

Ditch No. 9 Branch

EXHIBIT I.

VIEWERS' REPORT

—IN—

DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

No. 686.—Pioneer Press Co., St. Paul, Minn.

Filed Sept 26 1890

*J. W. Putnam
County Auditor*

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and
 (1) Cost of same, in Ditch No. 9—Branch line—

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>Feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>Feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. 0	2.1	2.0	5.1		
and Stake No. 1	✓ 2.0	"	5.0	32	\$ 08
2	✓ 2.6	"	6.0	32	"
3	✓ 1.7	"	4.4	33	"
4	✓ 1.7	"	4.4	18	"
5	✓ 1.6	"	4.4	16	"
6	✓ 1.4	"	4.1	14	"
7	✓ 2.1	"	5.4	21	"
8	✓ 2.3	"	5.6	31	"
9	✓ 2.5	"	5.8	34	"
10	✓ 1.8	"	4.5	31	"
11	✓ 1.4	"	4.1	16	"
12	✓ 1.8	"	4.5	16	"
13	✓ 2.2	"	5.5	26	"
14	✓ 2.7	"	6.1	36	"
15	✓ 1.8	"	4.5	32	"
16	✓ 3.0	"	8.0	36	"
17	✓ 4.0	"	10.0	71	"
18	✓ 2.4	"	6.8	80	"
19	✓ 2.1	"	6.2	35	"
20	✓ 1.9	"	5.8	20	"
21	✓ 2.2	"	6.4	26	"
22	✓ 1.6	"	3.6	25	"
23	✓ 3.0	"	4.0	19	"
24	✓ 1.4	"	3.4	18	"
25	✓ 1.7	"	3.7	16	"
26	✓ 2.1	"	4.1	21	"
27	✓ 2.1	"	6.2	23	"
28	✓ 1.9	"	6.8	39	"
				517	
				"	
<i>Totals</i>					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 9 — Branch line —

(2)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT <i>feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM <i>feet</i>	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP <i>Feet</i>	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>28</u>					\$
and Stake No. <u>29</u> ✓	1.7	2.0	5.4	25	08
<u>30</u> ✓	2.7	"	7.4	34	"
<u>31</u> ✓	1.9	"	5.8	39	"
<u>32</u> ✓	2.2	"	6.2	30	"
<u>33</u> ✓	1.5	"	3.5	25	"
<u>34</u> ✓	1.0	"	3.0	12	"
<u>35</u> ✓	0.9	"	2.9	10	"
<u>36</u> ✓	0.9	"	2.9	10	"
<u>37</u> ✓	1.4	"	3.4	12	"
<u>38</u> ✓	2.4	"	6.8	25	"
<u>39</u> ✓	2.1	"	6.2	39	"
<u>40</u> ✓	1.7	"	5.4	28	"
<u>41</u> ✓	1.6	"	3.6	21	"
<u>42</u> ✓	1.1	"	3.1	15	"
<u>43</u> ✓	1.9	"	3.9	15	"
<u>44</u> ✓	1.8	"	3.8	21	"
<u>45</u> ✓	1.6	"	4.4	30	"
<u>46</u> ✓	1.5	"	4.4	16	"
<u>47</u> ✓	2.0	"	5.0	18	"
<u>48</u> ✓	1.7	"	4.6	23	"
<u>49</u> ✓	1.6	"	3.6	16	"
<u>50</u> ✓	1.2	"	3.2	13	"
<u>51</u> ✓	1.4	"	3.4	14	"
<u>52</u> ✓	1.4	"	3.4	14	"
<u>53</u> ✓	1.4	"	3.4	14	"
<u>54</u> ✓	1.5	"	3.5	4	"
<u>55</u> ✓	1.4	"	3.4	4	"
<u>56</u> ✓	1.6	"	3.6	5	"
				52	11
<i>Totals</i>					

EXHIBIT 1 OF VIEWERS' REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS, Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of same, in Ditch No. 9- Branch Line

(3)

SECTION	DEPTH OF CUT Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM Feet	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP Feet	NO. OF CUBIC YARDS REMOVED	ESTIMATED COST PER CUBIC YARD
Between Stake No. <u>56</u>					\$
and Stake No. <u>57</u> ✓	1.4	2.0	3.4	4	0.8
<u>58</u> ✓	1.5	"	3.5	4	"
<u>59</u> ✓	1.3	"	3.3	4	"
<u>60</u> ✓	1.2	"	3.2	4	"
<u>61</u> ✓	1.7	"	3.7	4	"
<u>62</u> ✓	1.2	"	3.1	3	"
<u>63</u> ✓	1.3	"	3.3	3	"
<u>64</u> ✓	1.4	"	3.4	3	"
<u>65</u> ✓	1.3	"	3.3	2	"
<u>66</u> ✓	1.5	"	3.5	3	"
<u>67</u> ✓	1.5	"	3.5	3	"
<u>68</u> ✓	1.6	"	3.6	3	"
<u>69</u> ✓	1.6	"	3.6	3	"
<u>70</u> ✓	1.8	"	3.8	4	"
<u>71</u> ✓	1.7	"	3.7	5	"
<u>72</u> ✓	1.8	"	3.8	5	"
<u>73</u> ✓	2.0	"	4.0	5	"
<u>74</u> ✓	2.2	"	4.2	7	"
<u>75</u> ✓	1.7	"	3.7	7	"
<u>76</u> ✓	1.9	"	4.0	5	"
<u>77</u> ✓	2.2	"	4.2	5	"
<u>78</u> ✓	2.0	"	4.0	8	"
<u>79</u> ✓	2.7	"	4.7	12	"
<u>80</u> ✓	2.2	"	4.2	13	"
<u>81</u> ✓	2.2	"	4.2	13	"
<u>82</u> ✓	2.2	"	4.2	9	"
<u>83</u> ✓	2.7	"	4.7	12	"
<u>84</u> ✓	3.1	"	5.1	19	"
				172	
Totals					

