

*Ditch No. 8*

EXHIBIT I.

---

# VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

## DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

---

---

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

*Filed September 26-1890*

*G. W. Putnam*

*County Auditor*

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 8.

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP feet	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>0</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>		
and Stake No. <u>1</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>5</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>3.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>9</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>10</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>11</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>12</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>13</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>14</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>15</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>16</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>17</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>6.1</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>18</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>6.1</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>19</u>	<u>2.9</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>6.4</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>20</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>5.6</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>21</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>22</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>23</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.5</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>24</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>25</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.8</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>26</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>27</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
<u>28</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>\$ .08</u>
				<u>867</u>	
<i>Totals</i>					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 8

(2)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 28				867	
and Stake No. 29	2.3	2.0	4.3	30	\$ .08
30	2.6	2.0	4.6	29	\$ .08
31	2.6	2.0	4.6	31	\$ .08
32	2.7	2.0	4.7	32	\$ .08
33	1.9	2.0	3.9	27	\$ .08
34	2.1	2.0	4.1	22	\$ .08
35	2.3	2.0	4.3	23	\$ .08
36	1.8	2.0	3.8	22	\$ .08
37	1.9	2.0	3.9	15	\$ .08
38	2.0	2.0	4.0	15	\$ .08
39	2.1	2.0	4.1	17	\$ .08
40	1.9	2.0	3.9	16	\$ .08
41	2.2	2.0	4.2	17	\$ .08
42	2.5	2.0	4.5	22	\$ .08
43	2.2	2.0	4.2	22	\$ .08
44	2.2	2.0	4.2	19	\$ .08
45	2.1	2.0	4.1	19	\$ .08
46	2.4	2.0	4.4	19	\$ .08
47	2.0	2.0	4.0	21	\$ .08
48	2.6	2.0	4.6	19	\$ .08
49	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	\$ .08
50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	
51	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	
52	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	
53	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	
54	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	
55	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	
56	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	
				1290	
<b>Totals</b>					

N.B. Ditch runs in Private  
Ditch from Sta. 440 to Sta. 341  
Present Ditch Sufficient  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "  
" " "

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 8

(3)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>Feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>56</u>				1396		
and Stake No. <u>57</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		Present Ditch Sufficient
58	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
59	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
60	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
61	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
62	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
63	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
64	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
65	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
66	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
67	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
68	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
69	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
70	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
71	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
72	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
73	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
74	2.5	2.0	2.0	9	\$ .08	" " "
75	2.6	2.0	2.2	10	\$ .08	" " "
76	2.9	2.0	2.8	25	\$ .08	" " "
77	2.8	2.0	2.6	15	\$ .08	" " "
78	2.8	2.0	4.8	7	\$ .08	" " "
79	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		Present Ditch Sufficient
80	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
81	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
82	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
83	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
				1356		
<b>Totals</b>						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 8

(11)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>83</u>				1356		
and Stake No. <u>84</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		Present Ditch Sufficient
<u>85</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>86</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>87</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>88</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>89</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>90</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>91</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>92</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>93</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>94</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>95</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>96</u>	2.9	2.0	4.9	0	\$ .08	
<u>97</u>	2.8	0.0	7.6	5	\$ .08	
<u>98</u>	2.8	0.0	7.6	15	\$ .08	
<u>99</u>	2.7	0.0	7.4	15	\$ .08	
<u>100</u>	2.8	0.0	7.6	17	\$ .08	
<u>101</u>	2.8	0.0	7.6	25	\$ .08	
<u>102</u>	3.6	0.0	9.2	35	\$ .08	
<u>103</u>	2.6	0.0	7.2	35	\$ .08	
<u>104</u>	2.6	0.0	4.6	5	\$ .08	
<u>105</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		Present Ditch Sufficient
<u>106</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>107</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>108</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>109</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>110</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>111</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
				1508		
<b>Totals</b>						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 8

(5)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>111</u>				<u>1508</u>		
and Stake No. <u>112</u>	2.8	2.0	4.8	0	<del>\$</del> .08	
<u>113</u>	2.6	2.0	7.2	7	\$ .08	
<u>114</u>	3.1	2.0	8.2	18	\$ .08	
<u>115</u>	2.7	2.0	4.7	15	\$ .08	
<u>116</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		Present Ditch Sufficient
<u>117</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>118</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>119</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>120</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>121</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>122</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>123</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>124</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>125</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>126</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>127</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>128</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>129</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>130</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>131</u>	2.4	2.0	4.4	0		" " "
<u>132</u>	2.8	2.0	7.6	10	\$ .08	
<u>133</u>	2.9	2.0	7.8	23	\$ .08	
<u>134</u>	3.7	2.0	9.4	32	\$ .08	
<u>135</u>	2.9	2.0	7.8	32	\$ .08	
<u>136</u>	3.2	2.0	8.4	28	\$ .08	
<u>137</u>	2.2	2.0	6.4	18	\$ .08	
<u>138</u>	2.4	2.0	6.8	10	\$ .08	
<u>139</u>	2.5	2.0	4.5	8	\$ .08	
				<u>1709</u>		
<b>Totals</b>						