

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and
 (6) Cost of same, in Ditch No. 8

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP Feet	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. 139				1769		
and Stake No. 140	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		Present Ditch Sufficient
141	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
142	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
143	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
144	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
145	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
146	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
147	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
148	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
149	2.6	2.0	4.6	0	\$ 0.8	
150	2.9	2.0	6.4	6	\$.08	
151	2.5	2.0	5.8	10	\$.08	
152	2.2	2.0	5.3	11	\$.08	
153	2.7	2.0	6.1	10	\$.08	
154	2.7	2.0	6.1	10	\$.08	
155	2.7	2.0	6.1	10	\$.08	
156	2.8	2.0	6.3	12	\$.08	
157	2.6	2.0	5.9	12	\$.08	
158	3.1	2.0	6.6	15	\$.08	
159	2.7	2.0	6.1	12	\$.08	
160	2.7	2.0	6.1	10	\$.08	
161	2.6	2.0	5.9	8	\$.08	
162	2.6	2.0	5.9	8	\$.08	
163	2.5	2.0	4.5	6	\$.08	
164	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		Present Ditch Sufficient
165	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
166	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
167	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		
				1849		
Totals						

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 8

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT, BOTTOM feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT, TOP feet	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD	
Between Stake No. <u>167</u>				1849		
and Stake No. <u>168</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		Present Ditch Sufficient
<u>169</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>170</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>171</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>172</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>173</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>174</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>175</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>176</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>177</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>178</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>179</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>180</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>181</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>182</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>183</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>184</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>185</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>186</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0		" " "
<u>187</u>	2.9	2.0	4.9	0		" " "
<u>188</u>	3.4	2.0	7.1	10	\$.08	
<u>189</u>	3.6	3.0	7.4	12	\$.08	
<u>190</u>	3.6	3.0	8.4	18	\$.08	
<u>191</u>	3.1	3.0		20	\$.08	
<u>192</u>	3.3	3.0	7.7	15	\$.08	
<u>193</u>	2.6	3.0	8.0	8	\$.08	
<u>194</u>	3.1	3.0	6.9	8	\$.08	
<u>195</u>	3.1	3.0	7.7	10	\$.08	
				1950		
						Totals

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and
 (8) Cost of same, in Ditch No. 8

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP feet	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 195				1950	
and Stake No. 196	4.9	3.0	10.4	25	\$.08
197	3.3	3.0	8.0	26	\$.08
198	3.2	3.0	7.8	18	\$.08
199	2.6	3.0	6.9	9	\$.08
200	2.5	3.0	6.8	8	\$.10
201	3.1	3.0	7.7	8	\$.10
202	3.8	3.0	8.7	15	\$.10
203	3.5	3.0	8.3	15	\$.10
204	4.0	3.0	11.0	22	\$.10
205	4.7	3.0	12.4	30	\$.10
206	2.9	3.0	8.8	16	\$.10
207	3.7	3.0	10.4	15	\$.10
208	4.0	3.0	11.0	30	\$.10
209	4.5	3.0	12.0	31	\$.10
210	4.5	3.0	12.0	40	\$.10
211	3.5	3.0	10.0	15	\$.10
212	4.2	3.0	11.4	20	\$.10
213	5.1	3.0	13.2	40	\$.10
214	3.0	3.0	13.0	27	\$.10
215	4.5	3.0	12.0	18	\$.10
216	4.4	3.0	11.8	30	\$.10
217	3.1	3.0	9.2	22	\$.10
218	3.1	3.0	9.2	8	\$.08
219	2.8	3.0	8.6	8	\$.08
220	3.0	3.0	9.0	7	\$.08
221	3.0	3.0	9.0	11	\$.08
222	3.1	3.0	9.2	13	\$.08
223	3.8	3.0	10.6	16	\$.08
				2493	
Totals					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 8

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP Feet	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED.	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>223</u>				2493	
and Stake No. <u>224</u>	3.2	3.0	9.4	39	\$.08
<u>225</u>	2.9	3.0	8.8	22	\$.08
<u>226</u>	3.9	3.0	10.8	30	\$.08
<u>227</u>	3.4	3.0	9.8	31	\$.08
<u>228</u>	3.3	3.0	9.6	22	\$.08
<u>229</u>	3.1	3.0	7.7	15	\$.08
<u>230</u>	3.1	3.0	7.7	12	\$.08
<u>231</u>	3.2	3.0	7.8	18	\$.08
<u>232</u>	3.0	3.0	7.5	12	\$.08
<u>233</u>	2.8	3.0	7.2	10	\$.08
<u>234</u>	3.1	3.0	7.7	12	\$.08
<u>235</u>	2.8	3.0	7.2	12	\$.08
<u>236</u>	2.8	3.0	7.2	9	\$.08
<u>237</u>	2.9	3.0	7.4	10	\$.08
<u>238</u>	2.7	3.0	7.1	8	\$.08
<u>239</u>	2.8	3.0	7.2	10	\$.08
<u>240</u>	2.6	3.0	6.9	11	\$.08
<u>241</u>	2.8	3.0	7.2	11	\$.08
<u>242</u>	2.6	3.0	6.9	11	\$.08
<u>243</u>	2.6	3.0	6.9	15	\$.08
<u>244</u>	2.6	3.0	6.9	17	\$.08
<u>245</u>	2.8	3.0	7.2	18	\$.08
<u>246</u>	2.6	3.0	6.9	18	\$.08
<u>247</u>	2.3	3.0	6.5	18	\$.08
<u>248</u>	2.5	3.0	6.8	18	\$.08
<u>249</u>	2.4	3.0	6.7	18	\$.08
<u>250</u>	2.4	3.0	6.7	18	\$.08
<u>251</u>	2.5	3.0	6.8	19	\$.08
				2957	
					<i>Totals</i>

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 8

(10)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP Feet	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 251				2957	
and Stake No. 252	2.7	3.0	7.1	19	\$.08
253	2.9	3.0	7.4	25	\$.08
254	2.5	3.0	6.8	25	\$.08
255	2.7	3.0	7.1	25	\$.08
256	2.0	3.0	6.0	14	\$.08
257	2.3	3.0	7.6	14	\$.08
258	2.6	3.0	8.2	22	\$.08
259	2.8	3.0	8.6	33	\$.08
260	2.8	3.0	8.6	35	\$.08
261	3.3	3.0	10.0	15	\$.08
262	4.6	3.0	12.2	41	\$.08
263	4.6	3.0	12.2	55	\$.08
264	3.7	3.0	10.4	45	\$.08
265	2.4	3.0	7.8	61	\$.08
266	1.9	3.0	6.8	63	\$.08
267	2.2	3.0	6.3	27	\$.08
268	2.2	3.0	6.3	27	\$.08
269	2.4	3.0	6.6	30	\$.08
270	2.8	3.0	7.2	37	\$.08
271	2.4	3.0	6.6	39	\$.08
272	2.8	3.0	7.2	38	\$.08
273	2.9	3.0	7.4	45	\$.08
274	3.0	3.0	7.5	47	\$.08
275	3.0	3.0	7.5	44	\$.08
276	3.2	3.0	7.8	47	\$.08
277	3.3	3.0	8.0	54	\$.08
278	3.1	3.0	7.6	57	\$.08
				3935	
				10 13	
Totals					