93	2.9	"	7.8	52	\$ .08	✓
94	2.7	"	7.4	49	\$ .08	✓
95	2.9	"	7.8	49	\$ .08	✓
96	2.7	"	7.4	49	\$ .08	✓
97	2.9	"	7.8	49	\$ .08	✓
98	3.1	"	8.2	53	\$ .08	✓
99	3.1	"	8.2	58	\$ .08	✓
100	3.3	"	8.6	61	\$ .08	✓
101	3.5	"	9.0	68	\$ .08	✓
102	3.7	"	9.4	75	\$ .08	✓
103	3.5	"	9.0	75	\$ .08	✓
104	3.2	"	8.4	65	\$ .08	✓
105	3.2	"	8.4	61	\$ .08	✓
106	2.8	"	7.6	55	\$ .08	✓
				1083		
				"		
Totals						